

ippm,6man  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: April 23, 2019

S. Bhandari  
F. Brockners  
C. Pignataro  
Cisco  
H. Gredler  
RtBrick Inc.  
J. Leddy  
Comcast  
S. Youell  
JMPC  
T. Mizrahi  
Huawei Network.IO Innovation Lab  
A. Kfir  
B. Gafni  
Mellanox Technologies, Inc.  
P. Lapukhov  
Facebook  
M. Spiegel  
Barefoot Networks  
S. Krishnan  
Kaloom  
October 20, 2018

In-situ OAM IPv6 Options  
draft-ioametal-ippm-6man-ioam-ipv6-options-01

Abstract

In-situ Operations, Administration, and Maintenance (IOAM) records operational and telemetry information in the packet while the packet traverses a path between two points in the network. This document outlines how IOAM data fields are encapsulated in IPv6.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 23, 2019.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

- 1. Introduction . . . . . 2
- 2. Conventions . . . . . 2
  - 2.1. Requirements Language . . . . . 2
  - 2.2. Abbreviations . . . . . 3
- 3. In-situ OAM Metadata Transport in IPv6 . . . . . 3
- 4. Security Considerations . . . . . 5
- 5. IANA Considerations . . . . . 5
- 6. Acknowledgements . . . . . 5
- 7. References . . . . . 6
  - 7.1. Normative References . . . . . 6
  - 7.2. Informative References . . . . . 6
- Authors' Addresses . . . . . 6

1. Introduction

In-situ Operations, Administration, and Maintenance (IOAM) records operational and telemetry information in the packet while the packet traverses a path between two points in the network. This document outlines how IOAM data fields are encapsulated in the IPv6 [RFC8200].

2. Conventions

2.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.



Option Type: 8-bit identifier of the type of option.

Opt Data Len: 8-bit unsigned integer. Length of the Reserved and Option Data field of this option, in octets.

Reserved: 8-bit field MUST be set to zero upon transmission and ignored upon reception.

IOAM Type: 8-bit field as defined in section 7.2 in [I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data].

Option Data: Variable-length field. Option-Type-specific data.

In-situ OAM Options are inserted as Option data as follows:

1. Pre-allocated Tracing Option: The in-situ OAM Preallocated Tracing option defined in [I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data] is represented as a IPv6 option in hop by hop extension header:

Option Type: 001xxxxx 8-bit identifier of the IOAM type of option. xxxxx=TBD.

IOAM Type: IOAM Pre-allocated Trace Option Type.

2. Incremental Tracing Option: The in-situ OAM Incremental Tracing option defined in [I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data] is represented as a IPv6 option in hop by hop extension header:

Option Type: 001xxxxx 8-bit identifier of the IOAM type of option. xxxxx=TBD.

IOAM Type: IOAM Incremental Trace Option Type.

3. Proof of Transit Option: The in-situ OAM POT option defined in [I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data] is represented as a IPv6 option in hop by hop extension header:

Option Type: 001xxxxx 8-bit identifier of the IOAM type of option. xxxxx=TBD.

IOAM Type: IOAM POT Option Type.

4. Edge to Edge Option: The in-situ OAM E2E option defined in [I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data] is represented as a IPv6 option in IPv6 option in destination options extension header:

Option Type: 000xxxxx 8-bit identifier of the IOAM type of option. xxxxx=TBD.

IOAM Type: IOAM E2E Option Type.

All the in-situ OAM IPv6 options defined here have alignment requirements. Specifically, they all require 4n alignment. This ensures that 4 octet fields specified in [I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data] such as transit delay are aligned at a multiple-of-4 offset from the start of the Hop-by-Hop Options header. In addition, to maintain IPv6 extension header 8-octet alignment and avoid the need to add or remove padding at every hop, the Trace-Type for Incremental Tracing Option in IPv6 MUST be selected such that the IOAM node data length is a multiple of 8-octets.

#### 4. Security Considerations

This document describes the encapsulation of IOAM data fields in IPv6. Security considerations of the specific IOAM data fields for each case (i.e., Trace, Proof of Transit, and E2E) are described in defined in [I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data].

As this document describes new options for IPv6, these are similar to the security considerations of [RFC8200] and the new weakness documented in [RFC8250].

