Scalable De-aggregation for Distributed Mobility Management

IETF 104 DMM Working Group
March 26, 2019

Fred L. Templin (fltemplin@acm.org)

Scaling Considerations for Aviation

- **Each aircraft is a mobile network, and receives an IPv6 Mobile Network Prefix (MNP)**
- **Numbers of commercial airplanes operating worldwide today currently O(10^4) – perhaps growing to O(10^5) in coming years**
- **However, Unmanned Air Systems and Personal Air Vehicle growth anticipated in the near future**
  - **soon need to consider larger orders of magnitude**
- **Mobility plays a role in control messaging overhead, and aircraft are highly mobile**
  - **Need a system that scales**

Centralized vs Distributed Mobility Management

- **In Centralized Mobility Management (CMM), one Mobility Anchor Point (MAP) for the entire worldwide aviation environment:**



CMM Considerations

- **CMM Advantages:**
  - **Immediate mobility and QoS signaling, since all aircraft are serviced by the same MAP**
- **CMM Disadvantages:**
  - **Scaling limitations not only in numbers of aircraft, but also in the amount of mobility signaling**
  - **Localized mobility events cause global instability**

Distributed Mobility Management

- **In Distributed Mobility Management (DMM), many regional MAPs distribute scaling load without impacting the routing system:**



DMM Considerations

- **DMM Advantages:**
  - **Distributes load among many MAPs:**
    - **Scalable numbers of aircraft (up to 1M per routing core)**
    - **Scalable mobility signaling**
  - **Localized mobility events kept local without causing global instability**
- **DMM Disadvantages:**
  - **Requires an effective route optimization service to reduce congestion in the core**
    - ➢ **BUT, WE KNOW HOW TO DO THIS**

Massively Distributed Mobility Management

- **In Massively Distributed Mobility Management (MDMM), many routing cores linked together**



Scalable De-Aggregation for MDMM

- **Entire system supports a Mobility Service Prefix (MSP), e.g., 2001:db8::/32**
- **Each routing core maintains an independent BGP Routing Information Base (RIB) with up to 1M MNPs**
- **Each RIB services a different Mobility Group Prefix (MGP), e.g., 2001:db8::/44, 2001:db8:0010::/44, 2001:db8:0020::/44, 2001:db8:0030::/44, etc.**
- **MAPs peer with each routing core and apply route filters so that each MNP registers with a single RIB**
- **So, with 1K RIBs each servicing a different MGP the total system can support up to 1B BGP routes**
  - **Mobiles can register with any available MAP**
  - **Route optimization keeps data traffic out of core**
  - **MAPs keep mobility signaling out of core**

Draft Status

“A Simple BGP-based Mobile Routing System for the Aeronautical Telecommunications Network”

(https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-rtgwg-atn-bgp/)

“Scalable De-Aggregation for Overlays Using the Border Gateway Protocol (BGP)”

(https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-templin-rtgwg-scalable-bgp/)

Backups