TAPS Document tracks?

Charter: “Submit an Informational document defining a set of security-related Transport Services”

Three TAPS definitional docs:

- draft-ietf-taps-arch -> Informational?
- draft-ietf-taps-impl -> Informational?

The chairs would like input from the wg on the appropriate track for these docs.
IESG Guidance

https://www.ietf.org/standards/process/informational-vs-experimental/

4.2.1 Experimental

The "Experimental" designation typically denotes a specification that is part of some research or development effort.

4.2.2 Informational

An "Informational" specification is published for the general information of the Internet community, and does not represent an Internet community consensus or recommendation.
IESG Guidance (cont)

The following set of guidelines will be used by the IESG. The list is read from top to bottom; the first one that seems to apply is probably the one that makes sense to follow.

1. **If it can't be practiced, it's Informational.** Unless it's a protocol, a procedure or a format, it is hard to see what kind of experiment it can be.

2. **If it's not going to be changed no matter what the result is, it's Informational.** This is typically the case with vendor protocols.

3. A similar case is work that could be practiced, was developed in the IETF, has been dropped for some reason, but is being published for the record.

4. **If the IETF may publish something based on this on the standards track once we know how well this one works, it's Experimental.**

5. **If the document contains implicit or explicit success/failure criteria** and it's clear that the outcome can be used as the basis for a recommendation to the IETF community, it's Experimental.