draft-ietf-lwig-nbr-mgmt-policy
Updates
IETF 103, Bangkok

Updates

- Thanks to Mohit for the detailed review
- Terminology Clarification
  - Document used terms from RPL, PANA, 6Lo
  - Q. Should we decouple the document from RPL and PANA specifics?

Points to discuss

- Should we just handle one thing?
  - Implementation policy to manage constrained NCE table with high node densities
- Remove text related to signalling recommendation?
  - Draft talked about RPL, PANA signalling based on which NCE entries could be added
  - We intended to show how routing protocol and network access protocols can handle NCE addition (without using explicit NS/NA) making it light weight
    - But these suggestions **cannot be generically applied**



Implementation Progress

- Implementation almost finished
  - We will report data by next meeting
- Issues during implementation
  - Unable to handle auth related NCE entries with Contiki
    - Contiki does not support any network access protocols yet
  - One way is to simulate the entries (yet to do)