

# Origin Validation Signaling

`draft-ymbk-sidrops-ov-signal-02.txt`

2019.03.26

`randy@psg.com`

# Evaluator

- RPKI-enabled Origin Validation device
- With BGP feeds from other devices in the same PoP
- ROV evaluates the BGP announcements
- Signals Invalid announcements back to the devices which sent bad BGP
- So they can then drop Invalids

# Why

- Better OV scale-out in a PoP if all devices do not have to do ROV, load RPKI cache
- More consistent ROV evaluation
- Same philosophy as Route Reflectors

# Trust Boundary

- Only within a PoP
- This is not outsourcing trust
- Do not share with customers or friends

Devices send BGP,  
or maybe BMP,  
to Evaluator

# Signaling Alternatives

- In-Band - Evaluator sends the BGP announcement back to the device with some coloring
- A new AFI/SAFI
- Augment the RPKI-Router protocol
- Create a whole new protocol

# In-Band

- Send BGP back to originator of Invalid announcement
- Tag with the BGP Prefix Origin Validation State Extended Community per RFC8097
- Or some other way to color the announcement
- And the originator the drops the path

# New AFI/SAFI

- Create a new AFI/SAFI
- Return Invalid BGP announcements back to sender marked with new AFI/SAFI
- Could contain high granularity info on why it is Invalid
- And the originator the drops the path
- But this is a lot of implementation



# Augment RPKI-Router

- Add one or more PDUs to RFC6810
- Device is sending BGP to Evaluator
- Establish RPKI-Router between Evaluator and device
- Evaluator acts role of cache++
- Signals Invalid paths back to device
- And the device drops the Invalid path

Create a New Protocol

You're kidding, right?

All these have good and  
bad points  
None are perfect or  
simple

But, as ROV deploys, it  
would be *really really*  
useful to have something  
in this space

The draft chose  
In-band Marking with  
the BGP Prefix Origin  
Validation State  
Extended Community