# Alternative Elliptic Curve Representations

draft-ietf-lwig-curve-representations-00

# René Struik

Struik Security Consultancy <u>E-mail:</u> rstruik.ext@gmail.com

IETF 103 – Bangkok, Thailand, November 7, 2018

# Background

#### **History:**

- Initial document presented on March 21, 2018 @ IETF-101
  <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/meeting/101/materials/slides-101-lwig-4-lwig-curve-representations-01">https://datatracker.ietf.org/meeting/101/materials/slides-101-lwig-4-lwig-curve-representations-01</a>
  Adopted as WG doc after IETE-102 meeting Montreal July 20
- Adopted as WG doc after IETF-102 meeting Montreal, July 2018
  Background:
- NIST curves and CFRG curves use different curve models, thereby seemingly precluding code reuse
- Draft shows how curve models are related, by showing how one can switch between curve models via alternative representations
- Draft illustrates how to *reuse existing code* for NIST prime curves to implement CFRG curves (e.g., combine P-256 curve + Curve25519)
- Draft also illustrates how to use this to reuse existing standards
- Draft illustrates how to implement Edwards curve via Montgomery ladder, thereby allowing also code reuse amongst just CFRG curves

# **Current Status (1)**

#### What was in pre-WG version 02?

- Pre-WG draft showed how to reuse generic existing ECC code
- Pre-WG draft also showed how to reuse *non-generic* existing implementations, including those that hardcode domain parameter a=-3 with short Weierstrass curves (which NISTp and Brainpool do)
- Pre-WG draft still lacked some fine details, since hard to compute

## What is new in WG version 00?

 WG draft now provides full details of curve models and mappings, thereby allowing implementation of Curve25519 and Ed25519 with existing short-Weierstrass curve code, whether *generic*, *optimized*, or "Jacobian-friendly" (with hardcoded a=-3 domain parameter)

# **Current Status (2)**

## What has been added in WG version 01? (post submission cut-off)

- Some suggestions, e.g., by Nikolas Rösener, Phillip Hallam-Baker
- Incorporates worked-out examples:
  - Implementations:
    - co-factor Diffie-Hellman (X25519) via Weierstrass curve;
    - EdDSA signing via Montgomery ladder for Curve25519;
  - Specifications:
    - reuse NIST SP 800-56a to specify ephemeral key pairs for CFRG curves (e.g., §4.2.2 of draft-selander-ace-cose-ecdhe-10)

# Implementations:

- [1] N. Rösener, Evaluating the Performance of Transformations Between Curve Representations in Elliptic Curve Cryptography for Constrained Device Security, M.Sc., Universität Bremen, August 2018.
- [2] H. Liu, "How to Use the Kinets LTC ECC HW to Accelerate Curve25519 (v.7)," NXP, April 27, 2017.
  See <a href="https://community.nxp.com/docs/DOC-330199">https://community.nxp.com/docs/DOC-330199</a> (mentions 10x speed-up with <a href="mailto:existing">existing</a> ECC HW)

# **Next Steps?**

#### Main features latest draft:

- Shows how to implement CFRG curves using existing NISTp code
- Shows how to implement Edwards curve using Montgomery ladder (thereby, allowing code reuse for different CFRG curve models, [even if one does not care about short-Weierstrass curves])

## Do we need more?

- More feedback on latest draft welcome!
- Conversions can be implemented using a few field additions and multiplies. Do worked-out examples provide sufficient details?

## **Question:**

Are there any other ECC implementation mysteries to be disspelled?
 (and, if so, should this be in this draft or elsewhere?)

draft-ietf-lwig-curve-representations-00