Encapsulation For MPLS Performance Measurement with Alternate Marking Method

draft-cheng-mpls-inband-pm-encapsulation-02

Weiqiang Cheng  chengweiqiang@chinamobile.com
Xiao Min       xiao.min2@zte.com.cn
Tianran Zhou   zhoutianran@huawei.com
Ximing Dong    dxm@fiberhome.com
Yoav Peleg     yoav.peleg@broadcom.com
Requirements of MPLS PM

The quantity of backhaul network nodes is huge usually, e.g. There are over 30k nodes of backhaul nodes in Beijing City. The operation and maintenance is really challenging. We need more simple and effective MPLS PM, especially for SR-TE.
Intention of this draft

• Defines the encapsulation for MPLS performance measurement with alternate marking method:

  – Alternate marking method requires one color bit of data packet to measure packet loss of data traffic flow

  – Alternate marking method requires one more timestamp bit of data packet to measure delay and jitter of data traffic flow

  – Alternate marking method requires flow identification of the measured data traffic flow
Flow-based PM Encapsulation

- One **Flow-ID Indicator Label** (special-purpose label) followed by one **Flow-ID label** which includes:
  - **Flow-ID**: 20-bits MPLS flow identification
  - **L bit**: Loss Measurement color marking
  - **D bit**: Delay Measurement color marking
  - **S bit**: Bottom of Stack indicator
Deployment Scenario

- From left diagram we see stitched SR tunnels
- From right diagram we see end-to-end VPN services
- So we need PM on both SR tunnels and VPN services
Deployment Real Case

• In Shanghai/Beijing, China Mobile has already deployed the PM method described in this draft
• It works very well and our operation team gave very good feedback on it
Next steps

• Many concerns received till now:
  – One special purpose label is unable to be assigned
  – Traffic Class and TTL of MPLS Label can’t be changed
  – It seems SFL solution can be used to resolve the MPLS PM requirements

• Possible Comments Resolution:
  – Extended special purpose label is requested
  – TC and TTL of MPLS Label won’t be touched
  – Current version of SFL draft can’t fulfill our requirements including hop-by-hop PM and PM on LSP and VPN in parallel