

# The ALTO Path Vector Extension

draft-ietf-alto-path-vector-09

K. Gao<sup>2</sup> Y. Lee<sup>3</sup> S. Randriamasy<sup>1</sup> Y. R. Yang<sup>5</sup> J. Zhang<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nokia Bell Labs <sup>2</sup>Sichuan University <sup>3</sup>Sungkyunkwan University

<sup>4</sup>Tongji University <sup>5</sup>Yale University

Oct 20, 2019 @ IETF 106

What new feature does this extension provide?

- ▶ Reveal **internal structures** and **detailed property information** from the ISP's point of view for **end-to-end application-layer communications**
  - ▶ e.g., bottleneck links, 5G UPF, MEC, service edge

Why is this extension essential?

- ▶ Such information is **useful** in many networking scenarios
  - ▶ deriving resource correlations of flows, e.g., shared risk resource groups and "co-flow" scheduling<sup>1</sup>
  - ▶ context-aware service selection and optimization, e.g., 5G UPF, MEC for cloud gaming, video streaming
- ▶ Such information is **fundamentally new** in ALTO
  - ▶ ALTO only has "cost" for (src, dst) pairs

---

<sup>1</sup>Chowdhury, M. and Stoica, I. 2012. Coflow: A Networking Abstraction for Cluster Applications. Proceedings of the 11th ACM Workshop on Hot Topics in Networks (New York, NY, USA, 2012), 31–36.

How does this extension provide such information?

- ▶ Internal structures: abstract network elements (ANE)
- ▶ Detailed property information: unified property map<sup>2</sup> for the ANEs
- ▶ End-to-end: ALTO cost map and endpoint cost services

What are the potential technical problems and how to address them?

- ▶ Representation issue: how to represent the internal structures?
  - ▶ physical v.s. abstract
  - ▶ persistent v.s. temporary
  - ▶ Decision: **abstract network element both persistent and temporary**
- ▶ Practical considerations
  - ▶ Scalability & consistency: one-round communication v.s. two-round communication
  - ▶ Complexity: design a new message format v.s. reuse ALTO message format
  - ▶ Decision: **one-round communication with a multipart response**

### **Finalize the specification for cost type**

- ▶ cost mode: array, cost metric: one-path

### **Clarify the property negotiation process**

- ▶ Available properties are announced in an IRD entry capability
- ▶ Selected properties are submitted in a query

### **Introduce persistent-entities property as an initial registry entry**

- ▶ An array of entity identifiers that are persistent in the scope of an ALTO server

### **Clarify Part Resource ID (integration with SSE)**

- ▶ Sync'd with SSE draft -16 (draft-ietf-alto-incr-update-sse-16)
- ▶ ResourceID of each part = Client ID + '.' + Part Resource ID

### **Propose solutions for cost calendar compatibility**

- ▶ Flows only interfere in the same time interval  
⇒ The calendar results can be inferred from the PV of each interval
- ▶ Both correlations and properties may change over time  
⇒ Only make the PV part calendared (enough to represent both changes)

In -09 (a minor revision)

- ▶ We emphasize that ANE by design is dynamic to the query in multiple places in the document (in introduction, terminology, specification, etc.)
- ▶ We also highlight the benefits of on-demand dynamic ANEs
  - ▶ It reduces the information leaked to multiple queries
  - ▶ The ALTO server can use property-specific optimizations to compute ANEs

## Dependency on the UP draft

- ▶ Terminology from the UP draft (e.g., Entity, Entity Domain, etc., Sec 3)
- ▶ The property map part reuses the response data format from UP (in Sec 7.1.6 and 7.2.6)
- ▶ One property domain and two properties are registered using the UP registration procedure (which may lead to an IANA dependency, Sec 12)
- ▶ Sync'd with UP -08

## Dependency on the SSE draft

- ▶ Sync'd with SSE -16
- ▶ SSE -17 includes multipart handling so the related part can now be removed from PV (to be done in the next submission)
- ▶ Terminology inconsistency (**part resource Id** in PV and **content Id** in SSE)

## Writing

- ▶ Fix the dependency issues
- ▶ Improve the quality of writing
  - ▶ Need feedback from the WG

### Heterogeneous ANE?

- ▶ Why
  - ▶ The Internet infrastructure has heterogeneous components already
  - ▶ Side meeting talks (e.g., cloud gaming) and some other IETF work (e.g., CFN) show that capability discovery is useful in network-aware end-to-end communication
  - ▶ ALTO PV can be used as a mechanism to expose capabilities for end-to-end communication
  - ▶ This strengthens the power of ALTO extensions and extends the scope of ALTO
- ▶ How
  - ▶ Define the entity type hierarchy for ANEs
  - ▶ The capabilities announced in IRD reuses the UP capabilities
- ▶ What follows
  - ▶ Identify ANE types (maybe work with other WG) and register the entity type, properties and their bindings to UP



- ▶ Current status
  - ▶ The motivations and potential problems are relatively clear
  - ▶ Most part of the specifications are relatively complete and stable
  - ▶ New inputs are received during IETF 106
- ▶ Great thanks to the coauthors and the WG for the feedback and guidance
- ▶ Next steps:
  - ▶ Make a revision
  - ▶ Set a milestone for WGLC? (Maybe IETF 107)
  - ▶ Call for reviews

# Q & A

Join the Discussion at [alto@ietf.org](mailto:alto@ietf.org)!

Questions and Comments are Welcome!