Babel YANG Model

draft-mahesh-babel-yang-model-00

Mahesh Jethanandani

Barbara Stark

Motivation

- IETF wants a YANG model for any new protocol
- Based on <u>draft-ietf-babel-information-model</u>
 - 02
 - 03 was published after this draft was posted
- YANG 1.1 model
- Network Management Datastore Architecture (NMDA) compatible

Tree Diagram

+--rw babel!

+rw version?	string
+rw enable?	boolean
+rw router-id	binary
+rw link-type*	identityref
+ro sequence-number	r? yang:counter32
+rw cost-compute-algorithm* identityref	
+rw security-supporte	ed* identityref
+rw transport	

```
| ...
```

```
+--rw interfaces* [reference]
```

```
| ...
```

```
+--rw routes* [prefix]
```

| ...

```
+--rw security* [mechanism]
```

•••

Issue #1 Interfaces

- Leaf 'reference' under list 'interfaces'
 - Refers to an interface type defined in RFC 8343
 - Does it need to be restricted to a particular interface type?
- Outgoing interface is "leaf neighbor" in the model

Issue #2

- supported-babel-link-types
 - Defined as 'list of link types supported by the implementation'
 - Is read-only
- babel-link-type
 - Indicates link type on an interface
 - Is read-write
- Are the following assertions true
 - Management system reads supported-link-types and sets babel-link-type per interface
 - babel-link-type has to be the same across the two ends of the link
- Same for babel-metric-comp-algorithm?
- Same for babel-security-supported?

Issue #3

- Routes, RIB, VRF and Routing Policy
- Does Babel need to support RFC8349?
 - RFC8349 provides for common building blocks such as routes, RIB etc.
- Does Babel need to support VRF [I-D. ietf-rtgwg-ni-model]?
- Does Babal need to support Routing Policy [I-D. ietf-rtgwg-policymode]?
 - Provides generic policy framework.

Basic Routing Protocol YANG model

+--rw routing

+--rw router-id? yang:dotted-quad

+--ro interfaces

+--ro interface* if:interface-ref

+--rw control-plane-protocols

+--rw control-plane-protocol* [type name]

- +--rw type identityref
- +--rw name string

+--rw description? string

+--rw static-routes

+--rw v4ur:ipv4

| ...

+--rw v6ur:ipv6

•••

RIB support

```
+--rw routing
+--rw ribs
  +--rw rib* [name]
   +--rw name
                      string
   +--rw address-family? identityref
   +--ro default-rib?
                       boolean {multiple-ribs}?
   +--ro routes
     +--ro route*
         ...
    +---x active-route
     +---w input
      | +---w v4ur:destination-address? inet:ipv4-address
      | +---w v6ur:destination-address? inet:ipv6-address
     +--ro output
         ...
   +--rw description?
                        string
```

Routing Policy

+--rw routing-policy +--rw policy-definitions +--rw policy-definition* [name] string +--rw name +--rw statements +--rw statement* [name] string +--rw name +--rw conditions . . . +--rw actions

...

WG Adoption

- Is this work useful?
- If so, can we have the work adopted?