# draft-ietf-dprive-bcp-op

Update on version 3 of the draft

Sara Dickinson Benno Overeinder *Roland van Rijswijk-Deij* Allison Mankin Sinodun NLnet Labs NLnet Labs Salesforce

### Introduction

- Goal of today: update on the document, WGLC?
- Document goals:
  - Operational, policy and security considerations for DNS operators who offer privacy services
  - DNS Privacy Policy and Practices Statement framework (DPPPS)

#### draft-ietf-dprive-bcp-op-03

### **Revision 03 updates**

- Added clarification of the purpose of a DPPPS
  - Let operators demonstrate commitment to privacy, create user choice based on operators statements
- Added DNSSEC validation as a requirement
  - We specifically consider the potential misdirection through poisoning attacks as a privacy problem

#### draft-ietf-dprive-bcp-op-03

### **Revision 03 update**

- Add reference to RFC 7766 for mitigations of availability threats
- Added TLS version/Cipher suite combinations as a tracking risk
- Put more emphasis on the positive aspects of data sharing with third parties for research purposes
- Added a reference to Mozilla's draft policy requirements for Trusted Recursive Resolvers
- Updated various references

#### draft-ietf-dprive-bcp-op-03

### Last call?

- We believe we have addressed all the suggestions raised on the mailing list and in other discussions
- We think we are ready for Working Group Last Call
- This will remain a living document, we expect it will need a -bis within two years (especially uptake of DoH will likely influence this process)

## **Opinions?**

- We decided not to separate the policy framework (DPPPS) from the operator guidance
  - This was **discussed in earlier WG meetings**, we saw more benefit in keeping these two together
  - More importantly, we expect guidance and policy framework to be updated in parallel in a -bis, separating would complicate the process
- Any other things to discuss?