

# draft-ietf-6tisch-minimal-security

 Authors: Mališa Vučinić (Ed.) Jonathan Simon Kris Pister Michael Richardson

### Status

- Published -10 after Prague and -11 after shepherd's review
- Shipped to AD
- Goal of the presentation
  - Summary of changes in -10 and -11
  - Discuss ASN replay attack



### Updates in -10



3

- Failure handling after Göran Selander's 2<sup>nd</sup> WGLC review
  - Expanded Section 8.3.3.
  - RECOMMEND usage of OSCORE Appendix B.2 to renegotiate context ID



### Updates in -10



- CoJP Error handling
  - Christian Amssus' comment on the ML
  - Redefined CBOR parameters
  - CoAP request now carries selfcontained CBOR object describing the error
  - Malformed and Unsupported parameters
- Editorial: Rekeying process is now a separate section

```
Unsupported Configuration =
                              : Unsupported Parameter
       + parameter
Unsupported Parameter = (
         code
                              : int,
         parameter label
                              : int,
         parameter addinfo
                              : nil / any
                                            Description
         Name
                Value
                                                           Reference
  Unsupported
                          The indicated setting is not
                                                           [[this
                0
                           supported by the networking
                                                           document]]
                                  stack implementation.
    Malformed
                         The indicated parameter value
                                                          [[this
                1
                                          is malformed.
                                                          document]]
```

### Updates in -11



- Pascal Thubert's shepherd review
- Update RFC6775 reference to RFC8505
- Editorial:
  - Elaborate on SHOULDs in Section 6.1.2 on setting DSCP code points
  - Nits



### Latest discussions on the ML

# Background: L2 nonce in IEEE 802.15.4 TSCH





### Background: Distribution of ASN in IEEE 802.15.4 TSCH and 6TiSCH



# Background: Distribution of ASN in IEEE 802.15.4 TSCH and 6TiSCH



# ASN replay attack



# ASN replay attack





### Proposed resolution - Caveats



- Reception of the Join Response at Pledge
  - Security processing at L2 fails due to the missing key
  - Use 802.15.4 *promiscuous* mode during the join process at the pledge
  - Will pass the frame to the upper layer in any case
- L2 ACK of the Join Response



# TSCH and CCM security proofs



- CCM\* security proofs apply if nonce contains the security level
- Not the case with TSCH nonce (see Slide 7)
- Security proofs of CCM still apply
- Limitation is that a single key can only be used with fixed-length authentication tags

#### **Proposed resolution:**

Implementations MUST use different link-layer keys when using different authentication tag (MIC) lengths, as using the same key with different authentication tag lengths might be unsafe. For example, this prohibits the usage of the same key for both MIC-32 and MIC-64 levels. See Annex B.4.3 of {{IEEE802.15.4}} for more information.

### Conclusion



- Shipped to AD already
- Note on ASN replay attack resolution needed
- Publish in -12