

LISP Working Group

106 IETF

@Singapore



Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session
- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of [RFC 5378](#) and [RFC 3979](#) (updated by [RFC 4879](#)).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult [RFC 5378](#) and [RFC 3979](#) for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

You are deemed to have read and understood the "Note Well" page and the rules it refers to during your registration process.

Admin & Co.

- Welcome from
 - Joel Halpern & Luigi Iannone (Co-Chairs)
 - Padma Pillay-Esnault (Secretary)
- Blue Sheets
- Charter:
 - <http://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/lisp/charter/>
- Jabber Room:
 - <xmpp:lisp@jabber.ietf.org>
- Audio Stream:
 - <http://mp3.conf.meetecho.com/ietf/ietf1063.m3u>
- Meetecho Stream:
 - <http://www.meetecho.com/ietf106/lisp>
- Agenda & Slides:
 - <https://datatracker.ietf.org/meeting/106/session/lisp>

WG updates

Active Internet-Drafts (17 hits)

 draft-ietf-lisp-6834bis-04 Locator/ID Separation Protocol (LISP) Map-Versioning	2019-08-16 19 pages	I-D Exists WG Document	
draft-ietf-lisp-ecdsa-auth-02 LISP Control-Plane ECDSA Authentication and Authorization	2019-09-16 17 pages	I-D Exists WG Document	
draft-ietf-lisp-eid-anonymity-07 LISP EID Anonymity	2019-10-07 10 pages	I-D Exists WG Document	
draft-ietf-lisp-eid-mobility-05 LISP L2/L3 EID Mobility Using a Unified Control Plane	2019-11-17 26 pages 	I-D Exists WG Document	
 draft-ietf-lisp-gpe-11 LISP Generic Protocol Extension	2019-11-04 16 pages 	IESG Evaluation  Submitted to IESG for Publication: Proposed Standard Reviews: genart, rtgdir, secdir, tsvalt	
 draft-ietf-lisp-introduction-13 An Architectural Introduction to the Locator/ID Separation Protocol (LISP)	2015-04-02 27 pages	RFC Ed Queue :   Submitted to IESG for Publication: Informational Reviews: genart, opsdire, secdir	
draft-ietf-lisp-mn-06 LISP Mobile Node	2019-09-03 24 pages	I-D Exists WG Document	
draft-ietf-lisp-predictive-rlocs-05 LISP Predictive RLOCs	2019-11-04 15 pages 	I-D Exists WG Document	
draft-ietf-lisp-pubsub-04 Publish/Subscribe Functionality for LISP	2019-09-11 12 pages	I-D Exists WG Document	
 draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6830bis-27 The Locator/ID Separation Protocol (LISP)	2019-06-16 46 pages	IESG Evaluation - Defer::AD Followup  Deferred by Eric Rescorla on 2018-09-11 Submitted to IESG for Publication: Proposed Standard Reviews: genart, opsdire, rtgdir, secdir, tsvalt	
 draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6833bis-25 Locator/ID Separation Protocol (LISP) Control-Plane	2019-06-16 62 pages	IESG Evaluation - Defer::AD Followup  Deferred by Eric Rescorla on 2018-09-11 Submitted to IESG for Publication: Proposed Standard Reviews: genart, opsdire, rtgdir, secdir, tsvalt	
 draft-ietf-lisp-rfc8113bis-03 Locator/ID Separation Protocol (LISP): Shared Extension Message & IANA Registry for Packet Type Allocations	2019-01-24 6 pages	RFC Ed Queue :   Submitted to IESG for Publication: Proposed Standard Reviews: genart, rtgdir, secdir	
 draft-ietf-lisp-sec-19 LISP-Security (LISP-SEC)	2019-07-23 27 pages	AD is watching In WG Last Call: Proposed Standard Reviews: genart, opsdire, rtgdir, secdir	
draft-ietf-lisp-te-05 LISP Traffic Engineering Use-Cases	2019-10-07 17 pages	I-D Exists WG Document	
 draft-ietf-lisp-vendor-lcaf-05 Vendor Specific LISP Canonical Address Format (LCAF)	2019-09-30 5 pages	I-D Exists WG Consensus: Waiting for Write-Up	
draft-ietf-lisp-vpn-05 LISP Virtual Private Networks (VPNs)	2019-11-17 17 pages 	I-D Exists WG Document	
draft-ietf-lisp-yang-12 LISP YANG Model	2019-09-07 79 pages	 I-D Exists WG Document Reviews: yangdoctors	

-  Past WG LC
-  Close to WG LC
-  OnHold/Issues

Agenda Bashing (I)

- **From the Chairs**
 - RFCs 7954 and 7955 - Historic
- **WG Documents**
 - Update on 6830bis/6833bis documents
 - 20 Minutes (Cumulative Time: 25 Minutes)
 - Albert Cabellos
 - LISP Generic Protocol Encapsulation (LISP-GPE)
 - 20 Minutes (Cumulative Time: 45 Minutes)
 - Fabio Maino

Agenda Bashing (II)

- **Non WG Items**

- LISP Uberlays
 - 15 Minutes (Cumulative Time: 60 Minutes)
 - Marc Portoles Comeras
- LISP Mobile Node Multicast - Demo
 - 15 Minutes (Cumulative Time: 75 Minutes)
 - Dino Farinacci
- Distributed Geo-Spatial LISP Blackboard for Automotive
 - 15 Minutes (Cumulative Time: 90 Minutes)
 - Sharon Barkai
- Overflow Time/ Discussion: 30 Minutes (Cumulative Time: 120 Minutes)

Rationale for RFCs 7954 and 7955

Historic

RFC 7954 created an experimental IPv6 prefix, namely 2001:5::/32, to be used as Endpoint-Identifier (EID) space for the Locator/Identifier Separation Protocol (LISP). The reserved address space was requested for an initial 3-year period starting in September 2016 (until September 2019), with an option to extend it by three years (until September 2022) upon the decision of the IETF.

RFC 7955 describes a framework for the management of the prefix created by RFC 7954. As described in RFC 7955, RIPE NCC volunteered to provide registration service during the experiment (up to 2022 at latest).

The initial experiment was supposed to last three years, until September 2019.

The option to extend the experiment to three more years was subject to the requirements written in section 10 of RFC 7954:

Following the policies outlined in [RFC5226], upon IETF Review, the decision should be made on whether to have a permanent EID block assignment by September 2019. **If no explicit action is taken or, if the IETF Review outcome is that it is not worth having a reserved prefix as a global EID space, the whole /32 will be taken out from the "IANA IPv6 Special-Purpose Address Registry" and put back in the free pool managed by IANA.**

In August 2019 RIPE NCC contacted the LISP WG asking if any action was ongoing concerning the extension of the experiment. The LISP WG concluded that very few requests have been made during three years and there was no compelling reason to extend the experiment. No further action has been taken by the LISP WG or the IETF. As such, in accordance with Section 10 of RFC 7954, RIPE NCC de-registered the existing assignments and IANA put the prefix back in the free pool (removing the entry from <https://www.iana.org/assignments/iana-ipv6-special-registry>).

At this point, RFC 7954 and RFC 7955 refer to a prefix that does not exist anymore. As such it makes sense to move both documents to the status of "HISTORIC". This note, associated with the status change of the two RFCs will allow to keep track of the LISP EID prefix experiment.