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Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF’s patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

• By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
• If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
• As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
• Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
• As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

• BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
• BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
• BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
• BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
• BCP 78 (Copyright)
• BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
• https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/ (Privacy Policy)
Admin

• Blue Sheets
Welcome our new secretary

• Mankamana Mishra is our new secretary

• Welcome on board !
Requirement language template in drafts

• Please ensure that all the drafts use the new requirement language template referring to RFC8174

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here
1. Working Group Status Chairs  15 min
2. draft-gmsm-bess-evpn-bfd-01 Donald Eastlake  5 min
3. draft-salam-bess-evpn-oam-req-frmwk-01 Donald Eastlake  10 min
4. draft-skr-bess-evpn-redundant-mcast-source-00 Jorge Rabadan  10 min
5. draft-sajassi-bess-evpn-ac-aware-bundling-00 Mankamana Mishra  10 min
6. draft-sajassi-bess-secure-evpn-00 Ali sajassi  10 min
7. draft-keyupate-bess-evpn-virtual-hub-01 Ali sajassi  5 min
8. draft-brissette-bess-evpn-l2gw-proto-01 Luc Andre  10 min
9. draft-boutros-geneve-evpn-03 Sami Boutros  5 min
10. draft-brissette-bess-evpn-mh-pa-01 Patrice Brissette  10 min
11. draft-ietf-bess-evpn-yang-06 Patrice Brissette  5 min
12. draft-www-bess-yang-vpn-service-pm-00 Qin Wu/Roni Even  10 min
Milestones: Still somewhat out of date!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Milestone Description</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Drafts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jun 2016</td>
<td>Submit a Yang or SMI datamodel for E-VPN to IESG as PS</td>
<td></td>
<td>draft-ietf-l2vpn-evpn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 2016</td>
<td>Submit a Yang or SMI datamodel for RFC4364 to IESG as PS</td>
<td></td>
<td>draft-ietf-l2vpn-trill-evpn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec 2015</td>
<td>Submit E-VPN OAM to IESG as PS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov 2015</td>
<td>Submit specifications for TRILL/E-VPN interoperability to IESG as PS</td>
<td><strong>Done</strong></td>
<td>draft-ietf-l2vpn-trill-evpn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Submit specifications for SPB-M/E-VPN interoperability to IESG as PS</td>
<td><strong>Done</strong></td>
<td>draft-ietf-bess-spbm-evpn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul 2015</td>
<td>Submit specifications for PBB/E-VPN interoperability to IESG as PS</td>
<td></td>
<td>draft-ietf-l2vpn-pbb-evpn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Submit specifications for VPLS multi-homing to IESG as PS</td>
<td></td>
<td>draft-ietf-l2vpn-vpls-multihoming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Submit specification for the use of E-VPN for datacenter overlays to IESG as PS</td>
<td></td>
<td>draft-ietf-bess-evpn-overlay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun 2015</td>
<td>Submit specification of a multicast VPN MIB to IESG as PS</td>
<td></td>
<td>draft-ietf-l3vpn-l2l3-vpn-mcast-mib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr 2015</td>
<td>Submit specification of BGP as an MVPN PE-CE protocol to IESG as PS</td>
<td></td>
<td>draft-ietf-l3vpn-mvpn-pe-ce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 2015</td>
<td>Submit specification of BGP-signaled end-system IP/VPNs to IESG as PS</td>
<td><strong>Done</strong></td>
<td>draft-ietf-l3vpn-end-system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>draft-ietf-l3vpn-end-system-requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Submit specification for extranet support in multicast VPNs to IESG as PS</td>
<td><strong>Done</strong></td>
<td>draft-ietf-bess-mvpn-extranet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Submit specifications for E-VPN to IESG as PS</td>
<td><strong>Done</strong></td>
<td>draft-ietf-l2vpn-evpn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Submit specification for multicast VPN bidir P-tunnels to IESG as PS</td>
<td><strong>Done</strong></td>
<td>draft-ietf-l3vpn-mvpn-bidir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Submit specification of the BGP ACCEPT OWNER Community Attribute to IESG as PS</td>
<td><strong>Done</strong></td>
<td>draft-ietf-l3vpn-acceptown-community</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Status Update - 1

• RFCs Published Since Last IETF:
  • None

• Documents in RFC Ed Queue:
  • draft-ietf-bess-dci-evpn-overlay: MISSREF waiting for draft-ietf-idr-tunnel-encaps
  • draft-ietf-bess-evpn-prefix-advertisement: MISSREF: draft-ietf-bess-evpn-inter-subnet-forwarding
  • draft-ietf-bess-mvpn-mib
  • draft-ietf-bess-l2l3-vpn-mcast-mib

• AD Review (Martin):
  • draft-ietf-bess-evpn-vpls-seamless-integ
  • draft-ietf-bess-df-election-framework
  • draft-ietf-bess-evpn-optimized-ir

• IESG Review:
  • draft-ietf-bess-mvpn-expl-track
Status Update - 2

• Documents ready for submission to IESG:
  • None

• With document shepherd(post WG LC)
  • draft-ietf-bess-evpn-inter-subnet-forwarding: several comments raised during the WGLC => draft update needed
  • draft-ietf-bess-service-chaining: Authors need to address Wim’s comment
  • draft-ietf-bess-vpls-multihoming: draft update needed
  • draft-ietf-bess-vpls-control-flags: Mach to provide the write-up

• Ready for WG LC:
  • draft-ietf-bess-evpn-proxy-arp-nd
  • draft-ietf-bess-evpn-igmp-mld-proxy
  • draft-ietf-bess-mvpn-fast-failover
Status Update - 3

• New WG documents:
  • draft-ietf-bess-evpn-per-mcast-flow-df-election
  • draft-ietf-bess-evpn-unequal-lb
  • draft-ietf-bess-evpn-virtual-segment

• Documents ready for WG adoption:
  • draft-liu-bess-mvpn-yang: new poll to be done
  • draft-jain-bess-evpn-lsp-ping ?
  • draft-skr-bess-evpn-pim-proxy ?

• On going adoption poll:
  • draft-zzhang-bess-mvpn-evpn-aggregation-label-01, runs until 13th Nov.
WG document status report

• We would like to get a periodic progress report for each WG document
• Goal:
  • Better track documents and help to progress them faster
  • Save time during the meetings
• Our proposal:
  • The editor of each WG document sends few lines about the document status including: current state, pending actions or blocking points, and associated timelines.
  • We would like to get this update on a bi-monthly basis (just before an IETF meeting but also in between two IETF meetings).
Status Update - 4

• Status request for WG documents:
  • draft-ietf-bess-datacenter-gateway
  • draft-ietf-bess-nsh-bgp-control-plane
  • draft-ietf-bess-evpn-irb-mcast
  • draft-ietf-bess-evpn-bum-procedure-updates
  • draft-ietf-bess-evpn-fast-df-recovery
  • draft-ietf-bess-evpn-per-mcast-flow-election
  • draft-ietf-bess-evpn-virtual-segment
  • draft-ietf-bess-evpn-pref-df
  • draft-ietf-evpn-vpws-fxc
  • YANG models: L2VPN, L3VPN,EVPN => target to send them to IESG before next IETF?
Status Update - 5

• Errata:
  • ID 5523 on RFC7432:
    • Purpose is to clarify the encoding of the label value in the MPLS label field
    • A new errata must be generated using « Octet » instead of « Byte » in the wording
Session Presentations