Alternative Elliptic Curve Representations

draft-struik-lwig-curve-representations-01

René Struik

Struik Security Consultancy <u>E-mail:</u> rstruik.ext@gmail.com

IETF 102 – Montreal, QC, Canada, July 2018

Status

History:

- Initial document presented on March 21, 2018 @ IETF-101 https://datatracker.ietf.org/meeting/101/materials/slides-101-lwig-4-lwig-curve-representations-01

Background:

- NIST curves and CFRG curves use different curve models, thereby seemingly precluding code reuse
- Draft shows how curve models are related, by showing how one can switch between curve models via alternative representations
- Draft illustrates how to *reuse existing code* for NIST prime curves to implement CFRG curves (e.g., combine P256 curve + Curve25519)
- Draft also illustrates how to use this to *reuse existing standards*

Status

What is new in version 01?

- Old draft showed how to reuse *generic* existing ECC code
- New draft shows how this also works for *non-generic* existing implementations:
 - implementation that hardcodes specific domain parameters (e.g., code uses Jacobian coordinates and hardcodes a=-3)
 - implementation that allows speed-up if domain parm a is small (draft shows how to end up with short-Weierstrass curve with domain parameter a=2 [thereby, improving speed])

What is next?

- Draft still needs detailed mappings for short-Weierstrass curve with *a*=-3 (once computations finished) [NOTE: *this is one para...*]
 Implementation:
- Being implemented by Nikolas Rösener (Bremen University)

draft-struik-lwig-curve-representations-01

Next Steps?

Questions:

- Is this useful to LWIG?
- Should we make this a WG draft (intended status: informational)?
- Are there any other ECC implementation mysteries to be disspelled?