Lessons learned from "RLC FEC Scheme for FECFRAME" specification at TSVWG: PRNG

Vincent Roca, Inria PRIVATICS, vincent.roca@inria.fr

IETF102, Montreal, July 19th, 2018



Park-Miller PRNG (pseudo random number gen.)

- essential even if other solutions exist
- I-D used to rely on Park Miller Linear Congruential PRNG
 - seed the sequence
 each PR number Ij+1 is computed with: Ij+1 = A * Ij (modulo M) with A=16807, M=2³¹-1
 - scale it between 0 and maxv 1 (inclusive)
- not an issue with RFC 5170 (LDPC-staircase specs.)
 - because:
 - we generate many PR Numbers from the same seed
 - ✓ we scale with large maxv values

Park-Miller PRNG (2)

yet it's totally inappropriate with RLC

- ex. produce two repairs from the same encoding window
 - application chooses seeds in sequence (s, s+1, ...)
 - the obvious strategy (that many implementations will use)
- when scaling to [0; 255]

192 116 135 coefs. for 1st repair 33 seed=1 => $\left(\right)$ seed=2 => 67 130 233 16 coefs. for 2nd repair $\left(\right)$ first source symbol seed=3 68 95 152100 =>(). . . 5 212 33 of encoding window 134 seed-4 => $\left(\right)$ [...] is not/badly seed=10000 19 96 13 127 171 =>protected 🛞 seed=10001 => 19 129 206 244 52 seed=10002 => 19 163 143 106 188

Park-Miller PRNG (3)

- [...]
 - even worse when scaling to [0; 15] (needed for sparse equations)
 righ probability of duplicated coefficients across repair symbols
- conclusion: if we want to let applications freely select seeds, P-M PRNG is not the right choice

TinyMT32 PRNG

• Tiny Mersenne Twister, 32-bit version

- compact version of the renown/widely used Mersenne Twister PRNG
 - ✓ see <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mersenne Twister</u>)
- provable quality
- comes with a reference C implementation
 - Iightly edited version added in appendix to <u>draft-ietf-tsvwg-rlc-fec-</u> <u>scheme-05</u>
- solved all problems
- question: performance impacts?

TinyMT32 PRNG (2)

TimMT32 more than 2 times faster

Compulab ARM Cortex- A15@1.5GHz CPU

#seeds=1000000 #coefs per seed=20
P-M: duration=6.646820
TinyMT32: duration=3.477315
 tiny / P-M = 0.523 = 1 / 1.912

#seeds=1000000 #coefs per seed=100
P-M: duration=32.957823
TinyMT32: duration=14.058373
 tiny / P-M = 0.426 = 1 / 2.347

#seeds=1 #coefs per seed=1000000
P-M: duration=0.334906
TinyMT32 duration=0.134338
 tiny / P-M = 0.400 = 1 / 2.5

TinyMT32 PRNG (3)

sometimes it's the opposite: MacBookPro 15p

- here TinyMT32 is upto 1.7 times slower than Park-Miller PRNG
- ... probably a sub-optimal instruction set usage/compiler problem
- we didn't investigate to find exact reason
- in any case initialization is a bit long, but production of PR numbers with TinyMT32 is fast ⁽²⁾

TinyMT32 PRNG (4)

- NB: we fixed 3 internal parameters:
 - TINYMT32_MAT1_PARAM 0x8f7011ee
 - TINYMT32_MAT2_PARAM 0xfc78ff1f
 - TINYMT32_TMAT_PARAM 0x3793fdff

 those are good official values, but many other triples could be used, leading to different PR number sequences

 when published as RFC, this modern PRNG will be easily reusable in other documents