#### Some Congestion Experienced

#### Some Congestion Experienced (SCE) An RFC3168 backward compatible approach to high fidelity ECN signaling and congestion control

https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-morton-taht-tsvwg-sce-00

Pete Heist Network Analytics Rodney W. Grimes FreeBSD Jonathan Morton Principal Architect: sch\_cake and Cobalt AQM systems TekLibre, LLC IETF tsvwg, March 25, 2019

# The problem

- Transports spend most of their time either:
  - Building a bottleneck queue  $\rightarrow$  high delay
  - Under-utilising the path capacity  $\rightarrow$  low goodput
- The network doesn't give them enough information!
  - Binary signal per RTT  $\rightarrow$  oscillation
    - Bang-bang control theory


## The solution

- Congestion should be explicitly signalled earlier than loss
- ECT(1) is unused, treated identically to ECT(0)
  - RFC 3168, actual measurements in the wild (RFC 8311)
- Rename ECT(1) as SCE, ECT(0) as ECT
  - Some Congestion Experienced
- Other ECN codepoints retain current meaning & semantics

## Two Congestion Signals


- SCE (some congestion experienced)
  - High fidelity congestion information
    - 100% marking when CE threshold reached
    - Less marking means less congestion
- CE (congestion experienced)
  - Coarse congestion information
    - Continue using as defined now
    - May be encountered without SCE (existing middleboxes)

## State Diagram



Not-ECT: Not ECN Capable Transport ECT: ECN Capable Transport SCE: Some Congestion Experienced CE: Congestion Experienced

## SCE marking example



SCE marking probability

## **Experimental Plan**

- Experiment #1 SCE marking basics success!
- Experiment #2 middlebox AQM tests ongoing
- Experiment #3 check our maths
- Experiment #4 integrate with transport protocols
  - Requires a feedback path receiver  $\rightarrow$  sender

More details on our web site at: http://www.bufferbloat.net/projects/ecn-sane/wiki/