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Change Log

• Document adoption (01/2019)
• -00/-01
  – Clarify the rationale for separating Residential CPEs vs. Enterprise CPEs
  – Clarify the behavior if no DOTS server is bound to a domain which assigned a prefix under attack
  – Clarify that we rely on existing multi-homing terminology and practices ([RFC3582](https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc3582), [RFC4116](https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc4116))
  – Check the normative language
  – Fix nits
Next Steps

• Double check the text about anycast addresses (more consistent use)
• Remove adherence with the use cases I-D
• Assess if any specific behavior is required, e.g.,:
  – Server selection (a list of servers is configured per network attachment)
  – mid in forking cases
  – Mitigation termination for PI
• Please review and share your comments