# Transmission of IPv6 Packets over IEEE 802.11 Networks operating in mode Outside the Context of a Basic Service Set (IPv6-over-80211-OCB)

draft-ietf-ipwave-ipv6-over-80211ocb-30.txt

A. Petrescu, N. Benamar, J. Härri, C. Huitema, J-H. Lee, T. Ernst

IETF 103, Bangkok, November 6<sup>th</sup>, 2018 *Presenter: Nabil Benamar* 

#### **Contents**

- Changes since Montréal:
  - The draft is updated with all recent comments since last IETF
  - Including comments from 6man WG,
  - From Erik
  - and from the HRPC (Human Rights Protocol Considerations, Amelia).

### **RFC 8280**

- As part of efforts in the Human Rights
   Protocol Considerations (HRPC)
   group, Amelia has reviewed the human rights
   considerations (RFC 8280)
- Need to remove reference to RFC8280
- RFC8280 doesn't need to be listed as a reference, because it's an IRTF Research Group document.
- The review is meant to be helpful, not compulsory.

- The main ND changes were suggested by 6MAN and by Erik Nordmark.
- They were addressed in version 26 and 30.
- The respective changes are listed in the ChangeLog.
- Thy are:
- -30: a clarification on the reliability of ND over OCB and over 802.11.

- We added the following paragraph:
- The Neighbor Discovery protocol (ND) [RFC4861] is used over802.11-OCB links. The reliability of the ND protocol over 802.11-OCB is the reliability of the delivery of ND multicast messages. This reliability is the same as the reliability of delivery of ND multicast messages over 802.11 links operated with a BSS ID."

- -26: moved text from SLAAC section and from
  Design Considerations appendix about privacy
  into a new Privacy Condiderations subsection of
  the Security section;
- Reformulated the SLAAC and IID sections to stress only LLs can use EUI-64;
- Removed the "GeoIP" wireshark explanation;
   reformulated SLAAC and LL sections;

- added brief mention of need of use LLs;
- clarified text about MAC address changes;
- dropped pseudonym discussion;
- changed title of section describing examples of packet formats.

## Privacy protection of vehicles

• Comments from Dirk and Amelia, paragraph to be added:

"The demand for privacy protection of vehicles' and drivers' identities which could be granted by using a pseudonym or alias identity at the same time may hamper the required confidentiality of messages and trust between participants - especially in safety critical vehicular communication. \*Particular challenges arise when the pseudonymization mechanism used relies on (randomized) re-addressing.\* A proper pseudonymization tool operated by a trusted third party may be needed to ensure both aspects concurrently. \*This is discussed in sect. 4.6 and 5.\* Pseudonymity is also discussed in [I-D.ietf-ipwave-vehicular-networking] in sect. 4.2.4 and 5.1.2."