

# Transmission of IPv6 Packets over PLC Networks

draft-ietf-6lo-plc-01

**Jianqiang Hou** (Huawei)

**Bing Liu** (Huawei)

**Yong-Geun Hong** (ETRI)

**Xiaojun Tang** (State Grid EPRI)

**Charles Perkins**

IETF 106 – Singapore, Nov. 2019

# Introduction of the draft

- Objective: To define an IPv6 adaptation layer for constrained PLC networks based on IETF standards.
- Scope: constrained PLCs, including ITU-T G.9903, IEEE 1901.1 and IEEE 1901.2
- Status
  - Started at March 7, 2017, WG adopted at Feb. 1, 2019
  - Version 00: upload as a WG document and editorial modifications based on Carsten's comments
  - Version 01: some modification in the structure and update the Neighbor Discovery section

# Modification in the version 01

- Removal of the section 4.7-Extension at the 6lo adaptation layer
  - Unique in the ITU-T G.9903 PLC
  - Inconsistency in the order of the command frame header
    - ITU-T G.9903: the last header
    - RFC8066: before the LoWPAN\_IPHC dispatch header
  - Solve the inconsistency in this draft *or* leave the section informational *or* remove the section permanently?

# Modification in the version 01

- Refine the Neighbor Discovery section
  - Update “RFC6775-update” into “RFC8505”
  - Address registration in two situations
    - The route-over mode
      - Link-local address SHOULD be only registered at the 6LR
      - Non link-local addresses SHOULD be registered to the registrar via NS/NA and DAR/DAC (or EDAR/EDAC) messages
      - DAD MUST NOT be utilized if the address is assigned via DHCPv6 or generated via unique link-local address
    - The mesh-under mode
      - Each device is a link-local neighbor at L3 to the 6LBR
      - Registration via NS (ARO/EARO) and NA
      - No DAR/DAC or EDAR/EDAC messages are required

# Future work

- We think that the draft has covered the main aspects of the IPv6 adaptation for PLCs. Please help us verify it.
- Your feedback is always appreciated