

RFC7626-bis: DNS Privacy Considerations

[draft-bortzmeyer-dprive-rfc7626-bis](#)

Sara Dickinson

Stephane Bortzmeyer

Overview

- **RFC7626** published in August 2015 (first DPRIVE doc)
- Abstract: “This document describes the **privacy issues** associated with the use of the DNS by **Internet users**. It is intended to be an analysis of the present situation and does not prescribe solutions.”

Overview

- **RFC7626** published in August 2015 (first DPRIVE doc)
- Abstract: “This document describes the **privacy issues** associated with the use of the DNS by **Internet users**. It is intended to be an analysis of the present situation and does not prescribe solutions.”

1. Limited to privacy (not security or trust or network/operator)
2. It was a snapshot - described only the typical deployment of DNS at the time

Why a bis?

- Before any new DPRIVE WG & DoH WG standards, only discusses cleartext - things have changed!
- Best Current Practices for DNS Privacy operators
- latest version is based on threat/mitigation model
- An updated RFC7626 seemed like the right place to describe new threats (companion document)
 - **Threats:** RFC7626-bis
 - **Mitigations:** draft-ietf-dprive-bcp-op

Document status

- Jul 2018 : Published -00
- Jan 2019: Updated to -01, only minor updates
- **Mar 2019**: Call for adoption started yesterday - thanks for comments!

What's new in the bis?

- Add new work (DoT(D)/DoH), standards and deployment.
- Update many references.
- Add section: DNS payload content (ECS, DNS Cookies, etc.)
- Attacks on encrypted transports, potential for tracking via transport
- In the server: analysis of DoT and DoH (headers)
- Authentication of servers
- Blocking of encrypted services

What's still missing or needs work?

- Is DoT/DoH covered sufficiently?
- Should the scope of this document be expanded?
 - Trust model of provider
 - Security of discovery/config mechanisms
- Would this move to another WG if one was formed to focus on policy/deployment....?

Questions?