

Rich Call Data PASSporT extension  
draft-ietf-stir-passport-rcd-04

STIR Working Group  
IETF105

# Overview of update

- Fairly large update with new concepts/reconsiderations
- A bit of a work in progress, wanted input
- Integrated integrity digest, a bit more comprehensive than we discussed, but we think for good reasons
- Aligned more with call-info, provides a mechanism that is both:
  - more compatible with the intent of eCNAM
  - provides a path for compact form

# call-info alignment

- call-info defines “icon”, “info”, “card”
- Question: should call-info/RCD be utilized together for two main cases
  - post-validation: extract out of identity header towards UE (e.g. eCNAM)
  - For compact-form, (i.e. canonicalize from display-name and call-info) define specific procedures and potentially more specifics for call-info

# Integrity claim

- Provides a mechanism for prior approval/policy enforcement of the contents of the rich call data
- “rcdi” - a digest of a canonical form of the “rcd” claim in its entirety, including concatenation of the contents of the URLs.
- Uses JWTConstraints to enforce use of “rcdi” claim and a specific digest value

# Integrity claim

- Construction:
  - Pick algorithm for digest
  - Create canonicalized “rcd”
    - lexicographic order of keys
    - white space removal
    - concatenate the base64 encoded content of the resources pointed to by URLs in order of their appearance in re-ordered keys
  - create digest of resulting string

# JWT Constraints

- In order to enforce the contents of the “rcd” JWT Constraints can be used in the STIR certificate
- JWT Constraints would be as follows:
  - a "mustInclude" for the "rcd" claim
  - a "permittedValues" equal to the created "rcdi" claim value string.

# Example of 'rcdi' claim construction

Example "rcd" claim with URL:

```
"rcd": { "nam" : "James Bond",  
        "icon" : "https://example.org/james_bond.jpg"  
        }
```

Example "rcd" input digest string:

```
{"nam": "James Bond", "icon": "https://example.org/james_bond.jpg"};  
ONG##*NCCCDJK123...KLJASlkJlkjsadlf2e3
```

Example "rcdi" claim:

```
"rcdi": "sha256-u5AZzq6A9RINQZngK7T62em8M"
```

# Example of “rcd” PASSporT with “nam” and “rcdi”

Protected Header

```
{  
  "alg": "ES256",  
  "typ": "passport",  
  "ppt": "rcd",  
  "x5u": "https://biloxi.example.org  
        /biloxi.cer"  
}
```

Payload

```
{  
  "orig": {"tn": "12025551000"},  
  "dest": {"tn": "12025551001"},  
  "iat": 1443208345,  
  "rcd": {"nam": "James Bond"},  
  "rcdi": "sha256-H8BRh8j4809oYatfu5AZzq6A9R6dQZngK7T62em8MUt1FLm52t+eX6x0"  
}
```



# Example of “rcd” PASSporT with “nam”, “jcl” and “rcdi”

Protected Header

```
{  
  "alg": "ES256",  
  "typ": "passport",  
  "ppt": "rcd",  
  "x5u": "https://biloxi.example.org  
        /biloxi.cer"  
}
```

Payload

```
{  
  "orig": {"tn": "12025551000"},  
  "dest": {"tn": "12155551001"},  
  "iat": 1443208345,  
  "rcd": {"nam": "James Bond", "jcl": "https://example.org/james_bond.json"},  
  "rcdi": "sha256-H8BRh8j4809oYatfu5AZzq6A9R6dQZngK7T62em8MUt1FLm52t+eX6x0"  
}
```

# Eric questions/comments

- “personal communications”? This was a term we have used in RFC8225 at least, i’m ok to change
- Security issues with URL at terminating side of call? “inf” security of HTML? “jcd” and/or “jcl”?
- rcdi SHOULD vs MUST? rcdi can help with caching
- Why do we list three hash algorithms to pick from?
- Why do we canonicalize the “rcd” for creating the digest? 1. ordering of keys and URLs and order of the contents of the URLs, 2. will be useful for compact form
- “icn” vs. logo in “jcd”?
- 3rd party “rcd” is 😈 ?