Packet Loss Signaling for Encrypted Protocols

draft-ferrieuxhamchaoui-tsvwg-lossbits

Alexandre Ferrieux – Orange Labs Isabelle Hamchaoui – Orange Labs Igor Lubashev – Akamai

IETF 105

Networks can look like dumb pipes, <u>only</u> if someone can find leaks and patch them quickly

• TCP: observe seq#, ack#, sacks

Transport with encrypted headers:

 (QUIC has a "latency Spin bit", so you may get an RTT estimate but not loss)

Proposal: Two "Loss bits"

- Q: The "sQuare signal" bit is toggled every N outgoing packets (akin to color in RFC 8321)
- L: The "Loss event" bit is 1 when Unreported Loss counter (ULC) > 0
 - <u>UCL</u> is *incremented* for each packet deemed lost by the protocol
 - <u>UCL</u> is *decremented* for each packet sent with L=1



Loss Calculation



• End-to-End loss (e)

e = fraction of packets with L=1

• Upstream loss (u)

 $u = 1 - \frac{\text{average \# of observed packets in a block (same Q)}}{\text{size of the block}}$

• Downstream loss (d)

$$(1-u)(1-d) = 1-e$$
 $d = \frac{e-u}{1-u}$

Experimental Data

Akamai serving QUIC to some Orange users in an African country



Interested?

• Side Meeting

Monday 8:30am in Sainte-Catherine

• WG Discussion

tsvwg (Thursday) – focus on the bits maprg (Friday) – focus on the measurements

Contact Authors

draft-ferrieuxhamchaoui-tsvwg-lossbits