RTCWEB@IETF103

WG Info: https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/rtcweb/about/
Chairs: Cullen Jennings, Ted Hardie, and Sean Turner
This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.

As a reminder:

- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process),
- BCP 25 (Working Group processes),
- BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures),
- BCP 54 (Code of Conduct),
- BCP 78 (Copyright),
- BCP 79 (Patents, Participation),
Requests

Minute Taker(s)
Jabber Scribe(s)
Sign Blue Sheets
State your name @ the mic
Keep it professional @ the mic
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10min</td>
<td>Administrivia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30min</td>
<td>AD comments on: security, security-arch, ip-handling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20min</td>
<td>jsep changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20min</td>
<td>mdns-candidates</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cullen is stepping down after this meeting
Security Drafts with AD

Examples:
- sdp-11
- security-10
- security-arch-16
- ip-handling-11
Go to Adam's slides.
Proposed Post IESG Approval Change: 1

PR#851 References changed from RFC 5245 to RFC 8445 and I-D.ietf-mmusic-ice-sip-sdp

Implements consensus to refer to “ICEbis”.
PR#850 Stop using the "appdata" field in "a=msid"

Before this change, "a=msid" was broken e.g. w3c/webrtc-pc#1718 and w3c/webrtc-pc#2005.

Addresses the problem where that removeTrack(track) then addTrack(track) yields bogus SDP.
Proposed Post IESG Approval Change: 3

**PR#849** Add bullet about rejecting all m= sections in a BUNDLE group

See [w3c/webrtc-pc#1858](w3c/webrtc-pc#1858)

PR includes text that says if we reject the offerer tagged m= section we reject all of them, like we have to.
I#854 contradictory advice on subsequent offers need to specify the ICE protocol:

- Subsequent offers need to specify the ICE protocol
- Implementations MUST indicate the UDP/TLS/RTP/SAVPF profile for each media m= line they produce in an offer.
Proposed Post IESG Approval Change: 5

I#855 session ID is $2^{62}$, should be $2^{63}$ or $2^{64}$

Two problems:

- RFC 3264 actually doesn't place that restriction on the sess-id, that's the restriction on the version field.
- Deployed code (libwebrtc) generates sess-ids that are larger than $2^{62}-1$.

Change deployed code or JSEP? GH discussion seems to be: “changing to $2^{63}$ (and removing the citation for 3264)”
Anything else?

GH issues
mdns-ice-candidates-02
% done?