

Quantum Resistant IKEv2 Update

draft-tjhai-ipsecme-hybrid-qske-ikev2-03

C. Tjhai, M. Tomlinson Post-Quantum, G. Bartlett, S. Fluhrer Cisco Systems,
D. Van Geest ISARA Corporation, O. Garcia-Morchon Philips,
V. Smyslov ELVIS-PLUS

IETF 104

Protocol Overview

- Quantum Computers will make classical (EC)DH insecure
- Quantum Safe Key Exchange methods (QSKE) are not well studied yet and currently no single QSKE method is trusted by cryptographers
 - besides most of QSKE methods have large public keys
- The idea is to make it possible in IKEv2 to perform several different key exchanges in a row, combining classical KE methods with quantum safe ones
 - it is assumed that combination of QSKE methods of different types is more secure than any of them alone

Protocol Overview (2)

- Additional KEs are negotiated in IKE_SA_INIT and performed in a series of new INTERMEDIATE exchanges between IKE_SA_INIT and IKE_AUTH

Initiator

Responder

```
-----  
HDR(IKE_SA_INIT), SA, Ni, KEi, N --> <-- HDR(IKE_SA_INIT), SA, Nr, KEr, N  
HDR(INTERMEDIATE), SK {Ni2, KEi2} --> <-- HDR(INTERMEDIATE), SK {Nr2, KEr2}  
HDR(INTERMEDIATE), SK {Ni3, KEi3} --> <-- HDR(INTERMEDIATE), SK {Nr3, KEr3}  
HDR(IKE_AUTH), SK {IDi, AUTH, TSi, TSr} --> <-- HDR(IKE_AUTH), SK {IDr, AUTH, TSi, TSr}
```

- After each exchange the IKE SA keys are updated

New **SKEYSEED** is computed as $\text{prf}(\text{SK}_d(\text{old}), \text{KE}_{n_result} \mid \text{N}_{in} \mid \text{N}_{rn})$

Then, $\text{SK}_d, \text{SK}_{ai}, \text{SK}_{ar}, \text{SK}_{ei}, \text{SK}_{er}, \text{SK}_{pi}, \text{SK}_{pr}$ are updated as:

$\{\text{SK}_d \mid \text{SK}_{ai} \mid \text{SK}_{ar} \mid \text{SK}_{ei} \mid \text{SK}_{er} \mid \text{SK}_{pi} \mid \text{SK}_{pr}\} = \text{prf}^+(\text{SKEYSEED}, \text{N}_{in} \mid \text{N}_{rn} \mid \text{SPI}_{i} \mid \text{SPI}_{r})$

- All INTERMEDIATE exchanges are authenticated in IKE_AUTH by inclusion prf of their content in AUTH payload calculation

Changes from -02 version

- Additional key exchanges are now negotiated using new Transform Types in SA Payload
- Using multiple key exchanges in CREATE_CHILD_SA is defined
- IKE_AUX is changed to INTERMEDIATE (to be aligned with draft-smyslov-ipsecme-ikev2-aux-02)
- IANA considerations section is added
- VendorID and temporary IDs for PQ KE methods are removed from the draft

QSKE Negotiation

- Seven new Transform Types are defined:
 - Additional Key Exchange 1
 - Additional Key Exchange 2
 - ...
 - Additional Key Exchange 7
- All these Transform Types, as well as Transform Type 4, share the same Transform IDs registry – *Diffie-Hellman Group Transform IDs* (to be renamed to *Key Exchange Transform IDs*)
- QSKE methods will get code points from this registry (as well as classic (EC)DH groups)

QSKE Negotiation (2)

- If Initiator wants to do QSKE, he includes one or more transforms of type “Additional Key Exchange N” in the Proposal in SA Payload
- Transforms of these types contain Transform IDs identifying KE methods the Initiator proposes to perform in corresponding INTERMEDIATE exchanges
- The relative order of INTERMEDIATE exchanges is defined by N, so that KE from “Additional Key Exchange N” will be done before KE from “Additional Key Exchange N+1” etc.

