

# Next Steps for DNSSD Private Discovery

Christian Huitema

IETF 103, Bangkok, November 2018

# Summary of “privacy scaling” draft

Solution	Scaling	Resistance	Remediation
Pairing secret	Poor	Bad	Good
Group public key	Medium	Bad	Maybe
Shared symmetric secret	Good	Really bad	Poor
Shared public secret	Good	Bad	Maybe

Ref: draft-ietf-dnssd-privacyscaling-00

# Shared Public Secret Solution

- Server has a public key & private key pair
- Authorized clients learn the public key
  - They keep it secret!
- Discovery request demonstrates knowledge of public key
  - Nonce + Hash (nonce | public key)
- Discovery response demonstrates ownership of private key
  - E.g. TLS connection handshake
- Scaling: 1 request & 1 response per server
- Resistance: if public key disclosed, server can be discovered
- Remediation: revocation of old key, distribution of new one

# Predictable Nonce

- Nonce = Quantized Time
  - E.g. most significant 24 bits of Unix 32 bit time
- Server/Client needs to compute Nonce + Hash once per epoch
- Enables pretty good scaling
  - Client can compute nonces of all interesting servers
  - Servers publish record per nonce (DNSSD) or filter per nonce (MDNS)
  - Server records can be cached (DNSSD, MDNS)

# Example Discovery, MDNS

Client computes Nonce, Hash (

Sends MDNS request: base64(Nonce|hash).local →

→ Server Recognizes base64(Nonce|hash)

Prepares signature (Nonce, hash, randomized name)

← Sends MDNS reply: Nonce, randomized name, signature

Receives reply ←

Verifies signature

Starts TLS connection



Other clients may cache  
the response

# Question: Keep the DNS formatting?

- DNS Format:
  - Format (nonce|hash) as “service type”
  - Format signature as “service name” (maybe) or TXT record
- DNS format pros & cons:
  - Reuse MDNS networking code
  - Reuse DNSSD servers, proxies, etc.
  - Awckward compromises, e.g. size of hash, size of signature, service type
- Binary alternative:
  - More natural encoding
  - But yet one more multicast based discovery...

# Reconcile with Bob Bradley's proposal

- Bob's proposal = mirror image of "server public key"
  - Query: signed with client's public key
  - Server processes query, check whether signed by authorized client
  - Response if client is authorized
- Advantage of Bob's proposal:
  - One query from the client, responses from every server present
    - Client does not need to send one query per server.
- Drawbacks of Bob's proposal:
  - Hard to reconcile with DNSSD "server mode"
  - Requires "trial decryption", potential DOS on servers
    - Could be mitigated by adding "predictable nonce" to the query

Next Steps?