MPTCP – Multipath TCP

WG Meeting
27th March 2019
Prague, Czech Republic

Philip Eardley Yoshifumi Nishida

- Note taker
- Jabber
- Please say your name at the mike
- Please include "-mptcp-" in your draft names
- Blue Sheet!

Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
- BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
- BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
- BCP 78 (Copyright)
- BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
- https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/ (Privacy Policy)

Agenda

- 1. Chairs WG Status etc [5 mins]
 - 1. The 'request for publication' for RFC6824bis has gone to Mirja (our AD).
- 2. Implementation news
 - 1. News about open sourcing bis implementation
 - 2. Significant on-going efforts for mainline Linux kernel
 - 3. Open mike for implementation news
- 3. Deployment updates
 - 1. Deploying Hybrid Access Networks with MPTCP- Olivier Bonaventure (remote)
 - 2. Multi-path Transport Deployment on Smartphone Apps Zhen Cao
- 4. Individual drafts
 - 1. Initial-Path Selection for Connection Establishment in Multipath TCP Jianjian Zhu
 - 2. Generic Path-Manager Support with eBPF Viet-Hoang Tran (remote)
 - 3. 5G Session Continuity Support in MPTCP Xavier de Foy
- 5. Potential future topics for WG
 - 1. Brief summary of Monday's side meeting
 - 2. TAPS multipath support Anna Brunstrom
 - 3. Open discussion

Summary of Monday's side meeting

- 16 people, lively discussion
- 4 main topics:
- Path manager and scheduler
 - important topic
 - Earlier discussion didn't reach consensus about RFC changes RFC & bis just have backup bit (MP_PRIO)
 - ATSSS timeframe is this summer
 - Several possible mechanisms
 - Some flexibility in how interpret backup bit
 - There is room for new MPTCP suboption types
 - Could define probe for probing quality of multiple paths
 - Could define info exchange (eg 'restrict flow x to 10Mbps')
 - Could simply document (define?) existing /improved path managers
 - Could simply document (define?) existing /improved schedulers (at high level fine detail is beyond IETF?)
 - It is hard to get the wordsmithing accurate for more than 2 paths leave as an exercise for reader?
 - Suggest discussion about scenarios /requirements, before designing mechanism
- API
 - TAPS multipath support Anna Brunstrom
- Update of MPTCP experiences?
- Robust establishment
 - Some think useful, but some concern about limited space in SYN, scalability, working with middleboxes
 - Markus Amend: I-D for Montreal park discussion until then
- Open discussion