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Abstract

This memo presents the current deployment of network coding in some satellite telecommunications systems along with a discussion on the multiple opportunities to introduce these techniques at a wider scale.
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1. Introduction

Guaranteeing both physical layer robustness and efficient usage of the radio resource has been in the core design of SATellite COMMunication (SATCOM) systems. The trade-off often resided in how much redundancy a system had to add to cope from link impairments, without reducing the good-put when the channel quality is high. Generally speaking, enough redundancy is added so as to guarantee a Quasi-Error Free transmission; however, there are cases where the physical layer could hardly recover the transmission losses (e.g. with a mobile user) and layer 2 (or above) re-transmissions induce an at least 500 ms delay with a geostationary satellite. Further exploiting network coding schemes at higher OSI-layers is an opportunity for releasing constraints on the physical layer and improving the performance of SATCOM systems when the physical layer is challenged. We have noticed an active research activity on how network coding and SATCOM in the past. That being said, not much has actually made it to industrial developments. In this context, this memo aims at:
summing up the current deployment of network coding schemes over LEO and GEO satellite systems;

- identifying opportunities for further usage of network coding in these systems.

### 1.1. Glossary

The glossary of this memo is related to the network coding taxonomy document [RFC8406].

The glossary is extended as follows:

- **BBFRAME**: Base-Band FRAME - satellite communication layer 2 encapsulation works as follows: (1) each layer 3 packet is encapsulated with a Generic Stream Encapsulation (GSE) mechanism, (2) GSE packets are gathered to create BBFRAMEs, (3) BBFRAMEs contain information related to how they have to be modulated (4) BBFRAMEs are forwarded to the physical layer;

- **CPE**: Customer Premise Equipment;

- **DTN**: Delay/Disruption Tolerant Network;

- **EPC**: Evolved Packet Core;

- **ETSI**: European Telecommunications Standards Institute;

- **PEP**: Performance Enhanced Proxy - a typical PEP for satellite communications include compression, caching and TCP acceleration;

- **PLFRAME**: Physical Layer FRAME - modulated version of a BBFRAME with additional information (e.g. related to synchronization);

- **SATCOM**: generic term related to all kind of SATellite COMMunications systems;

- **QoS**: Quality-of-Service;

- **QoE**: Quality-of-Experience;

- **VNF**: Virtualized Network Function.

### 1.2. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].
2. A note on satellite topology

The objective of this section is to provide both a generic description of the components composing a generic satellite system and their interaction. It provides a high level description of a multi-gateway satellites network. There exist multiple SATCOM systems, such as those dedicated to broadcasting TV or to IoT applications: depending on the purpose of the SATCOM system, ground segments are specific. This memo lays on SATCOM systems dedicated to Internet access that follows the DVB-S2/RCS2 standards. In this context, the increase of the available capacity that is carried out to end users and the need for reliability results in the need for multiple gateways for one unique satellite platform.

In this context, Figure 1 shows an example of a multi-gateway satellite system. More details on a generic SATCOM ground segment architecture for a bi-directional Internet access can be found in [SAT2017]. We propose a multi-gateway system since some of the use-cases described in this document require multiple gateways. In a multi-gateway system, some elements may be centralized and/or gathered: the relevance of one approach compared to another depends on the deployment scenario. More information on these trade-off discussions can be found in [SAT2017].

It is worth noting that some functional blocks aggregate the traffic coming from multiple users. Even if network coding schemes could be applied to any individual traffic, it could also work on an aggregate.
Figure 1: Data plane functions in a generic satellite multi-gateway system
3. Status of network coding in actually deployed satellite systems

Figure 2 presents the status of the network coding deployment in satellite systems. The information is based on the taxonomy document [RFC8406] and the notations are the following: End-to-End Coding (E2E), Network Coding (NC), Intra-Flow Coding (IntraF), Inter-Flow Coding (InterF), Single-Path Coding (SP) and Multi-Path Coding (MP).

X1 embodies the source coding that could be used at application level for instance: for video streaming on a broadband access. X2 embodies the physical layer, applied to the PLFRAME, to optimize the satellite capacity usage. Furthermore, at the physical layer and when random accesses are exploited, FEC mechanisms are exploited. It is worth pointing out that NC is an inherent part of the physical layer of satellite systems, but based on public information, NC does not seem to be widely used at higher OSI layers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Upper Appl.</th>
<th>Middle ware</th>
<th>Communication layers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source coding</td>
<td>X1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL-FEC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packetization</td>
<td></td>
<td>X2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDP/IP layer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHY layer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 2: Network coding in current satellite systems

4. Details on the use cases

This section details use-cases where network coding schemes could improve the overall performance of a SATCOM system (e.g. considering a more efficient usage of the satellite resource, delivery delay, delivery ratio).

It is worth noting that these use-cases focus more on the middleware (e.g. aggregation nodes) and packetization UDP/IP of Figure 2. Indeed, there are already lots of recovery mechanisms at the physical and access layers in currently deployed systems while E2E source coding are done at the application level. In a multi-gateway SATCOM Internet access, the specific opportunities are more relevant in
specific SATCOM components such as the "network function" block or the "access gateway" of Figure 1.

4.1. Two way relay channel mode

This use-case considers a two-way communication between end users, through a satellite link. We propose an illustration of this scenario in Figure 3.

Satellite terminal A (resp. B) transmits a flow A (resp. B) to a server hosting NC capabilities, which forward a combination of the two flows to both terminals. This results in non-negligible bandwidth saving and has been demonstrated at ASMS 2010 in Cagliari [ASMS2010]. Moreover, with On-Board Processing satellite payloads, the network coding operations could be done at the satellite level, thus reducing the end-to-end delay of the communication.

\[-X\}- : traffic from satellite terminal X to the server
\={X+Y= : traffic from X and Y combined transmitted from the server to terminals X and Y

\[\begin{align*}
\text{Sat term A} & \rightarrow -A \rightarrow \\
\text{Sat term B} & \rightarrow -B \rightarrow
\end{align*}\]

Figure 3: Network architecture for two way relay channel with NC

4.2. Reliable multicast

This use-case considers adding redundancy to a multicast flow depending on what has been received by different end-users, resulting in non-negligible scarce resource saving. We propose an illustration for this scenario in Figure 4.

A multicast flow (M) is forwarded to both satellite terminals A and B. However packet Ni (resp. Nj) get lost at terminal A (resp. B), and terminal A (resp. B) returns a negative acknowledgement Li (resp. Lj), indicating that the packet is missing. Then either the access gateway or the multicast server includes a repair packet (rather than the individual Ni and Nj packets) in the multicast flow.

\[\begin{align*}
\text{Sat term A} & \rightarrow -A \rightarrow \\
\text{Sat term B} & \rightarrow -B \rightarrow
\end{align*}\]
to let both terminals recover from losses. This could be achieved by using NACK-Oriented Reliable Multicast (NORM) [RFC5740] in situations where a feedback is possible and desirable, or FLUTE/ALC [RFC6726] when it is not the case. Note that currently both NORM nor FLUTE/ALC are limited to block coding, none of them supporting sliding window encoding schemes [RFC8406].

-Li} : packet indicated the loss of packet i of a multicast flow
={M== : multicast flow including the missing packets

---Figure 4: Network architecture for a reliable multicast with NC---

4.3. Hybrid access

This use-case considers the use of multiple path management with network coding at the transport level to increase the reliability and/or the total bandwidth (using multiple path does not guarantee an improvement of both the reliability and the total bandwidth). We propose an illustration for this scenario in Figure 5. This use-case is inspired from the Broadband Access via Integrated Terrestrial Satellite Systems (BATS) project and has been published as an ETSI Technical Report [ETSITR2017]. It is worth noting that this kind of architecture is also discussed in the MPTCP working group [I-D.boucadair-mptcp-dhc].

To cope with packet loss (due to either end-user mobility or physical layer impairments), network coding could be introduced in both the CPE and at the concentrator.
4.4. Dealing with varying capacity

This use-case considers the usage of network coding to overcome cases where the wireless link characteristics quickly change overtime and where the physical layer codes could not be made robust in time. This is particularly relevant when end users are moving and the channel shows important variations [IEEEVT2001].

The architecture is recalled in Figure 6. The network coding schemes could be applied at the access gateway or the network function block levels to increase the reliability of the transmission. This use-case is mostly relevant for when mobile users are considered or when the chosen band induce a required physical layer coding that may change over time (Q/V bands, Ka band, etc.). Depending on the use-case (e.g. very high frequency bands, mobile users) or depending on the deployment use-cases (e.g. performance of the network between each individual block), the relevance of adding network coding is different. Then, depending on the OSI level at which network coding is applied, the impact on the satellite terminal is different: network coding may be applied on IP packets or on layer-2 proprietary format packets.

Figure 5: Network architecture for an hybrid access using NC

Figure 6: Network architecture for dealing with varying link characteristics with NC
4.5. Improving the gateway handovers

This use-case considers the recovery of packets that may be lost during gateway handovers. Whether this is for off-loading one given equipment or because the transmission quality is not the same on each gateway, changing the transmission gateway may be relevant. However, if gateways are not properly synchronized, this may result in packet loss. During these critical phases, network coding can be added to improve the reliability of the transmission and propose a seamless gateway handover. In this case, the network coding could be applied at either the access gateway or the network function block. The entity responsible for taking the decision to change the communication gateway and changing the routes is the control plane manager; this entity exploits a management interface.

