

Multi-homing Deployment Considerations for DOTS

[draft-ietf-dots-multihoming-01](#)

IETF#104 Prague, March 2019

M. Boucadair & T. Reddy

Change Log

- Document adoption (01/2019)
- -00/-01
 - Clarify the rationale for separating Residential CPEs vs. Enterprise CPEs
 - Clarify the behavior if no DOTS server is bound to a domain which assigned a prefix under attack
 - Clarify that we rely on existing multi-homing terminology and practices ([RFC3582](#), [RFC4116](#))
 - Check the normative language
 - Fix nits

Next Steps

- Double check the text about anycast addresses (more consistent use)
- Remove adherence with the use cases I-D
- Assess if any specific behavior is required, e.g.,:
 - Server selection (a list of servers is configured per network attachment)
 - mid in forking cases
 - Mitigation termination for PI
- Please review and share your comments