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- IASA 2.0 causes a small impact to IETF Trust
- This needs a change at some point
- Fortunately, current agreements allow operation to keep going so no major urgency
The Proposed Update

• Updates RFCs 4071 and 4371 wrt trustee selection

• All other aspects of the IETF Trust remain as they are

• Trustee selection:
  
  • 3 trustees from Nomcom
    • Confirmed by IESG; 3 year terms
  
  • 1 trustee appointed by the IESG

  • 1 trustee appointed by the ISOC BoT
Some Background

• Currently, IAOC members serve as IETF Trust trustees
  • 2 from Nomcom, 1 from IESG, 1 from IAB, 1 from ISOC BoT
  • + IETF and IAB shairs, ISOC CEO & IAD as ex-officio trustees

• Trust is formally defined in the founding documents https://trustee.ietf.org/founding-documents.html, which says:
  • Eligible trustees have to be current members of the IAOC
  • If for any reason there are fewer than three individuals serving as trustees, then the IESG shall appoint one or more individuals to serve in a temporary capacity as trustees

• The IESG appointment process can be used during transition period until a new RFC defining how to pick trustees is published
Rationale

• On the basis of causing minimal change, and having different tasks => this document suggests IETF Trust continues its independent existence (as opposed to merging with the LLC)

• Trust activity level is low, and is more about carefulness than finding funding, setting up meetings, etc speaks for different expertise, time allocation => this document suggests smaller set of trustees & longer terms

• Not clear that all ex-officio members members are required, separation of functions speaks for a more focused group and clear boundaries => no ex-officio members
Some Process Notes

• Note that trust agreement needs to be formally updated for the changes to take effect

• IETF Trust serves a broad set of people

  • Including the users of IANA IPR/domains; we should inform the Community Coordination Group (CCG) if we plan to take this proposal forward
Discussion

• This is an early proposal, please provide feedback or other suggestions

• Do people agree with the general minimalistic approach?

• Details? Number of trustees, source, ex-officio, etc?