#### 5. IANA Considerations

This draft requests the following IPv6 Option Type assignments from the Destination Options and Hop-by-Hop Options sub-registry of Internet Protocol Version 6 (IPv6) Parameters.

<http://www.iana.org/assignments/ipv6-parameters/ipv6-parameters.xhtml#ipv6-parameters-2>

Hex Value	Binary Value			Description	Reference
	act	chg	rest		
TBD_1_0	00	0	TBD_1	IOAM	[This draft]
TBD_1_1	00	1	TBD_1	IOAM	[This draft]

#### 6. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Tom Herbert, Eric Vyncke, Nalini Elkins, Srihari Raghavan, Ranganathan T S, Karthik Babu Harichandra Babu, Akshaya Nadahalli, Stefano Previdi, Hemant Singh, Erik Nordmark, LJ Wobker, and Andrew Yourtchenko for the comments and advice. For the IPv6 encapsulation, this document leverages concepts described in [I-D.kitamura-ipv6-record-route]. The authors would like to acknowledge the work done by the author Hiroshi Kitamura and people involved in writing it.

## 7. References

### 7.1. Normative References

- [I-D.ietf-ippm-ioam-data]  
Brockners, F., Bhandari, S., Pignataro, C., Gredler, H., Leddy, J., Youell, S., Mizrahi, T., Mozes, D., Lapukhov, P., Chang, R., and d. daniel.bernier@bell.ca, "Data Fields for In-situ OAM", draft-ietf-ippm-ioam-data-01 (work in progress), October 2017.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

### 7.2. Informative References

- [I-D.kitamura-ipv6-record-route]  
Kitamura, H., "Record Route for IPv6 (PR6) Hop-by-Hop Option Extension", draft-kitamura-ipv6-record-route-00 (work in progress), November 2000.
- [RFC8200] Deering, S. and R. Hinden, "Internet Protocol, Version 6 (IPv6) Specification", STD 86, RFC 8200, DOI 10.17487/RFC8200, July 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8200>>.
- [RFC8250] Elkins, N., Hamilton, R., and M. Ackermann, "IPv6 Performance and Diagnostic Metrics (PDM) Destination Option", RFC 8250, DOI 10.17487/RFC8250, September 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8250>>.

### Authors' Addresses

Shwetha Bhandari  
Cisco Systems, Inc.  
Cessna Business Park, Sarjapura Marathalli Outer Ring Road  
Bangalore, KARNATAKA 560 087  
India

Email: [shwethab@cisco.com](mailto:shwethab@cisco.com)

Frank Brockners  
Cisco Systems, Inc.  
Hansaallee 249, 3rd Floor  
DUESSELDORF, NORDRHEIN-WESTFALEN 40549  
Germany

Email: fbrockne@cisco.com

Carlos Pignataro  
Cisco Systems, Inc.  
7200-11 Kit Creek Road  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709  
United States

Email: cpignata@cisco.com

Hannes Gredler  
RtBrick Inc.

Email: hannes@rtbrick.com

John Leddy  
Comcast

Email: John\_Leddy@cable.comcast.com

Stephen Youell  
JP Morgan Chase  
25 Bank Street  
London E14 5JP  
United Kingdom

Email: stephen.youell@jpmorgan.com

Tal Mizrahi  
Huawei Network.IO Innovation Lab  
Israel

Email: tal.mizrahi.phd@gmail.com

Aviv Kfir  
Mellanox Technologies, Inc.  
350 Oakmead Parkway, Suite 100  
Sunnyvale, CA 94085  
U.S.A.

Email: avivk@mellanox.com

Barak Gafni  
Mellanox Technologies, Inc.  
350 Oakmead Parkway, Suite 100  
Sunnyvale, CA 94085  
U.S.A.

Email: gbarak@mellanox.com

Petr Lapukhov  
Facebook  
1 Hacker Way  
Menlo Park, CA 94025  
US

Email: petr@fb.com

Mickey Spiegel  
Barefoot Networks  
4750 Patrick Henry Drive  
Santa Clara, CA 95054  
US

Email: mspiegel@barefootnetworks.com

Suresh Krishnan  
Kaloom

Email: suresh@kaloom.com