# OAuth 2.0 Security Best Current Practice draft-ietf-oauth-security-topics Status

John Bradley, Andrey Labunets, Daniel Fett, Torsten Lodderstedt

July 19 2018, Montreal

#### What is it?

- Comprehensive overview on open OAuth security topics
- Systematically captures and discusses these security topics and respective mitigations
- Recommends security best current practice

### Structure

f L. Introduction		· <u>3</u>
2. Recommendations	•	· 4
2.1. Protecting redirect-based flows	•	· <u>4</u>
2.2. Token Replay Prevention		. <u>5</u>
3. Attacks and Mitigations		. <u>5</u>
3.1. Insufficient redirect URI validation		· <u>5</u>
L. Introduction  Recommendations  2.1 Protecting redirect-based flows  2.2 Token Replay Prevention  Attacks and Mitigations  3.1 Insufficient redirect URI validation  3.1.1 Attacks on Authorization Code Grant  3.1.2 Attacks on Implicit Grant  3.1.3 Proposed Countermeasures		. <u>6</u>
3.1.2. Attacks on Implicit Grant		· <u>7</u>
3.1.3. Proposed Countermeasures		. 8
Headers		. <u>9</u>
3.2.1. Proposed Countermeasures		. 9
3.3. Attacks through the Browser History	0 10 1	. 10
3.3.1. Code in Browser History		
3.3.2. Access Token in Browser History		
3.4. Mix-Up		
3.4.1. Attack Description		. 11
3.4.2. Countermeasures		. 13
3.5. Code Injection		
3.5.1. Proposed Countermeasures		
3.6. Cross Site Request Forgery		
3.6.1. Proposed Countermeasures		
3.7. Access Token Leakage at the Resource Server		. 18
3.7.1. Access Token Phishing by Counterfeit Resource Ser		
3.7.1.1. Metadata		. 18
3.7.1.2. Sender Constrained Access Tokens		. 19
3.7.1.3. Audience Restricted Access Tokens		
3.7.2. Compromised Resource Server		
3.8. Open Redirection		
3.8.1. Authorization Server as Open Redirector		
3.8.2. Clients as Open Redirector		
3.9. TLS Terminating Reverse Proxies		
-		

Recommendations

Threat Analysis and Discussion of potential Counter Measures

#### Recommendations

- Exact redirect URI matching at AS (token leakage, mix-up)
- Avoid any redirects or forwards, which can be parameterized by URI query parameters (open redirection, token/code leakage)
- One-time use tokens carried in the STATE parameter for XSRF prevention
- AS-specific redirect URIs (mix-up)
- Clients shall use PKCE (or nonce) to prevent code injection
- Use of TLS-based methods for sender constraint access tokens
- Use end-to-end TLS whenever possible

#### **Status**

- Some review feedback during/after IETF-101 (-05)
- Incorporated feedback into latest revision (-06)
  - Reworked text on open redirection (esp. redirect behavior of AS in case of erroneous requests)
  - Reworked section on mix up (thanks to our new co-author Daniel Fett)
  - replaced text intended to inform WG discussion by recommendations to implementors (turned draft into BCP)
- No further (reasonable) feedback
- Two open proposals, otherwise ready to proceed

## Adopt proposals? WG Feedback needed!

 Audience restriction - Johan Peeters proposed an additional section on the value of audience/action restricted access tokens

https://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/oauth/current/msg18117.html

 Crypto Agility - Doug McDorman proposed an additional section on crypto agility.

https://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/oauth/current/msg18118.html