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Since IETF 101

- adopted the “crypto-types” and “trust-anchors” drafts
- did not unadopt the “keystore” draft
- all drafts updated and submitted as a set
- open issues linger...
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Keep trust-anchors separate from keystore?

History:

– Originally, ietf-keystore had both keys and trust-anchors together.
– ietf-trust-anchors was created in an attempt to get rid of keystore.
– But we wound up keeping keystore, and trust-anchors remain separate.

Tradeoffs:

– Keeping separate provides more applicable names (keystore just stores keys) and provides some modularity (drafts can update independently).
– Bringing together would be one less module to deal with...

Options:

1. Keep modules separate (current drafts)
2. Bring together again (like the old keystore draft)
Keep "local-or-keystore" keys?

The “local-or-keystore” construct came from wanting application-specific keys (keys that are not shared for any other purpose).

This choice statement accurately configures single-use keys, but it makes for rather busy models.

An alternative could be to instead have all keys in keystore, and let the application/operator deal with ensuring that some keys are not referenced more than once.

Options:
1. Keep “local-or-keystore” (keystore MAY be implemented)
2. Eliminate the “local” option (keystore MUST be implemented)
Assuming “local-and-keystore”, how to disable support for the ”local” choice?

Options:

1. add "if-defined 'not keystore-implemented'" to the "local" choice. (a *global* on/off switch, not per use of the grouping)

2. add "if-defined 'local-keys-supported'" to the "local" choice. (a *global* on/off switch, not per use of the grouping)

3. do nothing to the grouping definition, let downstream modules augment-in their own if-feature statements. (a per-use switch, but what would the ssh/tls-client-server drafts do when using the grouping?!) 

4. Don’t attempt to disable the “local” choice. (effectively same a option 3)
Should some of Keystore's groupings be moved to crypto-types?

The following groupings *are not* Keystore-specific:
- public-key-grouping
- asymmetric-key-pair-grouping
- asymmetric-key-pair-with-certs-grouping *
- end-entity-cert-grouping *
- trust-anchor-cert-grouping *?

The following groupings *are* Keystore-specific:
- local-or-keystore-asymmetric-key-grouping
- local-or-keystore-asymmetric-key-with-certs-grouping *
- local-or-keystore-end-entity-certificate-grouping *

✦ Note that the groupings with an asterisk define a notification ("certificate-expiration")

Options:
1. move non-Keystore-specific groupings to crypto-types
2. keep non-Keystore-specific groupings in Keystore module
Should algorithm identities be moved from ietf-[ssh/tls]-common to crypto-types?

Uses for identities:

1. to define the algorithm used by a key definition, whether configured locally or in the Keystore.
2. to constrain the allowed key algorithm types so as to conform to some security policy.
3. to specify preference for certain key types by the order in which the types are configured, in case "keys to use"/"keys to check" are unordered lists

Problems:

– three sets of similar identities
– no constraints that the identities used during negotiation have to match the identities for keys that exist.

No solution options yet – any opinions?
Add a "periodic" feature enabling the initiating peer to optionally support periodic connections?

Currently, the NC/RC client/server modules enable both persistent and periodic connections to be configured, but maybe “periodic” should be optional to implement?

Tradeoffs:

– It seems that periodic connections are not commonly implemented. A feature would primarily be to accommodate that market trend.
– Periodic connections are incredibly useful and, by not having a feature, we might nudge the industry into supporting them more.

Options:

1. add “periodic” feature (MAY be implemented)
2. do not add “periodic” feature (MUST be implemented)
Add support for TCP Keepalives?

The NC/RC client/server modules currently support configuring SSH/TLS-level keepalives.

But TLS-level keepalives aren’t well supported, and so there is a desire to alternately configure TCP-level keepalives...

A budding IETF statement says that, when using a crypto-transport, the aliveness checks SHOULD NOT occur via the underlying cleartext protocol layer.

Question 1:

1. do nothing (only crypto-level keepalives can be configured)
2. do something (also support TCP keep-alives)

Assuming ”2”, then how:

a. add a flag indicating that the keepalives should be TCP-level
b. add a choice statement for the two keepalive options
Thanks for the input! 😊