Issues

• What list of RFCs does this update/obsolete?
  - Could be a long or short list, not sure
  - Section 9 updates RFC7525 (a BCP) - might mean this becomes part of BCP195?
  - Suggestion: authors do bureaucracy with chairs/ADs/IESG (inevitable anyway:-)

• Sections 3 & 4 – some info on deprecation announcements and measurements
  - Leave in RFC or not? More welcome in any case
  - Suggest: leave in - (correct:-) factual statements seem useful even for posterity

• Section 8 – (PR from Hubert Kario) Don’t use SHA-1 as a signature hash
  - Keep or separate out? Could be better handled elsewhere maybe? (Or ignored for now?)
  - Suggestion: Leave it in unless some better document turns up for that text
Timeline

• Bigger issue is when to progress this
  – Are email, etc., are sufficiently different from web?

• Happy to leave that to chairs but no harm to get a sense of what folks think at the moment
  – Authors are happy to try finish the text quickly if that’s what the WG want