An example architecture for this use-case is showed in Figure 7. It is worth noting that depending on the ground architecture [I-D.chin-nfvrg-cloud-5g-core-structure-yang] [SAT2017], some equipment might be communalised.

-|- : bidirectional link  
!  : management interface

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical gateway</th>
<th>Access gateway</th>
<th>Network function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+{-}+</td>
<td>+{-}+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite</td>
<td>SAT</td>
<td>Control plane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal</td>
<td></td>
<td>manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+{-}+</td>
<td>+{-}+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical gateway</td>
<td>Access gateway</td>
<td>Network function</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7: Network architecture for dealing with gateway handover schemes with NC

4.6. Delay/Disruption Tolerant Networks

Establishing communications from terrestrial gateways to aerospace components is a challenge due to the distances involved. As a matter of fact, reliable end-to-end (E2E) communications over such links must cope with long delay and frequent link disruptions. Delay/
Disruption Tolerant Networking [RFC4838] is a solution to enable reliable internetworking space communications where both standard ad-hoc routing and E2E Internet protocols cannot be used. DTN can also be seen as an alternative solution to cope with satellite communications usually managed by PEP. Therefore, the transport of data over such networks requires the use of replication, erasure codes and multipath protocol schemes [WANG05] [ZHANG06] to improve the bundle delivery ratio and/or delivery delay. For instance, transport protocols such as LTP [RFC5326] for long delay links with connectivity disruptions, use Automatic Repeat-reQuest (ARQ) and unequal error protection to reduce the amount of non-mandatory re-transmissions. The work in [TOURNOUX10] proposed upon LTP a robust streaming method based on an on-the-fly coding scheme, where encoding and decoding procedures are done at the source and destination nodes, respectively. However, each link path loss rate may have various order of magnitude and re-encoding at an intermediate node to adapt the redundancy can be mandatory to prevent transmission wasting. This idea has been put forward in [I-D.zinky-dtnrg-random-binary-fec-scheme] and [I-D.zinky-dtnrg-erasure-coding-extension], where the authors proposed an encoding process at intermediate DTN nodes to explore the possibilities of Forward Error Correction (FEC) schemes inside the bundle protocol [RFC5050]. Another proposal is the use of erasure coding inside the CCSDS (Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems) architecture [COLA11]. The objective is to extend the CCSDS File Delivery Protocol (CFDP) [CCSDS-FDP] with erasure coding capabilities where a Low Density Parity Check (LDPC) [RFC6816] code with a large block size is chosen. Recently, on-the-fly erasure coding schemes [LACAN08] [SUNDARARAJAN08] [TOURNOUX11] have shown their benefits in terms of recovery capability and configuration complexity compared to traditional FEC schemes. Using a feedback path when available, on-the-fly schemes can be used to enable E2E reliable communication over DTN with adaptive re-encoding as proposed in [THAI15].

5. Research challenges

5.1. Deployability in current SATCOM systems

SATCOM systems typically feature Performance Enhancement Proxy RFC 3135 [RFC3135] which could be relevant to host network coding mechanisms and thus support the use-cases that have been discussed in Section 4. PEP usually split TCP end-to-end connections and forward TCP packets to the satellite baseband gateway that deals with layer 2 and layer 1 encapsulations. Deploying network coding schemes at the TCP level in these equipments could be relevant and independant from the specificities of a SATCOM link. That being said, we can notice a research issue in the recurrent trade-off in SATCOM systems that is
related to the amount of reliability that you introduce in the first transmission to guarantee a better end-user QoE and the usage of the scarce resource.

5.2. Interaction with NFV

Related to the foreseen virtualized network infrastructure, the network coding schemes could be proposed as VNF and their deployability enhanced. The architecture for the next generation of SATCOM ground segments would rely on a virtualized environment. This trend can also be seen, making the discussions on the deployability of network coding in SATCOM extendable to other deployment scenarios [I-D.chin-nfvr-g-cloud-5g-core-structure-yang]. As one example, the network coding VNF functions deployment in a virtualized environment is presented in [I-D.vazquez-nfvr-g-netcod-function-virtualization]. A research challenge would be the optimization of the NFV service function chaining, considering a virtualized infrastructure and other SATCOM specific functions, to guarantee an efficient radio resource utilization and easy to deploy SATCOM services.

6. Conclusion

This document presents the current deployment of network coding in some satellite telecommunications systems along with a discussion on the multiple opportunities to introduce these techniques at a wider scale.

Even if this document focuses on satellite systems, it is worth pointing out that the some scenarios proposed may be relevant to other wireless telecommunication systems. As one example, the generic architecture proposed in Figure 1 may be mapped to cellular networks as follows: the ‘network function’ block gather some of the functions of the Evolved Packet Core subsystem, while the ‘access gateway’ and ‘physical gateway’ blocks gather the same type of functions as the Universal Mobile Terrestrial Radio Access Network. This mapping extends the opportunities identified in this draft since they may be also relevant for cellular networks.
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Abstract

This document describes the current research outcomes regarding Network Coding (NC) for Content-Centric Networking (CCN) / Named Data Networking (NDN), and clarifies the requirements and challenges for applying NC into CCN/NDN.
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Information-Centric Networks in general, and Content-Centric Networking (CCN) [15] or Named Data Networking (NDN) [16] in particular, have emerged as a novel communication paradigm advocating to retrieve data through their names. This paradigm pushes content awareness into the network layer. It is expected to enable consumers to obtain the content they desire in a straightforward and efficient manner from the heterogenous networks they may be connected to. The CCN/NDN architecture has introduced innovative ideas and has stimulated research in a variety of areas, such as in-network caching, name-based routing, multi-path transport, content security, and so on. One key benefit of requesting content by name is that it removes the need to establish a session between the client and a specific server, and that content can thereby be retrieved from multiple sources.
In parallel, there has been a growing interest from both academia and industry to better understand fundamental aspects of Network Coding (NC) toward enhancing key system performance metrics such as data throughput, robustness and reduction in the required number of transmissions through connected networks, point-to-multipoint connections, etc. Typically, NC is a technique mainly used to encode packets to recover lost source packets at the receiver, and to effectively get the desired information in a fully distributed manner. In addition, NC can be used for security enhancements.

NC aggregates multiple packets with parts of the same content together, and may do this at the source or at other nodes in the network. As such, network coded packets are not connected to a specific server, as they may have evolved within the network. Since NC focuses on what information should be encoded in a network packet, rather than the specific host where it has been generated, it is in line with the CCN/NDN core networking layer (described in more detail later on). NC has already been implemented for information/content dissemination (e.g. [6][7][8]). NC provides CCN/NDN with the highly beneficial potential to effectively disseminate information in a completely independent and decentralized manner. [9] first suggested to exploit NC techniques to enhance key system performances in ICN, and others have considered NC in ICN use cases such as content dissemination [10], seamless mobility [11], joint caching and network coding [12][13], low-latency video streaming [14], etc.

In this document, we consider how NC can be applied to the CCN/NDN architecture and describe the requirements and potential challenges for making CCN/NDN-based communications better using the NC technology. Please note that providing specific solutions (e.g., NC optimization methods) to enhance CCN/NDN performance metrics by exploiting NC is out of scope of this document.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [1].

2.1. Definitions

The terminology regarding NC used in this document is described below. It is aligned with RFCs produced by the FEC Framework (FECFRAME) IETF Working Groups as well as recent activities in the Network Coding Research Group [18].
o Random Linear Coding (RLC): Particular case of Linear Coding using a set of random coding coefficients.

o Generation, or (IETF) Block: With Block Codes, the set of content data that are logically grouped into a Block, before doing encoding.

o Generation Size: With Block Codes, the number k of content data belonging to a Block.

o Encoding Vector: A set of coding coefficients used to generate a certain coded packet through linear coding. The number of nonzero coefficients in the Coding Vector defines its density.

o Finite Field: Finite fields, used in Linear Codes, have the desired property of having all elements (except zero) invertible for + and * and all operations over any elements do not result in an overflow or underflow. Examples of Finite Fields are prime fields \( \{0..p^m-1\} \), where p is prime. Most used fields use \( p=2 \) and are called binary extension fields \( \{0..2^m-1\} \), where m often equals 1, 4 or 8 for practical reasons.

o Finite Field size: The number of elements in a finite field. For example the binary extension field \( \{0..2^m-1\} \) has size \( q=2^m \).

o Block Coding: Coding technique where the input Flow(s) must be first segmented into a sequence of blocks, FEC encoding and decoding being performed independently on a per-block basis.

o Sliding Window Coding or Convolutional Coding: General class of coding techniques that rely on a sliding encoding window. This is an alternative solution to Block Coding.

o Fixed or Elastic Sliding Window Coding: Coding technique that generates repair data on-the-fly, from the set of source data present in the sliding encoding window at that time, usually by using Linear Coding. The sliding window may be either of fixed size or of variable size over the time (also known as "elastic sliding window").

o Feedback: Feedback information sent by a decoding node to a node (or from a consumer to a publisher in case of End-to-End Coding). The nature of information contained in a feedback packet varies, depending on the use-case. It can provide reception and/or decoding statistics, or the list of available source packets received or decoded, or the list of lost source packets that should be retransmitted, or a number of additional repair packet needed to have a full rank linear system.
Concerning CCN/NDN, the following terminology and definitions are used.

