

Making IPv6 options an option

draft-herbert-ipv6-update-opts-00
draft-herbert-6man-icmp-limits-03

Tom Herbert <tom@quantonium.net>

Problem

IPv6 options not considered viable in Internet

- Clarifications needed
- No “useful” options defined
- Processing overhead (TLVs)
- Extension header drops (RFC7872)
- Long option lists (DOS vectors)
- Hop-by-Hop options RFC2460 requirements

Proposed options

- draft-leddy-6man-truncate
- draft-hinden-6man-mtu-options
- IOAM
- Firewall And Service Tickets

RFC8200 and Hop-by-Hop options

“NOTE: While [[RFC2460](#)] required that all nodes must examine and process the Hop-by-Hop Options header, it is now expected that nodes along a packet's delivery path only examine and process the Hop-by-Hop Options header if explicitly configured to do so.”

Question: Does “along the delivery path” include the final destination?

Clarify adding, removing, changing

- Option Type and Option Length cannot change en route (auth hdr relies on this)
- Options cannot be inserted or removed en route
- Above includes inserting or deleting an HBH or Dest Opts EH
- When routing header present “final destination” is last destination in header

Changeable destination options

- DestOpts precedes routing header
 - Visited nodes may change Option Data
 - Nodes identify Dest Opts before RH by looking at Next Header
- DestOpts after/without routing header
 - Option cannot be changed en route
 - Still must zero Data for Auth header

DestOpts processing requirements

- DestOpts precedes routing header
 - MAY be examined or processed by intermediate destinations
 - Align with HBH in RFC8200
- DestOpts after/without routing header
 - MUST process

Extension header limits

- RFC6434-bis
- May limit consecutive PAD options to 7
- May disallow unknown options
- May have limit on maximum # non-pad opts
- May limit length of HBH or DestOpts EH

ICMPv6 errors for processing limits

- Parameter problem (type 4)
 - Intermediate nodes send Unrecog header
 - Extension header too big
 - Extension header chain too long
 - Too many options in extension header
- Destination unreachable (type 1)
 - Headers too long
 - Multi-part ICMP

Router implementation

- Unprogrammable legacy not easy
- Limits aid HW or SW implementation
- Skip HBH should be straightforward
- Programmable devices (P4 or BFP)
 - No loops
 - Unroll path to allow maybe eight options

Thank you!