

# RFC Series Editor Updates

IETF 104

Prague, Czech Republic

# RFC Format Project Update – Primary Goals

- Project goals:
  - XML as the unchanging, underlying format
  - TXT, PDF/A-3, HTML as the outputs
  - SVG line art (black and white) allowed
  - Non-ASCII characters allowed

<http://www.rfc-editor.org/rse/format-faq>

# RFC Format Project Update

- Project status and discussion
  - <https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/xml2rfc-dev>
- Many thanks to [Callas Software](#) for donation of the software to the RFC Editor that allows us to create PDF/A-3
- v3 XML now accepted in the datatracker
  - Not ready to publish as RFCs yet
  - See announcement on ietf-announce “[Subject: Accepting Internet-Drafts in new RFC Format](#)”
- See also the xml2rfcv3 FAQ (an ongoing WIP)
  - <https://www.rfc-editor.org/materials/FAQ-xml2rfcv3.html>


# RFC Production Center (RPC) Satisfaction Survey

- Experiment started 1 February 2019
- Purpose: a vendor evaluation
- 28 surveys (1 per RFC published since 1 Feb 2019) sent out to 125 authors and document shepherds
  - 18 surveys returned by 23 authors/doc shepherds

# RPC Satisfaction Survey – Question 1

Did the editing service provided by the RFC Editor improve the quality your document?

– 22 answered, 1 skipped (95.45% yes, 4.55% no)



# RPC Satisfaction Survey – Question 2

What one thing would make the editing process easier or more effective?

- 21 answered; 2 skipped

Most popular feedback:

- Need better tools to manage review of changes during edit (6 responses)
- No suggestions, doing great (9 responses)

# RPC Satisfaction Survey – Question 3

May we contact you to discuss your suggestions or concerns further?

– 22 answered, 1 skipped