- **Consumer**: A node requesting content. It initiates communication by sending an interest packets.

- **Publisher**: A node providing content. It originally creates or owns the content.

- **Forwarding Information Base (FIB)**: A lookup table in a content router containing the name prefix and corresponding destination interface to forward the interest packets.

- **Pending Interest Table (PIT)**: A lookup table populated by the interest packets containing the name prefix of the requested data, and the outgoing interface used to forward the received data packets.

- **Content Store (CS)**: A storage space for a router to cache content objects. It is also known as in-network cache.

- **Content Object**: A unit of content data delivered through the CCN/NDN network.

- **Content Flow**: A sequence of content objects associated with the unique content name prefix.

### 2.2. NDN/CCN Background

Armed with the terminology above, we briefly explain the key concepts of CCN/NDN. Both protocols are similar in principle, and different on some implementation choices.

In a CCN network, there are two types of packets at the network level: interest and data. The consumer request a content by sending an "interest" message, that carries the name of the data. On difference to note here in CCN and NDN is that in later versions of CCN, the interest must carry a full name, while in NDN it may carry a name prefix (and receive in return any data with a name matching this prefix).

Once a router receives an "interest" message, it performs a series of look-up: first it checks in the Content Store if it has a copy of the requested content available. If it does, it returns the data and the transaction has successfully completed.

If it does not, it performs a look-up of the PIT to see if there is already an outgoing request for the same data. If there is not, then
it creates an entry in the PIT that lists the name included in the interest, and the interfaces from which it received the interest. This is used later to send the data back, since interest packets do not carry a source field that identifies the requester. If there is already a PIT entry for this name, then it is updated with the incoming interface of this new request and the interest is discarded.

After the PIT look-up, the interest undergoes a FIB lookup to select an outgoing interface. The FIB lists name prefixes and their corresponding forwarding interfaces, to send the interface towards a router that possesses a copy of the requested data.

Once a copy of the data is retrieved, it is send back to the requester(s) using the trail of PIT entries; intermediate node remove the PIT state every time that an interest is satisfied, and may store the data in their content store.

Data packets carry some information to validate the data, in particular that the data is indeed the one that corresponds to the name. This is required since authentication of the object is crucial in CCN/NDN. However, this step is optional at intermediate routers, so as to speed up the processing.

The key aspect of CCN/NDN is that the consumer of the content does not establish a session with a specific server. Indeed, the node that returns the content is not aware of the network location of the requester and the requester is not aware of the network location of the node that provides the content. This in theory allows the interests to follow different paths within a network, or even to be sent over totally different networks.

3. Advantage given by NC and CCN/NDN

Both NC for large scale content dissemination [7] and CCN/NDN can contribute to effective content/information delivery while working jointly. They both bring similar benefits such as throughput/capacity gain and robustness enhancement. The difference between their approaches is that, the former considers content flow as algebraic information to combine [17], while the latter focuses on content/information itself at the networking layer. Because these approaches are complementary, it is natural to combine them. The CCN/NDN core abstraction at networking layer through name makes network stack simple as it enables applications to take maximum advantage of multiple simultaneous connectivities due to its simpler relationship with the layer 2 [15].

CCN/NDN itself, however, cannot provide reliable and robust content dissemination. This requires some specific CCN/NDN transport (i.e.,
strategy layer) [15]. NC can enable the CCN/NDN transport system to effectively distribute and cache data associated with multi-path data retrieval. Furthermore, NC may further enhance CCN/NDN security [23]. In this context, it should be natural that there is much room for considering NC integration into CCN/NDN transport exploiting in-network caching and multi-path transmission [9] and seamless mobility [11][29].

From the perspective of NC transport mechanism, NC is divided into two major categories: one is coherent NC, and the other is non-coherent NC [31]. In coherent NC, source and destination nodes exactly know network topology and coding operations at intermediate nodes. When multiple consumers are trying to receive the same content such as live video streaming, coherent NC could enable the optimal throughput by making the content flow sent over the constructed optimal multicast trees [24].

However, it requires fully adjustable and specific name-based routing mechanism for CCN/NDN, and an intense computational task for central coordination. In the case of non-coherent NC that often utilizes RLC, they do not need to know network topology and intermediate coding operations [25]. Since non-coherent NC works in a completely independent and decentralized manner, this approach is more feasible especially in the large scale use cases that are intended with CCN/NDN. This document thus focuses on non-coherent NC with RLC.

4. Requirements

This section presents the NC requirements for ICN/CCN in terms of network architecture and protocol. The current document focuses on NC in a block coding manner.

4.1. Content Naming

Naming content objects is as important for CCN/NDN as naming hosts is for today’s Internet [19]. Before performing network coding for specified content in CCN/NDN, the overall content should be split into small content objects to avoid packet fragmentation that could cause unnecessary packet processing and degrades throughput. The size of content objects should be within the allowable packet size so as to avoid packet fragmentation in CCN/NDN network, and then network coding should be applied into a set of the content objects.

Each coded packet MAY have a unique name as the original content object has in CCN/NDN, since PIT/FIB/CS operations need a unique name to identify the coded data. As a way of naming coded packet, the encoding vector and the identifier of generation can be used as a part of the content object name [10]. For instance, when the block
size (also called generation size) is $k$ and the encoding vector is $[1,0,0,0]$, the name would be like /CCN.com/video-A/k/1000. This naming scheme is simple and can support the delivery of coded packets with exactly the same operations in the FIB/PIT/CS as for original source packets. However, such a naming way requires the consumer to know the naming structure (through a specific name resolution scheme for instance) in order for nodes to specify the exact name of generated coded data packet to retrieve it. From this point of view, it could shift the generation of the encoding vector from the content producer onto the content requester.

If a naming schema such as above is used, it would be valuable to reconsider whether Interest should carry full names (as in CCN) or prefixes (as in NDN) as multiple network coded packets could match a response to a specific prefix for a given generation, such as /CCN.com/video-A/k. In the latter case allowing partial name matching, the content requester may not be able to obtain degrees of freedom. Thus, extensions in the TLV header of the Interest would be used to specify further network coding information so as to limit coded packets to be received (for instance, by specifying the encoded vectors the content requester receives (also called decoding matrix) as in [9]). However, it may incur a largely increased size of TLV header. Without such coding information, the forwarding node would need to maintain some records regarding interest packets sent before, in order to provide new degrees of freedom.

Coded packet MAY have a name that indicates that it is a coded packet, and move the coding information into a metadata field in the payload (i.e., the name includes only data type, original or coded packet, etc). This however would preclude network coding on packets without prior decoding them (for instance, in the CS of forwarding nodes). It would not be beneficial for applications or services that may not need to understand the packet payload. Due to the possibility that multiple coded packets may have a same name, as described above, some mechanism needs for the content requester to obtain innovative coded packets. It would also require some mechanism to insert the multiple innovative packets into the CS. If the coding information of coded packet are encrypted together with the payload (for instance, at source coding), the content requester or forwarding nodes would incur extra computational overhead for decryption of the packet to interpret the coding information.

4.2. Transport

The pull-based request-response feature of CCN/NDN is the fundamental principle of its transport layer; one Interest retrieves at most one Data packet. It is important to not violate this rule, as it would
open denial of service attacks issues, and thus the following basic operation should be considered to apply NC to CCN/NDN.

4.2.1. Scope of Network Coding

It should be discussed whether the network can update data packets that are being received in transit, or if only the data that matches an interest can be subject to network coding operations. In the latter case, the network coding is performed on an end-to-end basis (where one end is the consumer, and the other end is any node that is able to respond to the Interest). In the former case, NC happens anywhere in the network that is able to update the data. As CCN/NDN has mechanisms in place to ensure the integrity of the data during transfer, NC in the network introduce complexities that would require special consideration for the integrity mechanisms to still work.

Similarly, caching of network coded packets at intermediate node may be valuable, but may prevent the node caching the coded content to validate the content.

4.2.2. Consumer Operation

To attain NC benefits associated with in-network caching, consumers need to issue interests directing the router (or publisher) to forward innovative coded packets if available. The reason why this directive is needed is that delay-sensitive applications such as live-video streaming may want to sequentially get original packets rather than coded packets cached in routers due to real-time constraint. Issuing such an interest is possible by using optional TLV (Type Length Value) header contained in Interest TLV packet format which allows network elements to add or modify information on the fly. Consumer can put an instruction into it, and for instance, if routers detect that it is better for consumer to get coded packets rather than original packets, routers can modify it to do so. After receiving interests having the instruction in optional header, the router with useful coded packets forward them.

