Authority Tokens for ACME & TNAuthlist

IETF 103 ACME WG Jon & Chris - กรุงเทพฯ - Nov 2018

Authority Token Challenge

- Identified a generic need for authorities to provide tokens to a CA to respond to challenges
 - Surely any number of namespaces have authorities who could generate tokens
 - Inspired by the STIR case, but this could work for domains even
 - Requires the ACME server has some trust relationship with the authority
- draft-ietf-acme-authority-token-01
 - Framework for tokens that allow authorities trusted by the CA to attest client ownership of names
 - CA can then issue certs via ACME for particular names
 - Need some sort of typing mechanism for tokens, and a means to contact authorities

Example challenge

```
"challenges": [
    {
        "type": "tkauth-01",
        "tkauth-type": "ATC",
        "token-authority": "https://authority.example.org/authz",
        "url": "https://boulder.example.com/authz/asdf/0"
        "token": "IlirfxKKXAsHtmzK29Pj8A" }
]
```

- The tkauth-type is governed by a registry
 - Specifies the syntax of the token
 - Today we only specify one initial registration, for JWT (do we need more?)
 - It is the identifier type in the challenge that tells you what you are asking the authority to attest
- The token-authority contains an optional URL
 - A hint for where clients can get a token
 - Not mandatory to follow, clients may already know where to get tokens from some out-of-band source

The "ATC" tkauth-type

- "ATC" tkauth-type based on JWT
 Described in the TNAuthlist document
- Example ACME response with a JWT
 The JWT itself is the "ATC" payload in **bold**

```
{ "protected": base64url({
   "alg": "ES256",
   "kid": "https://boulder.example.com/acme/reg/asdf",
   "nonce": "Q_s3MWoqT05TrdkM2MTDcw",
   "url": "https://boulder.example.com/acme/authz/asdf/0" }),
   "payload": base64url({ "ATC": "evaGxfADs...62jcerQ" }),
   "signature": "5wUrDI3eAaV4wl2Rfj3aC0Pp--XB3t4YYuNgacv_D3U" }
```

Updates & To Do

- Editorial fixes
- Updated the examples in the TNAuthlist draft to the latest version of ACME
- Patched SHAKEN errata, reviewed over at ATIS
- To Do
 - Patch in example of a ReSTful interface for token acquisition draft
 - Thinking is it will be an example rather than mandatory to implement

 potentially several ways to acquire a token
 - Other housekeeping in both drafts (Sec Cons, etc.)
 - Should be ready for review and last call after another rev of each