

IETF #106 - BMWG

Methodology for VNF Benchmarking Automation -05

R. Rosa, C. Rothenberg, M. Peuster, H. Karl

Context, In version -04: Which were the major technical changes?

- → Filtered only important concepts in Terminology
- → Considerations on Benchmarking Procedures
 - Generic Phases (I to IV): Deployment, Configuration, Execution, Report

→ Refined VNF Benchmarking Descriptor (VNF-BD) structure (Sec. 6.1)

- Description Headers: VNF-BD versioning, authorship, description, etc
- Target Information: VNF (SUT) descriptor (version, image, etc)
- Experiments: Defines overall VNF-BD parameters: repetition of Trials, Tests, Method
- Environment: Settings referring to components (e.g., orchestrator) to deploy scenario
- Scenario: Topology for Tests
- Proceedings: Agent(s)/Monitor(s) settings for (prober(s)/listener(s)) Test parameters
- → VNF-BD Yang model updated
- → Gym updated reference to open source repository

Why the draft was updated?

- → Needed to unify the models to describe VNF bench. experiments (VNF-BD)
- → Need of clear considerations regarding VNF Performance Profiles (Sec. 6.2)
- → We did experimental analysis with VNF-BD and VNF-PP Yang models
 - Comparison factors (i.e., VNF-BD fully functional)
- → Address comments in the mailing-list written by Luis M. Contreras
- → More comments are coming in and are work in progress

Which are the major technical changes?

→ Refined VNF Performance Profile (VNF-PP) structure (Sec. 6.2)

- Description Headers: VNF-PP versioning, authorship, description, etc
- Reports: structure **Test** results from Agent(s)/Monitor(s)
 - Execution Environment: description of hardware/software specs of VNF-BD scenario
 - Snapshots: structured **Trial** results by each Agent/Monitor
 - Origin: Agent/Monitor identification (id, hostname, etc)
 - Evaluations: structured prober(s)/listener(s) result data (measurements)
 - Source: prober/listener identification (id, tool, version, command call, etc)
 - Metrics: list of metrics provided by prober/listener (name, unit, value, type)
- → VNF-BD and VNF-PP models updated and aligned with IETF Yang specs
 - https://github.com/raphaelvrosa/vnf-bench-model/tree/master/vnf-br/yang
- → Published Gym and Tng-bench comparison tests (ipynb)
 - https://github.com/raphaelvrosa/vnf-bench-model/tree/master/experiments

Models and Example Results





Results recorded by tng-bench

Which issues are unresolved? Which issues needs further discussion.

- → VNF Performance Profile in open source reference implementations
 - Fully comparison of VNF-BD and VNF-PP
- → Structure VNF Benchmark Report
 - Establish yang model for VNF-BR
 - Useful/Summary joint information from VNF-BD and VNF-PP
 - e.g., performance_metrics = F(traffic_workload, allocated_resources, VNF_configuration)
- → Synergies (alignment/collaboration) with BMWG related work
 - Considerations for Benchmarking Network Performance in Containerized Infrastructures
 - Considerations for Benchmarking Network Virtualization Platforms
 - A YANG Data Model for Network Interconnect Tester Management
 - ... others?

Final Remarks

• Considering:

- Draft in version -05
- Comments in mailing list addressed
- VNF-BD and VNF-PP Yang models
- Reference tools implementing draft methodology

• We ask BMWG to adopt the draft

- We have support from industry and academia (mailing-list comments)
- We are going move forward on refining the draft
- We are going to prototype the VNF-BR Yang model in the reference implementations





Thank you!





Backup

- Why?
 - "If VNFs deployments can be fully automated, VNF benchmarking should be automated as well!"
 - Concept: Design and specify a generic workflow to automatically execute arbitrary pre-defined VNF benchmarking experiments.
- ★ We define how to automate the benchmarking process, not how to benchmark → highly depends on the SUT
- Two open-source reference implementations
 - ≻ Gym [1][2]
 - 5GTANGO benchmarker "tng-bench" [3][4]



Backup



Figure 2: VNF benchmarking process inputs and outputs

Backup: Example Results

- SUT: Suricata IDS VNF deployed in a Docker container
- Parameters
 - Different IDS rulesets
 - Different number of vCPU cores
 - Different amounts of CPU bandwidth (CPU time)
 - Different memory limits
- Stimulation
 - Traffic traces with small and big flows
- Experiments executed without human interaction using benchmarking descriptors
- Everything open: <u>https://github.com/raphaelvrosa/vnf-bench-model</u>

References

- [1] R. Rosa, C. Bertoldo, C. Rothenberg, "Take your VNF to the Gym: A Testing Framework for Automated NFV Performance Benchmarking", IEEE Communications Magazine Testing Series, Sept 2017, http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8030496>.
- [2] "Gym Home Page", <https://github.com/intrig-unicamp/gym>.
- [3] M. Peuster, H. Karl, "Profile Your Chains, Not Functions: Automated Network Service Profiling in DevOps Environments", IEEE Conference on Network Function Virtualization and Software Defined Networks (NFV-SDN), 2017, http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8169826/>.
- [4] "5GTANGO VNF/NS Benchmarking Framework", <<u>https://github.com/sonata-nfv/tng-sdk-benchmark</u>>.
- [5] YANG Models: <u>https://github.com/raphaelvrosa/vnf-bench-model/tree/master/vnf-br/yang</u>
- [6] Example Results: <u>https://github.com/raphaelvrosa/vnf-bench-model/tree/master/experiments</u>