IASA 2.0 & IETF Trust

Jari Arkko and Ted Hardie

draft-arkko-iasa2-trust-update-00.txt
drarft-arkko-iasa2-trust-rationale-00.txt

- IASA 2.0 causes a small impact to IETF Trust
- This needs a change at some point
- Fortunately, current agreements allow operation to keep going so no major urgency

The Proposed Update

- Updates RFCs 4071 and 4371 wrt trustee selection

- All other aspects of the IETF Trust remain as they are

- Trustee selection:

    - 3 trustees from Nomcom

        - Confirmed by IESG; 3 year terms

    - 1 trustee appointed by the IESG

    - 1 trustee appointed by the ISOC BoT

Some Background

- Currently, IAOC members serve as IETF Trust trustees

  - 2 from Nomcom, 1 from IESG, 1 from IAB, 1 from ISOC BoT

  - + IETF and IAB shairs, ISOC CEO & IAD as ex-officio trustees

- Trust is formally defined in the founding documents https://trustee.ietf.org/founding-documents.html, which says:

  - Eligible trustees have to be current members of the IAOC

  - If for any reason there are fewer than three individuals serving as trustees, then the IESG shall appoint one or more individuals to serve in a temporary capacity as trustees

- The IESG appointment process can be used during transition period until a new RFC defining how to pick trustees is published

Rationale

- On the basis of causing minimal change, and having different tasks => this document suggests IETF Trust continues its independent existence (as opposed to merging with the LLC)

- Trust activity level is low, and is more about carefulness than finding funding, setting up meetings, etc speaks for different expertise, time allocation => this document suggests smaller set of trustees & longer terms

- Not clear that all ex-officio members members are required, separation of functions speaks for a more focused group and clear boundaries => no ex-officio members

Some Process Notes

- Note that trust agreement needs to be formally updated for the changes to take effect

- IETF Trust serves a broad set of people

    - Including the users of IANA IPR/domains; wee should inform the Community Coordination Group (CCG) if we plan to take this proposal forward

Discussion

- This is an early proposal, please provide feedback or other suggestions

- Do people agree with the general minimalistic approach?

- Details? Number of trustees, source, ex-officio, etc?