

Using HTTP/2 as a Transport for Arbitrary Bytestreams

Eric Kinnear (ekinnear@apple.com)

Tommy Pauly (tpauly@apple.com)

TSVWG

IETF 104, March 2019, Prague

Motivation

Generic transport for secure, arbitrary bytestreams

Multiplexed streams

- Low setup cost for new streams

- Single congestion and recovery context

Peer-to-peer communication

- Example: Remote IPC

Share underlying transport with existing infrastructure

Why HTTP/2?

HTTP/2 provides framing layer with many desired transport features

Configuration exchange

Multiplexed streams

Shared congestion control and loss recovery state

Flow control

Stream relationships and priorities

Traverses the internet

Some of these properties from TLS/TCP

Potential Solution

CONNECT allows tunneling to another endpoint

Extended CONNECT allows connecting to server itself

Can also enable proxying of UDP, with additional framing

HTTP headers enable additional negotiation

Coexists with standard HTTP request/response streams

New :protocol Values

Extended CONNECT defines :protocol value for use with WebSocket

Make generic by defining common base not specific to WebSocket

Define additional :protocol values

“bytestream”

Direct stream mapping for arbitrary bytestreams to remote server

“datagram”

Framing for UDP transport, to server and possibly with traditional CONNECT to another endpoint

Motivation

Generic transport for secure, arbitrary bytestreams

Multiplexed streams

- Low setup cost for new streams

- Single congestion and recovery context

Peer-to-peer communication

- Example: Remote IPC

Share underlying transport with existing infrastructure

Motivation

Generic transport for secure, arbitrary bytestreams

Multiplexed streams

- Low setup cost for new streams

- Single congestion and recovery context

Peer-to-peer communication

- Example: Remote IPC, QUIC

Share underlying transport with existing infrastructure

Why QUIC Transport?

HTTP/3 over QUIC Transport falls back to HTTP/2 over TLS/TCP

What transport abstraction does QUIC Transport alone use over TCP?

HTTP/2 provides framing layer with many desired transport features

- Configuration exchange

- Multiplexed streams

- Flow Control

- Stream relationships and priorities

TLS/TCP provides shared congestion control and loss recovery state

Solution

Extended CONNECT defines :protocol value for use with WebSocket

Define additional :protocol values

“bytestream”

Direct stream mapping for arbitrary bytestreams to remote server

“datagram”

Framing for UDP transport, to server and possibly with traditional CONNECT to another endpoint

Define new SETTING to allow bidirectional use of (Extended) CONNECT

Motivation

Add new `:protocol` values to Extended CONNECT handshake

- Sharing multiple connections to server over single underlying transport

- Ability to proxy UDP traffic more effectively to (and through) the server

- Built in security with low setup cost for new streams

Add new SETTING to allow using Extended CONNECT in both directions

- Enables the benefits above for peer-to-peer communications

- Provides fallback mechanism for QUIC Transport over HTTP/2 framing

Transport Properties

Sharing underlying transport brings benefits, but also has caveats

- Head-of-Line blocking

- Connection limits on flow control

- Additional items?

Other work on multiplexed transports (SCTP)

- How much to reference or include?

Questions?