As another solution, consumer issues interests specifying unique names for each coded packets. In this case, a unified naming scheme considering both original and coded packets is required. Moreover, in the case of NC end-to-end approach, publishers need to get feedback from the corresponding receivers to adjust some coding parameters. To deal with this, a receiver may have to request a specific interest name to reach the corresponding publisher and put required information into the optional header.
4.2.3. Router Operation

Routers need to appropriately handle PIT entries to accommodate interests for coded packets as well as original packets. Moreover, in order to decode as necessary, nodes need to know the coding vector used for each coded packet (note: since all the data for a specific content may not come through the same path/network, intermediate nodes may never be able to decode). In a typical case, the coding vector used for each coded packet is attached to the header of coded data. In regard to this point, the generation size (also called block size) for NC should be set to a reasonable value so that the total coded packet size including header needed for expressing the coding vector information and data message fits into the allowable packet size. It may be useful to use compression techniques for coding vectors [20][21].

Router may try to forward useful independent coded packets toward downstream nodes in order to respond to received interests for coded packets. Routers thus need to determine whether or not they can generate useful coded packets for consumers. Assuming that the size of the Finite Field in use is not relatively small, re-encoding using enough cached packets has a strong probability of making independent coded packets [24]. If router does not have enough cached packets to newly produce independent coded packets, it relays received interests to upstream nodes to receive a new original or independent coded packet and pass it to downstream nodes. In another possible case, when receiving interests for only original packets, routers may try to decode and get all the original packets and store them (if there are fully available cache capacity), enabling faster response to the interests. Since there is a tradeoff between NC encoding/decoding calculation cost and cache capacity, and the usage efficacy of re-encoding or decoding at router, router should need to determine how to response to receiving interests according to the use case (e.g., delay-sensitive or delay-tolerant application) and the router situation such as available cache space and computational capability.

Some proposed schemes [10] require that the router maintain a tally of the interests for a specific name and generation, so as to know how many degrees of freedom have been provided already for the NC packets. Scalability and practicality of maintaining such scheme at intermediate routers should considered.

To enable fast loss recovery cooperating with in-network caching, a transport mechanism of in-network loss detection and recovery [29][14] at router as well as consumer-driven mechanism should be considered.
4.2.4. Publisher Operation

The procedure for splitting an overall content into small content objects is responsible for the original publisher. When applying NC for the content, the publisher performs NC over the content objects, and naming processing for the coded packets. If the producer takes the lead in determining the used encoding vectors and generating the coded packets, there are the two possible end-to-end cases; 1) content requestors obtain the names of coded packets through a certain mechanism, and send the correspond interests toward the publisher to get the coded packets already generated at the publisher, and 2) the publisher determines the encoding vectors after receiving interests specifying them. In the former case, although content requestors cannot flexibly specify an encoding vector for generating the coded packet to retain, but the latency for getting the coded data can be reduced compared to the latter case where additional NC operations need after receiving interests. According to application requirement for latency, such NC operation strategy should be considered.

4.3. In-network Caching

Caching is an essential technique to improve throughput and latency in various applications. In-network caching CCN/NDN essentially supports at network level is highly beneficial by exploiting NC to enable effective multicast transmission [30], multipath data retrieval [10][11], fast loss recovery [14], and so on. However, there are several issues to be considered.

As a general issue, there are limitations of cache capacity, and caching policy affects on consumer’s performances [22][26][27]. It is thus highly significant for routers to determine which packets should be cached and discarded. Since delay-sensitive applications often do not require in-network cache for a long period due to their real-time constraints, routers have to know the necessity for caching received packets to save the caching volume. This could be possible by putting a flag into optional header of data packets at publisher side. When receiving data packets with the flag meaning no necessity for cache, routers just have to forward them to downstream nodes. On the other hand, when receiving original packets or coded packets without the flag, router may cache them based on a specified replacement policy.

One key aspect of in-network caching is whether or not intermediate nodes can cache NC packets without first decoding them. If in-network caches store coded packets, they need to be able to validate that the packets are not compromised, so as to avoid cache pollution attacks. Without having all the packets in a generation, the cache
cannot decode the packets to check if it is authenticated. Caching of coded packets would require some mechanism to validate coded packets. In addition, when coded packets have a same name, it would also require some mechanism to identify them.

4.4. Seamless Mobility

This subsection presents how NC can achieve seamless mobility [11][29] and clarify the requirements. A key feature of CCN/NDN is that it is sessionless and that multiple interests can be send to different copies of the content in parallel. CCN/NDN enables a consumer to retrieve the content from multiple sources that are distributed and asynchronous.

In this context, network coding provide a mechanism to ensure that the Interests sent to multiple copies of the content retrieve innovative packets, even in the case of packet losses on some of the paths/networks to these copies. NC adds a reliability layer to CCN in a distributed and asynchronous manner. One key benefit is that the link between the consumer and the multiple copies acts as a virtual logical link, upon which rate adaptation mechanism can be performed.

This naturally applies to mobility event, where the consumer may connect between multiple access points before a mobility event (make-before-break handoff). In such mobility event, the consumer is connected first to the previous access point, then to both the previous and next access points, then finally only to the next access points. With CCN, the consumer only sends interests on the available interfaces. Requesting network coded packets ensures that during the phase where it is connected to the previous and the next APs at the same time, it does not receive duplicate data, but does not miss on any content either. By combining NC with CCN, the consumer receives additional degrees of freedom with any innovative packet it receives on either interface.

Further discussion is [TBD].

4.5. Security and Privacy

This subsection describes the requirement for security and privacy provided by NC in CCN/NDN, such as data integrity especially when intermediate nodes perform re-encoding, as in the case of hash restrictions for original data packets, and so on.

Network coding impacts the security mechanisms of CCN/NDN. In particular, CCN/NDN is designed to prevent modification of the Data packets. Because Data packets for a specific name can be self-
authenticated, they can be validated on the delivery path, and can also be cached at untrusted intermediate nodes. Network coding may bring up issues if intermediate nodes are allowed to modify packets by performing additional network coding operations. Intermediate nodes may also be caching network coded packets without having the ability to perform validation of the content and therefore open themselves to cache pollution attacks.

In CCN/NDN, content objects can be encrypted to support access control or privacy. If the coding information of coded packet is included in the encrypted data payload, extra computational overhead occurs.

5. Challenges

This section presents several primary challenges and research items to be considered when applying NC into CCN/NDN.

5.1. Adopting Convolutional Coding

Several block coding approaches have been proposed so far, but there is still no sufficient discussion and application of convolutional coding approach (e.g., sliding or elastic window coding) in CCN/NDN. Convolutional coding is often appropriate to situations where a fully or partially reliable delivery of continuous data flows is needed, especially when these data flows feature real-time constraints. As in [32] on an end-to-end basis, it would be advantageous for continuous content flow to adopt sliding window coding in CCN/NDN. In this case, the publisher needs to appropriately set coding parameters and let content requestor know the information, and content requestor needs to send interest (i.e., feedback information) about the data reception status. Since CCN/NDN advocates hop-by-hop communication, it would be worth discussing and investigating how convolutional coding can be applied in a hop-by-hop fashion and the benefits. In particular, assuming that NC could occur at intermediate nodes with some useful data packets stored in the CS as described in the previous section, both the encoding window and CS management would be required, and the feasibility and practicality should be considered.

5.2. Rate and Congestion Control

Adding redundancy using coded packets may cause further network congestion and adversely affect overall throughput performance. In particular, in a situation where fair bandwidth sharing is more desirable, each streaming flow must adapt to the network conditions to fairly consume the available link bandwidth. It is thus indispensable that each content flow cooperatively implements congestion control to adjust the consumed bandwidth to stabilize the
network condition (i.e., to achieve low packet loss rate, delay, and jitter).

5.3. Security and Privacy

A variety of security and privacy concerns would exist in NC and CCN/NDN. This subsection focuses on the description of security and privacy challenges related to NC for CCN/NDN. [TBD]

5.4. Routing Scalability

This subsection focuses on the challenges of routing mechanisms such as scalability and protocol overhead, and so on.

6. Security Considerations

This document does not impact the security of the Internet. Security considerations related to NC for CCN/NDN are described in the previous Section.
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Abstract

Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR), combined as Extended Reality or XR, challenge networking technologies and protocols because they combine the features of fast information display, image processing, computing and forwarding. This document presents some of these challenges and how adding computing in the network could respond to them.
1. Introduction

Augmented and Virtual reality target different applications but they all share a number of stringent delay and bandwidth requirements to prevent confusing the brain whenever information about the virtual environment is not wholly consistent causing motion sickness symptoms [VRSICK]. Hence to now XR has been delivered mostly locally via combinations of computers and headsets with some cloud implementations being limited to time invariant imaging in one direction.

But with the emergence of the edge and the programmability of network elements all the way from the data center to the users the possibility of creating networked, multiparty/multisource and interacting XR comes closer to reality. This document wants to review what is necessary for the current localized and cloud supported XR to evolve to a more distributed and edge centric architecture to support advanced immersive application and services. It assumes that network programmability will enable to tailor the network to the XR requirements. This document is about requirements not solutions per se but will mention work that has already been done.
towards a more networked XR including Information Centric architectures, Artificial Intelligence and in network coding. The networked functionality should enable to supplement local XR services and devices while keeping the very low latency and the very high data rates that are required by XR.

