Mobility-aware Floating Anchor (MFA)

(https://www.ietf.org/id/draft-gundavelli-dmm-mfa-00.txt)

Sri Gundavelli (Cisco) Marco Liebsch (NEC) Satoru Matsushima (Softbank)

> IETF#102 July 17th, 2018 Montreal

Draft and discussion status

Draft published before and discussed at IETF101

- $\hfill\square$ Basic principles and operation
- ML discussion based on feedback
 - □ Includes advanced items and options for optimization
- Next revision to address these items at some level

Evolution of the Mobile User Plane – Objectives

General: Meet requirements of future connectivity services

- Device-type diversity, traffic mix, mobility/communication patterns, multi-tenancy, industry verticals, slicing, ..
- □ Current study on enhancement for URLLC
- Access-independent date plane
- Leverage data plane programmability
- Leverage a variety of data plane protocols for a tailord data plane
- Enable optimized routes between mobile node and correspondent services / nodes
- Move from centralized/fixed anchors to decentralized data plane
- □ Enable inter-working/integration with the cellular system

Mobility Floating Anchors (MFA) – Key Aspects

- Move from single mobile gateway to programmable edges (mobile edge, correspondent edges)
 / optimized routes
- Apply default routes between edges while MN is at its initial attachment AG
 / low number of data plane nodes impacted



- Apply host state at correspondent edges when MN changes its AG
 / enable IP address continuity and traffic steering
 - □ Compatible with various data plane protocols, incl. SRv6 and ID-LOC separation
 - □ Enables use of default routes in the transport (in between MN/CN edges)
 - □ States can be of transient nature; not needed after MN IP address deprecated
- Flexibility in the enforcement of complementary data plane rules (@ mobile edge, correspondent edges)
 / programmatic flexibility
 - Metering, Monitoring/Reporting, Gating, ...

MFA principles – Traffic routing after attach



MFA principles – Traffic steering after relocation



Feedback

More information about alternatives to SRv6

- Additional (non-)functional aspects
 - □ MN in DRX/Idle, dormant MN monitoring and paging, QoS
- Reactive vs. proactive states setup/update at correspondent edge
- Access-independence Mobile edge may be access-specific
- Interfaces to data plane of non-cellular correspondent service
- Transient states at correspondent edges Soft states?