QSKE Negotiation (3)

- There is no requirement that N included transforms are contiguous (e.g. it's OK to include only “Additional Key Exchange 2” and “Additional Key Exchange 5”)
- The Initiator may include NONE Transform ID in any of “Additional Key Exchange N” transforms, which means that it's OK to completely skip INTERMEDIATE exchange for this N
- For compatibility with legacy implementations the Initiator may include two proposals – one with new Transform Types and the other – without them

QSKE Negotiation (4)

- Transform Type 4 (Diffie-Hellman Group Transform IDs) is always included and is always performed in the IKE_SA_INIT (no change from regular IKEv2)
- Since Transform Type 4 and Additional Key Exchange transforms share the same registry, it's also possible to perform one QSKE in the IKE_SA_INIT
 - this allows in future to not perform the series of Key Exchanges if a cryptographically sound QSKE with small public key appears

QSKE Negotiation (5)

- Example of Initiator's policy (perform ECP_521 in IKE_SA_INIT, then NewHope, then FRODO, then either RLWE or LWE and at the end SIDH or NTRU or nothing)

SA Payload

```
|
+--- Proposal #1 ( Proto ID = IKE(1), SPI size = 8,
|   |           10 transforms,      SPI = 0x052357bbc763eb14 )
|   +--- Transform ENCR ( Name = ENCR_AES_GCM_16)
|   +--- Transform PRF ( Name = PRF_HMAC_SHA2_256)
|   +--- Transform D-H ( Name = DH_ECP_521)
|   +--- Transform Additional KE 1 ( Name = KE_NEWHOPE )
|   +--- Transform Additional KE 3 ( Name = KE_FRODO )
|   +--- Transform Additional KE 4 ( Name = KE_RLWE )
|   +--- Transform Additional KE 4 ( Name = KE_LWE )
|   +--- Transform Additional KE 6 ( Name = KE_SIDH )
|   +--- Transform Additional KE 6 ( Name = KE_NTRU )
|   +--- Transform Additional KE 6 ( Name = NONE )
|
+--- Proposal #2 ( Proto ID = IKE(1), SPI size = 8,
|   |           3 transforms,      SPI = 0x052357bbc763eb14 )
|   +--- Transform ENCR ( Name = ENCR_AES_GCM_16)
|   +--- Transform PRF ( Name = PRF_HMAC_SHA2_256)
|   +--- Transform D-H ( Name = DH_ECP_521)
```

Using QSKE in CREATE_CHILD_SA

- If Initiator wants to use QSKE in case of rekeying IKE SA or creating/rekeying Child SAs, then there must be a way to do it with existing CREATE_CHILD_SA
- The idea to put all KEs in a single CREATE_CHILD_SA message is not good:
 - the message would become large in size; although this message could be fragmented, a single lost fragment would require the whole message to be resent
 - Initiator would need to calculate many public keys before KE methods are actually negotiated
 - INVALID_KEY_PAYLOAD semantics would become different comparing to the regular IKEv2 case

Using QSKE in CREATE_CHILD_SA (2)

- Additional KEs are performed in a series of INFORMATIONAL exchanges followed CREATE_CHILD_SA exchange
- New Notification ADDITIONAL_KEY_EXCHANGE is used to link these exchanges, because they can be interleaved with another IKE exchanges
- QSKEs are negotiated in the same manner as in IKE_SA_INIT
- New SA is created only when the last of INFORMATIONAL exchanges is complete

Using QSKE in CREATE_CHILD_SA (3)

- Example:

Initiator

Responder

HDR(**CREATE_CHILD_SA**), SK {SA, Ni, KEi} -->

<-- HDR(**CREATE_CHILD_SA**), SK {SA, Nr, KEr,
N(ADDITIONAL_KEY_EXCHANGE) (**link1**)}

HDR(**INFORMATIONAL**), SK {Ni2, KEi2,
N(ADDITIONAL_KEY_EXCHANGE) (**link1**)} -->

<-- HDR(**INFORMATIONAL**), SK {Nr2, KEr2,
N(ADDITIONAL_KEY_EXCHANGE) (**link2**)}

HDR(**INFORMATIONAL**), SK {Ni3, KEi3,
N(ADDITIONAL_KEY_EXCHANGE) (**link2**)} -->

<-- HDR(**INFORMATIONAL**), SK {Nr3, KEr3}

Next Steps

- Clarify collisions handling in CREATE_CHILD_SA in case of additional exchanges
- Clarify how keys are computed in CREATE_CHILD_SA with additional exchanges
- Update IANA Considerations: add request to rename *Diffie-Hellman Group Transform IDs* to *Key Exchange Transform IDs*

Thank you!

- Questions? Comments? Feedback?
- Requirements for QSKE methods?
- Document adoption?