This document is intended as informative to both the networking and application research community. It does not address a specific network layer or protocol but provides architecture and system level specifications and guidelines. For example:

Latency: the physical distance between the XR content cloud of AR/VR and users are short enough to limit the propagation delay to the 20 ms usually cited for XR applications [ref] mixed for example with IoT devices and sensors delay reduction for range of interest (RoI) detection.

Applications: better coding and use of compression algorithms, pre-fetching and pre-caching and movement prediction.

Network access: push some networking functions in the data plane into the user plane to enable the deployment of stream specific algorithms for congestion control and application-based load balancing based on machine learning and user data patterns.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

2. Definitions

AR: Augmented Reality (AR) is a live direct or indirect view of a physical, real-world environment whose elements are augmented by computer-generated input such as sound, video, location or graphical data. It is related to a more general concept called mediated reality [MEDIA], in which reality is modified (diminished or augmented) by computer-generated imagery.

VR Virtual Reality (VR): uses software-generated realistic imaging, sounds and other sensor inputs to replicate a real or imaginary setting, to simulates a user’s physical presence in this
environment and provide an immersive experience that enable the user to interact with objects and move within this space.

360-degree video: 360-degree videos, also known as immersive videos or spherical videos, are video recordings where a view in every direction is recorded at the same time using an omnidirectional camera or a collection of cameras. 360° video is outside the scope of this document.

XR: extended reality is used to address both AR and VR together.

3. Extended Reality and In-Network Computing

XR is an example of the Multisource Multidestination Problem that combines video, haptics and tactile experiences as well, in interactive or networked mode multiparty and social interactions. Thus, XR is difficult to deliver with a client-server strictly cloud-based solution as it requires a combination of stream synchronization, low delay and delay variations as mentioned above as well as means to cover from losses and provide optimized caching in the cloud and rendering as close as possible to the user at the network edge.

3.1. XR Network Requirements

In order to deliver the XR experience, there is a need to achieve complete 6 degrees of freedom meaning the 3 axes for body movement (x, y, z) plus pitch, yaw, rotation of the head all of which must be fulfilled in real-time again focusing on the low delay, low loss and low delay variation to avoid sea sickness symptoms if the image does not follow the movement [CABLE]. But this is not the only difficulty, as there is also the need to provide real-time interactivity for immersive sports, mobile immersive applications with tactile and time-sensitive data and high bandwidth for high resolution images. Since XR deals with personal information and potentially protected content (in entertainment and gaming) XR must also provide a secure environment and ensure user privacy. And of course, the sheer amount data needed for and generated by the XR applications will use recent trend analysis and mechanisms, including machine learning to find these trends and reduce the size of the data sets.

Shared and global immersive experiences require interconnected, distributed and federated XR nodes. The requirements can be summarized as:

- Allow joint collaboration in VR.
- Provide multi-view AR.
- Add extra streams (IoT) to AR and VR experiences across services.
- Provide "Social Television" experiences and global viewing and experience rooms.
- Enable multistream, multidevice, multideestination applications.
- Use new Internet Architectures at the edge for improved performance.
- Integrate with holography, 3D displays and image processing systems [CABLE].

3.2. In-Network Computing Advantages in XR

One aspect of the push of XR to the edge is of course to provide cloud-based services with much lower latency. While this is very promising the question of the localization of the networking resources in order to provide the service becomes an essential component of the overall architecture. But it is not only finding the best geographical location but also providing the right level of reliability when one or more location is not available especially for mission critical services in medicine or manufacturing. And it does not mean only data laid distribution but also ensuring the availability of the right computational capabilities. The optimization of the location and type of the required resources for the multisource, multideestination, mutiparty, multi-input XR applications can use AI and ML, and advanced load balancing and distributed network principles. There is a need for more research in such resource allocation problems at the edge to enable autonomous node operation and quality of experience [SOL]. These are of course multi-variate and heterogeneous goal optimization problems requiring advanced analysis with fast converging algorithms [MULTI][PACKET]. This is essential for the federation of nodes to provide the required experience.

Of course, image rendering and video processing in XR leverages different HW capabilities combinations of CPU and GPU. Current programmable network entities need to be evaluated to see if they can be sufficient to provide the speed required to provide real-time rendering and execute complex analytics: P4 for example does not support the floating-point operations necessary for advanced graphics.
Finally, dynamic network programmability could enable the use of joint learning algorithms across both data center, edge computers and goggles or glasses to allocate functionality and the creation of semi permanent datasets and analytics for usage trending. In the end, the use of computing or networked XR will enable the allocation of control, forwarding and storage resources and related usage models when needed by the application. This may mean re-evaluating the distribution of functionalities between datacenter and edge with less critical elements rendered in the cloud combined with a better understanding of the operational decomposition of the XR experience to allow the use of novel data structures, three-dimensional modeling and image processing algorithms.

Other advantages of adding computing to networked XR include:

- Multicast distribution and processing as well as peer to peer distribution in bandwidth constrained environments.
- Evaluation of local caching and micro datacenters with local or cloud-based pre-rendering.
- Trend or ML based congestion control to manage XR sessions quality of service.
- Higher layer protocols optimization to reduce latency.
- Trust, including blockchains and smart-contracts to enable secure community building across domains.
- Support for nomadicity and mobility (link to mobile edge).
- Use of 5G slicing to create independent session-driven processing/rendering.
- Performance optimization by tunneling, session virtualization and loss protection.

4. Enabling Technologies

This section presents some salient research that will lead to in-network computing becoming a major enabler of networked XR.

NOTE: more information and added sub-sections will be added in future versions of the draft with the collaboration of co-authors in the specific research areas.
4.1. Information Centric Networking (ICN) and Named Data Networking (NDN)

The Named Data Networking (NDN) architecture, one architecture of ICN, is particularly well suited for the multisource multi-destination architecture of XR because it allows to create the content experiences based on their components names not a location or pointer to a location hence provides a natural functional decomposition. ICN allows content delivery to evolve from single, context-independent streams to context-dependent Information components that can adapt dynamically to the changes necessary to maintain the immersive nature of the experience and be delivered efficiently. The combination of interest messages to signal what content is needed combined with the data responses help to coordinate the different streams and multiple users (pull mechanisms). The ICE-AR [ICE] project already mentions a concept of acceleration as a service: the exploration of the design and the usage of computation at the edge including the wireless edge.

For XR, ICN also allows to develop robust and resilient networking while allowing application developer to continue using known programming model [RICE]. This is important for the XR developers community that come from the entertainment, gaming or other non network specific industries and could enable ICN and XR to coexist in user devices (the ultimate edge). NDN concepts are already integrated to distributed video distribution with trust mechanisms (see section below) such as smart contracts on the blockchain to proof of origin and destination sent along with interest messages [HUITX].

4.2. Network Coding

Networked XR requires the synchronization of multiple streams but with its delay sensitivity the use of buffering schemes to achieve this synchronization is impractical. At the same time the need to maintain high image quality means that packet losses also need to be limited. Network coding has proven very useful to achieve both these goals in commercial streaming services like Netflix, is being added to protocols like QUIC and in another multi-stream service namely Social Television [SOCIAL] avoiding the reliance on complex synchronization algorithms. The main difference between XR and Social Television is that the former is even more constrained in latency and loss budgets hence even the delay due to encoding and decoding operations needs to be minimized. Hence the idea of in-network coding and re-encoding to adapt to dynamic network conditions, not just end to end, can be used to ensure on time packet delivery with loss recovery. In network encoding needs the type of programmability that COIN provides.
4.3. Blockchains and Distributed Trust

If XR is to be integrated at the edge of the network to provide the required delay and loss guarantees, then relying on centralized mechanisms for trust is non-realistic. Traditional centralized mechanisms to discover and admit nodes to the network, to provide access right and name resolution need to be updated to be used in the dynamic XR environment. Blockchain technology, with operation performed at the edge and in a decentralized way is fast becoming a major scalable means of providing trust and validate provenance in a large number of applications including those on the XR portfolio. Smart contracts (on the blockchain) supply a mechanism to provide the trust and validation for XR edge nodes.

A new XR participant node is admitted after it has committed to a smart contract that contains the rules and mechanisms to distribute content via this node in a trusted and secure way. This constitutes its proof of validity. After a node is admitted, it will can then provisioned with the appropriate software to become fully operational to provide the XR experience. Newly admitted nodes will be inserted in the general ledger on the blockchain enabling other nodes to discover them, and hence, to form a trusted network. A name resolution authority can also be provided by the blockchain to manage and validate the origin of the content, the proof of origin, and to provide the ability to search such content. The proof of origin can also be used to prevent some content from reaching one or more nodes and implement content filtering based on trusted authorities. This is useful not only for content packets but also for packets capable of modifying the node operations. Finally, when some content reaches a specific destination, it can be verified against the content rules of the reached node even and before it is sent to the application; this allows to provide a proof of delivery for the content and enable to generate statistics, performance metrics and enable the nodes to adapt to the XR requirements.

