

IETF 104 pim wg meeting

Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (<https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/>) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
- BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
- BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
- BCP 78 (Copyright)
- BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
- <https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/> (Privacy Policy)



I E T F

Agenda

Agenda bashing, document status	Chairs	10 min
draft-ietf-pim-igmp-mld-snooping-yang-07	Xufeng	5 min
draft-zhao-pim-igmp-mld-proxy-yang-01	Xufeng	5 min
draft-ietf-pim-null-register-packing-01	Stig	10 min
draft-ietf-pim-reserved-bits-00	Stig	10 min
draft-venaas-pim-port-pfm-00	Stig	15 min
draft-mankamana-pim-bdr-01	Mankamana	10 min
draft-liu-pim-assert-packing-00	Mike	10 min
TreeSID	Hooman	15 min
IGMP/MLD Questionnaire draft-volunteers-pim-igmp-mld-bis-00.txt	Toerless	15 min

Status 1/3

- draft-ietf-pim-yang
 - Approved, in RFC editors queue, waiting for referenced documents
- draft-ietf-pim-igmp-mld-yang
 - With the IESG. IETF LC comments to be addressed
- draft-ietf-pim-msdp-yang
 - Passed WGLC before last meeting. Not clear if a JSON example is needed. Request publication?
- draft-ietf-pim-multiple-upstreams-reqs
 - AD/IESG believes not worth publishing. Authors asking for publication as independent submission.

Status 2/3

- draft-ietf-pim-drlb
 - Requested publication
- draft-ietf-pim-igmp-mld-snooping-yang
 - Status? Ready for WGLC?
- draft-ietf-pim-ipv4-prefix-over-ipv6-nh
 - Issues raised in WGLC, waiting for new version
- draft-ietf-pim-dr-improvement
 - Passed WGLC, but a few comments to be addressed
- draft-ietf-pim-explicit-tracking
 - No progress in a while

Status 3/3

- draft-ietf-pim-reserved-bits
 - Discussed this meeting
- draft-ietf-pim-null-register-packing
 - Discussed this meeting
- draft-ietf-pim-bfd-p2mp-use-case
 - Adopted before previous meeting

Implementation Requirements

- Routing area WGs have different policies
 - Require at least 2 interoperable implementations and detailed implementation reports
 - Require x implementations documented in an Implementation Status Section (rfc7942)
 - Require x implementations — no specific documentation needed
 - Require x implementations, but the Chairs can make exceptions per-document
 - Document known implementations in the Implementation Status Section (rfc7942)
 - The Chairs will ask about implementations
 - No requirement
- What should the pim WG policy be?
 - Currently No requirement