

# Evolution of IGMP/MLD standards status

draft-ietf-pim-igmp-mld-bis-00

M. Mishra, Cisco; T. Eckert, Huawei; H. Asaeda, NICT; A. Peter; O. Komolafe, Arista; S. Babu, Juniper; N. Leymann, DT; R. Josyula, Arris; T. Winters, UNH

# Summary of process

- Discussed last few IETFs (IETF102, IETF103)
- Outcome (IETF103):
  - First step: create questionnaire about use of IGMP/MLD and its features in customer network (operators) and vendors implementations.
- Since IETF103:
  - Team formed, mailing list ([igmp-mld-bis@ietf.org](mailto:igmp-mld-bis@ietf.org)), created questionnaire:
  - draft-ietf-pim-igmp-mld-bis-00
- Plan now (to be discussed here).
  - Have WG approve questionnaire as good enough to send out (not publish as RFC)
  - Have all WG members with ideas post to appropriate communities (e.g.: Internet 2)
    - Feedback to be collected by trusted party (proposal: Tim Chown)
  - Feedback summarized, put into draft
  - ... story continues from there

[www.justshowthedraft.com](http://www.justshowthedraft.com)  
draft-ietf-pim-igmp-mld-bis-00

**Thank You!**