Note Well

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

• By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
• If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
• As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
• Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
• As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

• BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
• BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
• BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
• BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
• BCP 78 (Copyright)
• BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
• https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/ (Privacy Policy)
Agenda

- Intro, Note Well and Agenda: 5 min
- Current Drafts:
  - calendars: 30m
  - mdn: 10m
  - quotas: 10m
  - smime: 5m
- Proposed new work:
  - JSContact: 20m
- Other business:
  - More general push support? 5m
  - Milestone review: 5m
JMAP Calendars

• See Neil’s Slides
MDN

• Last meeting:
  – Status report on recent changes; little controversy
  – Question whether "mdn" is too short given a global namespace, but it was noted that MDN is already commonly used.

• Are we ready for last call?

• Discussion: Raphaël
Quotas

• New draft since last IETF meeting
• Good to align with EXTRA WG quotabis: https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-extra-quotabis/
• Discussion: Rene/Michael?
smime

• Last meeting:
  – Discussion of simplifying to only two status results (pass or fail)
  – Should verification of timestamps (for sanity, at least) be included?
  – Should timestamp when the verification was first performed (according to the server)
  – Possible extension to include encryption resulted in concerns about storing private keys on servers. Encryption should probably be a separate draft if done at all.
  – ACTION: Alexey, revise accordingly
JSContact

• V4:
  - Added the LocalizedString type for localization of names, addresses and organizations.
  - Renamed ContactMethods to Resource, as not every JSCard necessarily is a person.
  - Added the implementation status section with current implementations.
  - Changed the document structure for readability.

• V5:
  - adds the relatedTo property to JSCard
  - embeds JSCard objects in JSGroup entries rather than just their uid

• Discussion: Robert isn't available at this meeting. Mario remote?
Milestone Review

• Dec 2019 Submit document with guidance for implementation of IMAP servers and proxies (Informational)

• Sep 2019 Submit Message Disposition Notification document to the IESG

• Sep 2019 Submit JMAP over websockets document to the IESG
Other business