DTLS 1.3

draft-ietf-tls-dtls13-28

Eric Rescorla

Hannes Tschofenig

Mozilla

Arm Limited

ekr@rtfm.com

hannes.tschofenig@arm.com

Nagendra Modadugu

Google

nagendra@cs.stanford.edu

DTLS 1.3: Unified Packet Format (New)

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|0|0|1|C|L|E E|S|
+-+-+-+-+-+-+
  8 or 16 bit |
                   Legend:
|Sequence Number|
+-+-+-+-+-+-+
                       - CID present
| Connection ID |
                       - Length present
                       - Epoch
| (if any,
                   Ε
   length as
                       - Sequence number length
  negotiated)
+-+-+-+-+-+-+
| 16 bit Length |
| (if present) |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

Examples

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
                      0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
+-+-+-+-+-+-+
                     +-+-+-+-+-+-+
|0|0|1|C|1|E|E|1|
                     |0|0|1|0|0|E|E|0|
    16 bit
                     |8-bit Seq. No. |
|Sequence Number|
                    +-+-+-+-+-+-+
+-+-+-+-+-+-+
                        Encrypted
/ Connection ID /
                        Record
+-+-+-+-+-+-+
                     +-+-+-+-+-+-+
   16 bit
                      DTLSCiphertext
   Length
                        Structure
+-+-+-+-+-+-+
                         (minimal)
  Encrypted
  Record
+-+-+-+-+-+-+
DTLSCiphertext
  Structure
    (full)
```

Record Sequence Number Encryption

- Borrowed from QUIC
- Client and server derive a sn key
 - Generate mask by enciphering the first bytes of the ciphertext with sn key
 - XOR the mask with the SN
- Important change: MUST validate record MAC before rejecting duplicates

Compatibility Mode

- DTLS 1.3 MUST NOT use compatibility mode.
- That is all.

End of EOED (PR#62

- It's not clear we need EOED in DTLS
 - Epoch tells you when to switch to handshake data
 - DTLS is lossy anyway so truncation attacks don't apply
- Loss of EOED causes blocking
 - So this is irritating
 - QUIC removed it
- Proposal: Remove EOED from DTLS 1.3
 - Note: this affects the transcript

Connection ID Flexibility (PR#65)

- Previously all the CID management messages were one at a time
 - Issuing N CIDs means sending N NewConnectionId messages
 - What about reordering?
- Proposal
 - NewConnectionId can have an arbitrary number of CIDs
 - RequestConnectionId has a count
 - Only one of each outstanding at once

Other Issues?