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Updates

- Thanks to Mohit for the detailed review
- Terminology Clarification
  - Document used terms from RPL, PANA, 6Lo
  - Q. Should we decouple the document from RPL and PANA specifics?
Points to discuss

- Should we just handle one thing?
  - Implementation policy to manage constrained NCE table with high node densities

- Remove text related to signalling recommendation?
  - Draft talked about RPL, PANA signalling based on which NCE entries could be added
  - We intended to show how routing protocol and network access protocols can handle NCE addition (without using explicit NS/NA) making it light weight
    - But these suggestions cannot be generically applied
Implementation Progress

- Implementation almost finished
  - We will report data by next meeting

- Issues during implementation
  - Unable to handle auth related NCE entries with Contiki
    - Contiki does not support any network access protocols yet
  - One way is to simulate the entries (yet to do)