All of the above assumes that the nodes can implement the functions needed by the blockchain hence once again infers that there is enough computing power in the nodes to perform these operations. At this point both proof of concept and proof of every are limited due to the added overhead and the size of the blockchain. As distributed blockchain and COIN continue to evolve this should continue to be a field of interest for the development of secure and private XR experiences.
5. Conclusion

More and more applications and service are being developed and deployed that use or will use combinations of AR and VR, XR, along with extra stream from sensors and IoT devices. And many of these applications require to be deployed over a network because of their interactive or multiparty nature. In that context, it not uniquely necessary to move functionality to the network but to carefully evaluate which elements to locate in network nodes, where these nodes are and what computational support they need to support the XR experience. Hence, it is believed that a great enabler of networked XR is the capability to co-locate programmable elements in the XR network node to respond to the dynamics of the services in an efficient, resilient and secure manner.
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Abstract

This document introduces a generic Application Programming Interface (API) for sliding window FEC codes. This API is meant to be compatible with any sliding window FEC code. It defines the core procedures and functions meant to control the codec (i.e., implementation of the FEC code). However, it leaves out all upper layer aspects that are the responsibility of the application or protocol making use of the codec. As a consequence, this is not an API for a FEC Scheme since certain mechanisms that must be defined by any FEC Scheme (e.g., signalling and FEC Payload IDs) are the responsibility of the caller instead of being addressed by the codec.

A first goal of this document is to pave the way for a future open-source implementation of such codes, another goal is to simplify the development of content delivery protocols that rely on sliding window FEC codes for robust transmissions.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 25, 2019.
1. Introduction

Forward Erasure Correction (FEC) codes are a key element of communication systems, used to efficiently recover from packet losses during content delivery sessions. Among the FEC codes working at the network and higher layers, one can broadly distinguish block codes and sliding window codes. Block FEC codes require the data flow coming from the application to be segmented into blocks of a predefined maximum size, before generating a certain number of repair packets. With the second type of FEC codes, an encoding window continuously slides over the set of source data and repair packets are generated at any time by computing for instance a linear combination of data present in the encoding window. This fundamental
difference seriously impacts the way they can be used by a content delivery protocol or application.

This document introduces a generic Application Programming Interface (API) for sliding window FEC codes. This API is meant to be usable by any sliding window FEC code and FEC Scheme independently of the protocol that may rely on it. This API defines the core procedures and functions meant to control the codec (i.e., implementation of the FEC code), but leaves out all upper layer aspects that are the responsibility of the application making use of the codec.

This API is meant to be usable by any sliding window FEC code, independently of the FEC Scheme or network coding protocol that may rely on it. This API defines the core procedures and functions meant to control the codec (i.e., implementation of the FEC code), but leaves out all upper layer aspects that are the responsibility of the application making use of the codec. For instance, those restricted to end-to-end use-cases as well as those compatible with in-network re-encoding use-cases. Additionally, this API is not impacted by the intra-flow versus inter-flow nature of the use-case, nor is it impacted by the single-path versus multi-paths nature of the use-case, since those are usage considerations under the responsibility of the caller.

A goal of this document is to pave the way for a future open-source implementation of such codes.

2. Definitions and Abbreviations

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

This document uses the following definitions and abbreviations:

XXX

3. AL-FEC Codes and Mechanisms Considered by the Generic API

This generic FEC API is meant to be used with:

- sliding window codes, that manage an encoding window (of fixed or variable size) that slides over the set of source symbols at the sender. On the opposite, block codes (e.g., Reed-Solomon, LDPC, Raptor(Q)) are out of scope;
- codes that are restricted to use-cases that involve a single encoding point and a single decoding point (i.e., FEC operations are carried out either within the end-hosts or middle-boxes), as
well as codes that can be used with use-cases that involve in-network re-coding operations;

- use-cases that are limited to an intra-flow coding (simple case), as well as use-cases that involve inter-flow coding. This second case is more complex to address (e.g., with questions such as how to identify a packet of a flow?) however this is the responsibility of the application or protocol using this codec and not the codec itself. This aspect is therefore transparent to the API;

- use-cases that are limited to single-path communications and use-cases that consider multi-path communications. Here also this is a usage consideration that is transparent to the API;

- use-cases that involve a dynamic adaptation of the codec parameters (e.g., its code rate because the communication path losses is known thanks to feedbacks and an appropriate strategy can be defined);

- fixed code rate or not FEC codes, including rateless codes where the number of repair symbols that can be generated is huge (in theory unlimited);

- ideal (MDS) or non-ideal (non-MDS) codes. However most of the time, sliding window codes are non-ideal codes, meaning that slightly more than 1 repair symbols may be required to recover all the 1 lost source symbols;

A key question is to determine what mechanisms are included in the codec and what mechanisms are left to the responsibility of the caller (i.e., an application or a protocol making use of this codec) (Figure 1). More precisely, an FEC Scheme (such as the RLC FEC Scheme [RLC] in case of FECFRAME [fecframe-ext]) defines all the internal code details in order to enable interoperable implementations, but also signaling considerations that are essential to use them in a specific context.
3.1. Mechanisms Considered or Ignored by the API

Applying FEC coding, through an FEC Scheme, in a given protocol to improve transmission robustness involves many mechanisms. However, these mechanisms are not all the responsibility of the codec and can be implemented within the application or within the protocol that uses this FEC codec. For instance, the following mechanisms are considered **out of scope of the API**, being implemented by the caller, without any impact on the codec:

- memory management;
- packet transmission and reception;
- signaling header creation / parsing;
- ADU to source symbol mapping;
- code rate adjustment, for instance thanks to the knowledge of losses at a receiver via feedbacks;
- selective ACK creation and parsing;
- congestion control.

The following mechanisms are **within scope of the API**:

- session management (sender and receiver);
- encoding window management (sender and receiver);
- set/get/generate coding coefficients (sender and receiver);
- build coded symbol (sender only);
- decode with newly received source or repair symbol (receiver only);
4. Generic API for Sliding Window FEC Codes

The following sections describe the generic API, following a C-language formalism. This API tries to adhere to C99 version of C, although it may not strictly be guaranteed. Everything is prefixed by "ga" (Generic API).

4.1. General Definitions Common to the Encoder and Decoder

This section gathers general definitions that are used by both an encoder and decoder.

About FEC Codepoints:

An application first needs to negotiate with its remote side the right FEC Scheme to use. This negotiation usually relies on the FEC Encoding ID associated to this FEC Scheme for this application. The FEC Encoding ID space, associated to an IANA registry, is protocol specific and the same value are usually associated to different FEC Schemes depending on the protocol. The FEC Encoding ID, from the Generic FEC API point of view, cannot be used to uniquely identify the codec.

The use of a codepoint to identify locally the right FEC codec requires that the application knows a mapping between the FEC Encoding ID it uses and the local FEC Codepoints corresponding to available codecs. This can be done at development time, after including the Generic FEC API header file, which gives access to the swif_codepoint_t enumeration.

<CODE BEGINS>
/**
 * Return value of any function.
 *
 * SWIF_STATUS_OK = 0   Success
 * SWIF_STATUS_FAILURE  Failure. The function called did not succeed to
 *                      perform its task, however this is not an error
 *                      (e.g., it happens when decoding fails).
 * SWIF_STATUS_ERROR  Generic error type. The detailed error type is
 *                      stored in the errno variable of swif_encoder_t and
 *                      swif_decoder_t structures.
 */
typedef enum {
   SWIF_STATUS_OK = 0,
   SWIF_STATUS_FAILURE,
   SWIF_STATUS_ERROR
} swif_status_t;
</CODE BEGINS>
typedef enum {
    SWIF_ERRNO_NIL = 0,
    SWIF_ERRNO_CODEPOINT_NOT_SUPPORTED,
    /* and many more... */
} swif_errno_t;

typedef enum {
    swif_NULL_CODEPOINT = 0,        /* codepoint 0 is reserved */
    /* codepoint for RLC sliding window code, GF(2^8) and variable
    * density (as in FECFRAME FEC Enc. ID XXX). */
    swif_RLC_GF_256_VAR_DENSITY_CODEC,
    /* codepoint for RLC sliding window code, GF(2) and variable
    * density (as in FECFRAME FEC Enc. ID YYY). */
    swif_RLC_GF_2_VAR_DENSITY_CODEC,
    /* list here other identifiers for any codec of interest... */
} swif_codepoint_t;

typedef uint32_t        esi_t
General definitions.

4.2. Coding Window Functions at an Encoder and Decoder

This section gathers functions used to manage the coding window, both at an encoder and at a decoder. At an encoder a sliding (of fixed or elastic size) encoding window is managed. Whenever a repair symbol needs to be created, a linear combination (that is code specific) of source symbols currently in the encoding window is performed. This encoding window is managed with the functions below plus, potentially, internal mechanisms that are code specific.

