RFC++@IETF102

BOF Info: https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/rfcplusplus/about/
Chairs: Gonzalo Camarillo & Sean Turner
This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.

NOTE WELL

As a reminder:

- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam ([https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/](https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/)) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process),
- BCP 25 (Working Group processes),
- BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures),
- BCP 54 (Code of Conduct),
- BCP 78 (Copyright),
- BCP 79 (Patents, Participation),
- [https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/](https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/) (Privacy Policy)
Requests

Minute Taker(s)

Jabber Scribe(s)

Sign Blue Sheets

State your name @ the mic

Keep it professional @ the mic
Agenda

20180716 1810-1940 EST

10min  administrivia - chairs
15min  questions - chairs
50min  discussion - all
10min  wrap-up - chairs
Administrivia
We like red herrings,
and we like bike sheds,
but we really like the IETF, IRTF, IAB, and ISE.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Stream</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IETF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards Track</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Proposed Standard</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Internet Standard</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Draft Standard</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Current Practice</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informational</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Stream</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards Track</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Proposed Standard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Internet Standard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Standard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Current Practice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informational</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimental</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Nobody is suggesting killing streams, just calling the output something different.
Total RFCs through streams.
RFC through streams w/o Legacy.
RSE’s RFCs: 5779 total.
Questions
Is there one or more issues?

Yes there is confusion.

No there is no confusion.
Are these issues worth fixing?

Yes it will clear up confusion.

No it will not.

And, any changes are going to have side-effects that will be hard to undo.
If it is worthwhile, how do we address the issues?
Discussion
Wrap-UP