

RFC++@IETF102

BOF Info: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/rfcplusplus/about/>
Chairs: [Gonzalo Camarillo](#) & [Sean Turner](#)



NOTE WELL

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.

As a reminder:

- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (<https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/>) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process),
- BCP 25 (Working Group processes),
- BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures),
- BCP 54 (Code of Conduct),
- BCP 78 (Copyright),
- BCP 79 (Patents, Participation),
- <https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/> (Privacy Policy)

Requests

Minute Taker(s)

Jabber Scribe(s)

Sign Blue Sheets

State your name @ the mic

Keep it professional @ the mic

Agenda

20180716 1810-1940 EST

10min administrivia - chairs

15min questions - chairs

50min discussion - all


10min wrap-up - chairs

Administrivia



image from blacklabellogic.com





ISE

I E T F®



IAB


I R T F


Status	Stream			
	IETF	IAB	IRTF	Independent
Standards Track				
- Proposed Standard	X			X
- Internet Standard	X			
- Draft Standard	X			
Best Current Practice	X	X		X
Informational	X	X	X	X
Experimental	X		X	X
Historic	x		X	X


Status	Stream			
	IETF	IAB	IRTF	Independent
Standards Track				
- Proposed Standard	X			
- Internet Standard	X			
— Draft Standard				
Best Current Practice	X	X		
Informational	X	X	X	X
Experimental	X	X	X	X
Historic	x	X	X	X



Nobody is suggesting killing streams, just calling the output something different.







Questions

Is there one or
more issues?

Yes there is confusion.

No there is no confusion.

Are these
issues worth
fixing?

Yes it will clear up confusion.

No it will not.

And, any changes are going to have side-effects that will be hard to undo.

If it is
worthwhile, how
do we address
the issues?

Discussion

<insert calming pictures here>

Wrap-UP