At a decoder, before submitting a new repair symbol to the codec, the application must specify the associated encoding window used at the source. This is done by the reset/add a single or set of symbols/remove a symbol functions. Once this coding window is ready, as well as the coding coefficient list if applicable, the application calls the decode_with_new_repair_symbol() function. A coding window may be reused for several repair symbols as long as they are all built from the same set of source symbols. In that case resetting the coding window and setting it from scratch would be a waste of time. The coding window must be viewed as a temporary list used solely by the decode_with_new_repair_symbol() function and kept independent from the linear system managed by the codec.

```c
/*
 * This function resets the current coding window. We assume here that
 * this window is maintained by the FEC codec instance.
 * Encoder: reset the encoding window for the encoding of future
 *          repair symbols.
 * Decoder: reset the coding window under preparation associated to
 *          a repair symbol just received.
 * @return
 */
swif_status_t   swif_encoder_reset_coding_window (swif_encoder_t*  enc);
swif_status_t   swif_decoder_reset_coding_window (swif_encoder_t*  dec);
```

```c
/**
 * Add a sequential set of source symbols (there MUST NOT be any gap) to
 * the coding window. This function may be called several times if there
 * are gaps in the encoding window. Calling this function does not reset
 * the current coding window, but appends these source symbols to it.
 * Encoder: add this sequential set of source symbols to the encoding
```
* window. The pointers in the table MUST point to the corresponding source symbol buffers.
* Decoder: add this source symbol set to the coding window under preparation.
* @param new_src_symbol_buf_tab (Encoder only) table of pointers to buffers containing each source symbol. The application MUST NOT free nor modify these buffers as long as the corresponding source symbol is in the encoding window.
* @param first_src_symbol_esi ESI of the first source symbol in table
* @param nb_symbols_in_tab total number of symbols in this table.
* @return
*/
swif_status_t swif_encoder_add_source_symbol_tab_to_coding_window (swif_encoder_t* enc,
                      void*           new_src_symbol_buf_tab[],
                      esi_t           first_src_symbol_esi,
                      uint32_t        nb_symbols_in_tab);

swif_status_t swif_decoder_add_source_symbol_tab_to_coding_window (swif_decoder_t* dec,
                      esi_t           first_src_symbol_esi,
                      uint32_t        nb_symbols_in_tab);

/**
* Add this source symbol to the coding window.
* Encoder: add a source symbol to the coding window.
* Decoder: add a source symbol to the coding window under preparation.
* @param new_src_symbol_buf (encoder only) pointer to a buffer containing the source symbol. The application MUST NOT free nor modify this buffer as long as the source symbol is in the coding window.
* @param new_src_symbol_esi ESI of the source symbol to add.
* @return
*/
swif_status_t swif_encoder_add_source_symbol_to_coding_window (swif_encoder_t* enc,
                      void*           new_src_symbol_buf,
                      esi_t           new_src_symbol_esi);

swif_status_t swif_decoder_add_source_symbol_to_coding_window (swif_decoder_t* dec,
                      esi_t           new_src_symbol_esi);

/**
* Remove this source symbol from the coding window.
*
* Encoder: remove a source symbol from the encoding window, e.g.
* because the application knows that a source symbol has
* been acknowledged by the peer (if applicable). Note that
* the left side of the sliding window is automatically
* managed by the codec and no action is needed from the
* application. If needed a callback is available to inform
* the application that a source symbol has been removed).
* Decoder: remove a source symbol from the coding window under
* preparation.
*
* @param old_src_symbol_esi  ESI of the source symbol to remove from
* the coding window.
* @return
*
```c
swif_status_t swif_encoder_remove_source_symbol_from_coding_window (  
    swif_encoder_t* enc,  
    esi_t old_src_symbol_esi);
```

```c
swif_status_t swif_decoder_remove_source_symbol_from_coding_window (  
    swif_decoder_t* dec,  
    esi_t old_src_symbol_esi);
```

<CODE ENDS>

Coding Window Functions at an Encoder and Decoder.

### 4.3. Coding Coefficients Functions at an Encoder and Decoder

This section gathers functions used to manage the coding coefficients, both at an encoder and at a decoder. Since different FEC codecs will have different requirements, it is important to keep these functions separate from the `build_repair_symbol()` and `decode_with_new_repair_symbol()` functions. Several situations exist:

- The application provides the list of coding coefficients to use for the next `build_repair_symbol()`;
- The application provides a key (typically a PRNG seed) that the codec uses to produce the coding coefficients to use for the next `build_repair_symbol()`;
- The choice of the coding coefficients is totally performed by the codec, in an autonomous manner (e.g., the codec includes an algorithm that produces an appropriate seed based on various criteria, or the codec selects a set of coding coefficients based on various criteria). In that case the application needs to retrieve the list of coding coefficients or the key selected by the codec;
The following functions enable an encoder (resp. decoder) to initialize the set of coefficients to be used for encoding or associated to a received repair symbol.

Encoder: calling one of them MUST be done before calling build_repair_symbol().
Decoder: calling one of them MUST be done before calling decode_with_new_repair_symbol().

Encoder: this function specifies the coding coefficients chosen by the application if this is the way the codec works.
Decoder: communicate with this function the coding coefficients associated to a repair symbol and carried in the packet header.

@param coding_coefs_tab (IN) table of coding coefficients associated to each of the source symbols currently in the encoding window. The size (number of bits) of each coefficient depends on the FEC Scheme. The allocation and release of this table is under the responsibility of the application.

@param nb_coefs_in_tab (IN) number of entries (i.e., coefficients) in the table.

@return

**swif_encoder_set_coding_coefs_tab**

```
swif_status_t swif_encoder_set_coding_coefs_tab (swif_encoder_t* enc, void* coding_coefs_tab, uint32_t nb_coefs_in_tab);
```

**swif_decoder_set_coding_coefs_tab**

```
swif_status_t swif_decoder_set_coding_coefs_tab (swif_decoder_t* dec, void* coding_coefs_tab, uint32_t nb_coefs_in_tab);
```

The coding coefficients may be generated in a deterministic manner, for instance by a PRNG known by the codec and a seed (perhaps with other parameters) provided by the application.

The codec may also choose in an autonomous manner these coefficients. This function is used to trigger this process.

When the choice is made in an autonomous manner, the actual coding coefficient or key used by the codec can be retrieved with...
* swif_encoder_get_coding_coefs_tab().
* 
* @param key   (IN) Value that can be used as a seed in case of a PRNG
*              for instance, or by a specific coding coefficients
*              function. Set to 0 if not required by a codec.
* @param add_param
*              (IN) an opaque 32-bit integer that contains a codec
*              specific parameter if needed. Set to 0 if not used.
* @return
*/
swif_status_t   swif_encoder_generate_coding_coefs (
    swif_encoder_t* enc,
    uint32_t        key,
    uint32_t        add_param);

swif_status_t   swif_decoder_generate_coding_coefs (
    swif_decoder_t* dec,
    uint32_t        key,
    uint32_t        add_param);

/**
* This function enables the application to retrieve the set of coding
* coefficients generated and used by build_repair_symbol(). This is
* useful when the choice of coefficients is performed by the codec in
* an autonomous manner but needs to be sent in the repair packet header.
* This function is only used by an encoder.
* 
* @param coding_coefs_tab
*              (OUT) pointer to a table of coding coefficients.
*              The size (number of bits) of each coefficient depends on
*              the FEC scheme. Upon return of this function, this table
*              is allocated and filled with coefficient values. The
*              release of this table is under the responsibility of the
*              application.
* @param nb_coefs_in_tab
*              (IN/OUT) pointer to the number of entries (i.e.,
*              coefficients) in the table.
*              Upon calling this function, this number must be zero.
*              Upon return of this function this variable is initialized
*              with the actual number of entries in the coeffs_tab[].
* @return
*/
swif_status_t   swif_encoder_get_coding_coefs_tab (
    swif_encoder_t* enc,
    void**          coding_coefs_tab,
    uint32_t*       nb_coefs_in_tab);

<CODE ENDS>
4.4. Encoder

```c
typedef struct swif_encoder {
  swif_errno_t        errno;
} swif_encoder_t;

swif_encoder_t* swif_encoder_create (swif_codepoint_t codepoint,
                                      uint32_t        verbosity,
                                      uint32_t        symbol_size,
                                      uint32_t        max_coding_window_size);

swif_status_t   swif_encoder_release (swif_encoder_t*        enc);
```
/**
 * Set the various callback functions for this encoder.
 * All the callback functions require an opaque context parameter, that must be
 * initialized accordingly by the application, since it is application specific.
 *
 * @param enc
 * @param source_symbol_removed_from_coding_window_callback
 * (IN) Pointer to the function, within the application, that
 * needs to be called each time a source symbol is removed from
 * the left side of the coding window.
 * This callback is called each time the encoding window slides
 * to the right and an old source symbol needs to be removed on
 * the left. The application therefore knows this source symbol
 * will no longer be used by the codec and can free the
 * associated buffer if need be. This function does not return
 * anything.
 *
 * @param context_4_callback
 * (IN) Pointer to the application-specific context that will be
 * passed to the callback function (if any). This context is not
 * interpreted by this function.
 *
 * @return
 */
swif_status_t   swif_encoder_set_callback_functions (    
    swif_encoder_t*        enc,             
    void (*source_symbol_removed_from_coding_window_callback) (    
        void*   context,             
        esi_t   old_symbol_esi),    
    void* context_4_callback);    

/**
 * This function sets one or more FEC codec specific parameters,
 * using a type/length/value approach for maximum flexibility.
 *
 * @param enc
 * @param type          (IN) Type of parameter.
 * @param length        (IN) length of the pointed value.
 * @param value         (IN) Pointer to the value. The exact type of
 *                        the object pointed is FEC codec specific.
 *
 * @return
 */
swif_status_t   swif_encoder_set_parameters (    
    swif_encoder_t*        enc,             
    uint32_t              type,            
    uint32_t              length,          
    void*                 value);
using a type/length/value approach for maximum flexibility.

