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- draft-ietf-teas-gmpls-signaling-smp
- draft-ietf-teas-native-ip-scenarios
- draft-ietf-teas-pce-native-ip
- draft-ietf-teas-pcecc-use-cases
- draft-ietf-teas-sf-aware-topo-model
- draft-ietf-teas-rsvp-rmr-extension
- draft-ietf-teas-te-metric-recording
- draft-ietf-teas-te-topo-and-tunnel-modeling
From: Italo Busi [March 25th 2019]

New Adoption [Jan 15th 2019]

Next Steps:

- Address comments received during adoption call (and in prior meetings)
- Request further review
From: Aijun Wang [March 22\textsuperscript{nd} 2019]

No updates to the draft since the last IETF

Next Steps:

\begin{itemize}
\item draft-ietf-teas-native-ip-scenarios is ready for last call
\item draft-ietf-teas-pce-native-ip will be updated based on how the solution work progresses
\item Request further review
\end{itemize}
From: Dhruv Dhody [March 11th 2019]
Rev 03 published [March 11th 2019]
  ▪ Added details on PCECC for SRv6
Next Steps:
  ▪ Sections on “PCECC for SFC” and “Security Considerations” need more work
  ▪ Request further review
From: Xufeng Liu [March 23rd 2019]
Rev 03 published [March 11th 2019]
  ▪ Editorial fixes
Next Steps:
  ▪ Align with the latest versions of referenced documents (draft-ietf-teas-yang-te-topo, RFC8340, RFC8342, RFC8345, and RFC8459)
  ▪ Update the section on Security Considerations
  ▪ Request further review
draft-ietf-teas-rsvp-rmr-extension

- From: Abhishek Deshmukh [March 22nd 2019]
- No updates to the draft since last IETF
- Next Steps:
  - Publish a new rev to revive it
  - Identify best way to perform rsvp signaling for ring topologies containing express links
  - Request further review
From: None

Rev 08 published [November 16\textsuperscript{th} 2018]
  ▪ Minor editorial nits

Next Steps:
  ▪ Find someone to take it to the finish line
From: None

No updates to the draft since last IETF

Next Steps:
  - Request further review
    - Need feedback on additional examples