

# **draft-ietf-oauth-jwt-introspection-response-02**

Vladimir Dzhuvinov, Torsten Lodderstedt

IETF-105  
Mar 25 2019, Prague

# What is it?

- Proposes an additional JWT-based response type for Token Introspection (RFC 7662)

HTTP/1.1 200 OK

Content-Type: application/json

```
{  
  "sub": "Z5O3upPC88QrAjx00dis",  
  "aud": "https://protected.example.net/resource",  
  "extension_field": "twenty-seven",  
  "scope": "read write dolphin",  
  "iss": "https://server.example.com/",  
  "active": true,  
  "exp": 1419356238,  
  "iat": 1419350238,  
  "client_id": "l238j323ds-23ij4",  
  "username": "jdoe"  
}
```

HTTP/1.1 200 OK

Content-Type: application/jwt

```
eyJraWQiOilxliwiYWxnIjoiUlMyNTYifQ.eyJzdWliOiJaNU8zdXBQQzg4UXJBa  
ngwMGRpcylsImF1ZCI6lmh0dHBzOlwvXC9wcm90ZWN0ZWQuZXhhbXBsZS5u  
ZXRcL3Jlc291cmNliwiZXh0ZW5zaW9uX2ZpZWxljoidHdlbnR5LXNmVuliwc2  
NvcGUIoIjyZWFKIHdyaxRIIGRvbHBoaW4iLCJpc3MiOiJodHRwczpcL1wvc2Vyd  
mVyLmV4YW1wbGUuY29tXC8iLCJhY3RpdmUiOnRydWUsImV4cCI6MTQxOTM  
1NjlzOCwiaWF0IjoxNDE5MzUwMjM4LCJjbGllbnRfaWQiOjsMjM4ajMyM2RzLTI  
zaWo0liwidXNlcm5hbWUiOijqZG9lIn0.HEQHf05vqVvWVnWuEjbzUnPz6JDQVR  
69QkxgzBNq5kk-sK54ieg1STazXGsdFAT8nUhiiV1f_Z4HOKNnBs8TLKaFXokhA  
0MqNBOYI--2unVHDql_RPMcC3p0NmP02Xmv4hzxFmTmpgjSy3vpKQDihOjhwN  
Bh7G81JNaJqjJQTRv_1dHUPJotQjMK3k8_5FyiO2p64Y2VyxyQn1VVVlgOHJw  
hj6BaGHk4Qf5F8DHQZ1WCPg2p_-hwflnfXh1_buSjxyDRF4oe9pKy6ZB3ejh9ql  
Mm-WrwltuU1uWMXxN6eS6tUtpKo8UCHBwLWCHmJN7KU6ZojmalSspdS23IEL  
Alyw
```

# Why?

- **High assurance level use cases** such as payments & electronic signing require signed access tokens due to auditing/non-repudiation requirements
- Use of structured access tokens not always possible
  - Integrated authorization for multiple services (e.g. sign a contract + initiate corresponding payment) operated by different providers
  - Cannot use single JWT carrying all necessary data (privacy)
- Token Introspection (RFC 7662) better fits but currently lacks signed responses

# Changes since IETF-103 (-02)

- Updated references, e.g. to RFC 8414

# Open Issue

- Justin Richer suggested to change the draft into general mechanism to enable JWT responses at any OAuth endpoint
- I asked the WG for their opinion (on the list):
  - Mike Jones: “*Let's do one thing well. Not create something that's extra-complicated without any clear use cases for doing so.*”
  - Justin Richer: “*I think it makes sense to have something that all of the OAuth JSON-spouting endpoints (introspection, token, revocation, registration, discovery) can use to universally put out signed and/or encrypted JWTs instead using the same mechanism.*”

Q & A