* @param enc (IN) Type of parameter.
* @param type (IN) length of the pointed value.
* @param length (IN/OUT) Pointer to the value. The exact type of
* the object pointed is FEC codec specific.
* This function updates the value object
* accordingly. The caller, who knows the FEC codec,
* is responsible to allocate the appropriate
* object buffer.
* @return
*/

swif_status_t swif_encoder_get_parameters(
    swif_encoder_t* enc,
    uint32_t type,
    uint32_t length,
    void* value);  

/**
* List here the FEC codec specific control parameters.
*/
enum {
    swif_ENCODER_GET_PARAM_ENCODER_STATISTICS = 1,
    swif_ENCODER_SET_PARAM_RLC_DENSITY_THRESHOLD
};

/**
* Create a single repair symbol (i.e. perform an encoding).
*/
* @param new_buf (IN) The pointer to the buffer for the repair
* symbol to build can either point to a buffer
* allocated by the application, or let to NULL
* meaning that this function will allocate memory.
* @return
*/

swif_status_t swif_build_repair_symbol(
    swif_encoder_t* enc,
    void* new_buf);
typedef struct swif_encoder_internal {
    swif_errno_t errno;
    uint32_t verbosity;
    uint32_t max_coding_window_size;
    uint32_t symbol_size;
}

Non normative example of internal structure used by an encoder.

4.5. Decoder

typedef struct swif_decoder {
    swif_errno_t errno;
}

/* Create and initialize a decoder, providing only key parameters. */
@param codepoint     opaque identifier that fully identifies the FEC
code to use.
@param verbosity     print information on the codec processing.
  0 is the minimum verbosity, the maximum verbosity
  level being implementation specific.
@param symbol_size   source and repair symbol size in bytes. Cannot
  change during the codec instance lifetime.
@param max_coding_window_size
@param max_linear_system_size
@return              pointer to a swif_decoder_t structure if okay, or
                      NULL in case of error.
**/

swif_decoder_t* swif_decoder_create (  
  swif_codepoint_t codepoint,
  uint32_t        verbosity,
  uint32_t        symbol_size,
  uint32_t        max_coding_window_size,
  uint32_t        max_linear_system_size);

/**
* Release a decoder and its associated resources.
*
* @param dec    context (i.e., pointer to decoder structure).
**/
swif_status_t   swif_decoder_release (swif_decoder_t*        dec);

/**
* Set the various callback functions for this decoder.
* All the callback functions require an opaque context parameter, that
* must be initialized accordingly by the application, since it is
* application specific.
*
* @param dec      context (i.e., pointer to decoder structure).
* @param source_symbol_removed_from_linear_system_callback
*  (IN) Pointer to the function, within the application, that
*  needs to be called each time a source symbol is removed from
*  the left side of the linear system.
*  This callback is called each time the linear system slides
*  to the right and an old source symbol needs to be removed
*  on the left. This function does not return anything.
* @param decoded_source_symbol_callback
*  (IN) Pointer to the function, within the application, that
*  needs to be called each time a source symbol is decoded.
*  What it does is application-dependent, but it MUST return
*  either a pointer to a data buffer, left uninitialized, of
the appropriate size, or NULL if the application prefers to
let the codec allocate the buffer.
In any case the codec is responsible for storing the actual
symbol value within the data buffer. Also, no matter
whether the data buffer is allocated by the application or
the codec, it is the responsibility of the application to
free this buffer when needed, once decoding is over (but
not before since the codec does not keep any internal copy).

* @param available_source_symbol_callback
  (IN) Pointer to the function, within the application, that
  needs to be called each time a source symbol is decoded and
  all computations performed (i.e., the buffer does contain the
  symbol value).
  This callback is called in a second time, when the newly
decoded source symbol is actually ready, i.e. when all the
computations (like XOR and GF(2**8) operations) have been
performed. In any case, it is the responsibility of the
application to free this buffer when needed, once decoding
is over (but not before since the codec does not keep any
internal copy). This function does not return anything.

* @param context_4_callback
  (IN) Pointer to the application-specific context that will be
  passed to the callback function (if any). This context is not
  interpreted by this function.

*/

swif_status_t swif_decoder_set_callback_functions (swif_decoder_t* dec,
  void (*source_symbol_removed_from_linear_system_callback) (void* context,
    esi_t old_symbol_esi),
  void* (*decoded_source_symbol_callback) (void* context,
    esi_t esi),
  void (*available_source_symbol_callback) (void* context,
    void* new_symbol_buf,
    esi_t esi),
  void* context_4_callback);

/**
 * This function sets one or more FEC codec specific parameters,
 * using a type/length/value approach for maximum flexibility.
 *
 * @param dec context (i.e., pointer to decoder structure).
 * @param type (IN) Type of parameter.
 * @param length (IN) length of the pointed value.
* @param value (IN) Pointer to the value. The exact type of the object pointed is FEC codec specific.
* @return
*/
swif_status_t swif_decoder_set_parameters(
    swif_decoder_t* dec,
    uint32_t type,
    uint32_t length,
    void* value);

/**
 * This function gets one or more FEC codec specific parameters,
 * using a type/length/value approach for maximum flexibility.
 *
 * @param dec context (i.e., pointer to decoder structure).
 * @param type (IN) Type of parameter.
 * @param length (IN) length of the pointed value.
 * @param value (IN/OUT) Pointer to the value. The exact type of the object pointed is FEC codec specific.
 * @return
 */
swif_status_t swif_decoder_get_parameters(
    swif_decoder_t* dec,
    uint32_t type,
    uint32_t length,
    void* value);

/**
 * List here the FEC codec specific control parameters.
 */
enum {
    swif_DECODER_GET_PARAM_DECODER_STATISTICS = 1,
    swif_DECODER_SET_PARAM_RLC_DENSITY_THRESHOLD
};

/**
 * Submit a received source symbol and try to progress in the decoding.
 * For each decoded source symbol (if any), the application is informed through the dedicated callback functions.
 *
 * This function usually returns SWIF_STATUS_OK, regardless of whether this new symbol enabled the decoding of one or several source symbols, or SWIF_STATUS_ERROR. It cannot return SWIF_STATUS_FAILURE.
 */
* @param dec  context (i.e., pointer to decoder structure).
* @param new_symbol_buf
*    (IN) Pointer to the new source symbol now available (i.e. a new symbol received by the application, or a decoded symbol in case of a recursive call if it makes sense).
* @param new_symbol_esi
*    (IN) encoding symbol ID of the new source symbol.
* @return
*/

swif_status_t   swif_decoder_decode_with_new_source_symbol (
    swif_decoder_t* dec,
    void* const     new_symbol_buf,
    esi_t           new_symbol_esi);

/**
 * Submit a received repair symbol and try to progress in the decoding.
 * For each decoded source symbol (if any), the application is informed through the dedicated callback functions.
 * This function requires that the application has previously initialized the coding window and coding coefficients appropriately. The application keeps a full control of the repair symbol buffer, i.e., the application is in charge of freeing this buffer as soon as it believes appropriate (a copy is kept by the codec). This is motivated by the fact that a repair symbol may be part of a larger buffer (e.g., if there are several repair symbols per packet, or because of a packet header): only the application knows when the buffer can be safely freed.
 * This function usually returns SWIF_STATUS_OK, regardless of whether this new symbol enabled the decoding of one or several source symbols, or SWIF_STATUS_ERROR. It cannot return SWIF_STATUS_FAILURE.
 * @param dec  context (i.e., pointer to decoder structure).
 * @param new_symbol_buf  
 *    (IN) Pointer to the new repair symbol now available (i.e. a new symbol received by the application or a decoded symbol in case of a recursive call if it makes sense).
 * @return
 */

swif_status_t   swif_decoder_decode_with_new_repair_symbol (
    swif_decoder_t* dec,
    void* const     new_symbol_buf);

</CODE ENDS>
** Decoder structure that contains whatever is needed for decoding.  
* The exact content of this structure is FEC code dependent, the  
* structure below being a non normative example.  
* However it MUST be aligned with swif_decoder_t (same first items) in  
* order to be able to cast a pointer to one of the two structures,  
* depending on the context.  
*/

typedef struct swif_decoder_internal {
    /* when a function returns with SWIF_STATUS_ERROR, the errno  
       * variable contains a more detailed error type. */  
    swif_errno_t    errno;

    /* desired verbosity: 0 is the minimum verbosity, the maximum  
       * level being implementation specific. */  
    uint32_t        verbosity;

    /* maximum number of source symbols used for any repair symbol */  
    uint32_t        max_coding_window_size;

    /* max. number of source symbols keeps in current linear system.  
       * If the linear system grows above this limit, old source  
       * symbols in excess are removed and the application callback  
       * called. This value should be larger than the  
       * max_coding_window_size. */  
    uint32_t        max_linear_system_size;

    /* exact size (in bytes) of any source or repair symbol */  
    uint32_t        symbol_size;

    /* add whatever may be needed hereafter... */  
} swif_decoder_internal_t;

Non normative example (RLC) of internal structure used by a decoder.

5. Security Considerations

TBD

6. IANA Considerations

This document has no IANA requirement.
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