Agenda

• Intro and Note Well: 3m
• Existing documents: 22m
  – sieve-fcc 3m
  – sieve-specialuse 3m
  – savedate 3m
  – objectid 3m
  – imap4rev2 10m
• Proposed new documents: 25m
  – draft-brandt-imap-replace 5m
  – draft-slusarz-imap-fetch-snippet 5m
  – draft-yu-imap-client-id 15m
• AOB: 10m
  – spam handling (informational/advisory doc?)
  – MODSEQROOT and cross folder modseqs spec?
Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
- BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
- BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
- BCP 78 (Copyright)
- BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
Existing Documents

- Sieve FCC
- Sieve special-use
- Savedate
- Objectid
- IMAP4rev2
Sieve FCC

- Still a little bit of unfinished discussion
- Next Steps: address feedback, then WGLC
Sieve Specialuse

- Feedback on list exists (from Ned Freed)
- Next Steps: upload new draft, then WGLC
Savedate

- No issues left, I just dropped the ball
- Next Steps: WGLC right now!
Objectid

• Feedback from GenArt (Pete Resnick)
  – Make more things MUST
  – MUST keep MAILBOXID on rename?
• Is it more or less useful with all MUST?
• What additional storage and atomicity requirements does it place on servers?
IMAP4rev2

- See Alexey’s slides
- Next Steps: let’s get moving on this!
Proposed new documents

- draft-brandt-imap-replace
- draft-slusarz-imap-fetch-snippet
- draft-yu-imap-client-id
Replace

- Stuart can’t make it to this session
- There’s been discussion on the list, and it seems mostly resolved
- Next Steps: call for adoption followed closely by WGLC
Fetch Snippet

- See slides
- Next Steps: call for adoption and feedback
Client ID

- See slides
- Next Steps: call for adoption and feedback
Other Business

- Spam / Phishing
- Created Modseq / Global Modseq
Spam / Phishing

- $Junk / $NotJunk / $Phishing keywords exist
  - Is that enough?
  - Do we need to issue guidance for clients / servers about what to do when these are set/cleared?
Created Modseq

- Came out of JMAP work
  - We already have a per-user global modseq counter in Cyrus IMAPd
  - CREATEDMODSEQ allows us to know if something was “created” or “updated” since previous state.
  - Is this worth bringing to IMAP?
  - “MODSEQROOT” similar to QUOTAROOT.
Other Business