

GitHub Configuration for IETF Working Groups

draft-ietf-git-github-wg-configuration-01

IETF 104

Changes in the -01

Changes allowed but not required for WGs currently using GitHub (Abstract)

38 - The use of GitHub in IETF working group processes is increasing. This document describes
39 - possible uses and conventions for working groups which are considering starting to use
40 - GitHub. It does not mandate any processes, and does not intend to change the processes
41 - used by current working groups.

38 + The use of GitHub in IETF working group processes is increasing.
39 + This document describes possible uses and conventions for working
40 + groups which are considering starting to use GitHub. It does not
41 + mandate any processes, and does not require changes to the processes
42 + used by current and future working groups not using GitHub.

Note other code repository services (Section 1)

53 54 their work on IETF documents. Some others are interested in having their working groups
54 55 use GitHub to facilitate the development of working group documents, but they are
55 56 unfamiliar with how to get started or they are unclear about which conventions to follow.

- 57 + Some other working groups use or plan to use other other code
- 58 + repository services such as GitLab and Bitbucket, which have
- 59 + different properties than GitHub.

Dropped note about API; Tools Team can work on this (Section 2.3)

```
127 132      When there are personnel changes in the area or the working group, those changes would be
128 133      reflected in the GitHub organization.
129 134      There should likely be an API to specify that there were personnel changes.
130 - \[\[ Need to do a bit of research on how to do this
131 - through the API, if possible. \]\]
```

Open question

Multiple references to draft-thomson-github-bcp, so need to figure out what the plan is for that document (see next preso).

Discussion