

RFC 3272bis Design Team Status and Apologies

Adrian Farrel (adrian@olddog.co.uk)

What Are We Supposed to be Doing?

- RFC 3272 was published in 2002
 - “Overview and Principles of Internet Traffic Engineering”
 - Product of the TEWG in 2001
- Since then
 - TE technologies and concepts within the Internet have become commonplace
 - The mechanisms have developed and broadened
- Recent discussion of the term "TE" in a number of Working Groups
 - Chiefly in the Routing Area
- Definitions are not adequate for today's world
- The many references in RFC 3272 are somewhat out-of-date
 - There are many new references especially within the IETF
- Therefore, we will attempt to revise RFC 3272

What Were We Chartered to Do

This Design Team's objective to update RFC 3272 by making a full revision (draft-dt-teas-rfc3272bis) that strengthens existing definitions, introduces new definitions where needed, brings the work up-to-date with current best practices, and includes (via appropriate references) the latest and on-going work in the IETF.

The DT will provide regular updates and reports to the TEAS working group. It will present an update on their status and plans at IETF 104 (Prague) and an initial DT draft in time for discussion at IETF 105 (Montreal). Once the draft is accepted as a working group document, it will progress per TEAS working group normal process.

What Have We Done?

- (Not a lot)
- We met as a team (in Prague) to decide on how we would work and how we would chop up the work
- We converted the current RFC to kramdown
- We created a github venue and put the markdown there
- A few of the team started to make (small) changes in github

Did We Get Stuck?

- Yes
 - Old Dog failing to learn new tricks
 - Too many people means “someone else” will do the work
 - Our lives are just too busy
 - Our tooling was not conducive to driving the work
- Result
 - No draft posted
 - No draft close to ready to be posted

What to Do?

- Option 1
 - Reboot
 - Kick the team into action as we are
- Option 2
 - Rebirth
 - Try some new techniques with the current team
- Option 3
 - Replace
 - Change some or all of the team
- Option 4
 - Resign
 - Give up on the whole idea